Activation of GTP hydrolysis in mRNA-tRNA translocation by elongation factor G

Wen Li,1* Zheng Liu,1* Ravi Kiran Koripella,2 Robert Langlois,1 Suparna Sanyal,2 Joachim Frank1,3,4†

During protein synthesis, elongation of the polypeptide chain by each amino acid is followed by a translocation step in which mRNA and transfer RNA (tRNA) are advanced by one codon. This crucial step is catalyzed by elongation factor G (EF-G), a guanosine triphosphatase (GTPase), and accompanied by a rotation between the two ribosomal subunits. A mutant of EF-G, H91A, renders the factor impaired in guanosine triphosphate (GTP) hydrolysis and thereby stabilizes it on the ribosome. We use cryogenic electron microscopy (cryo-EM) at near-atomic resolution to investigate two complexes formed by EF-G H91A in its GTP state with the ribosome, distinguished by the presence or absence of the intersubunit rotation. Comparison of these two structures argues in favor of a direct role of the conserved histidine in the switch II loop of EF-G in GTPase activation, and explains why GTP hydrolysis cannot proceed with EF-G bound to the unrotated form of the ribosome.

INTRODUCTION

The ribosome translates the genetically encoded sequence of codons along mRNA into a polypeptide chain, which is subsequently folded into a protein. The ribosome has three binding sites for transfer RNA (tRNA): the aminoacyl (A) site, peptidyl (P) site, and exit (E) site. In each cycle of the peptidyl chain elongation, an amino acid is delivered to the ribosome at the A site by a cognate aminoacylated tRNA (aa-tRNA) bound with elongation factor Tu (EF-Tu). After EF-Tu separates from the ribosome and the peptide is transferred from the P-site tRNA to the amino acid on the A-site tRNA, the ribosome equilibrates between two conformations, termed “rotated” and “nonrotated,” distinguished by a ~9° rotation between the two subunits (1–5). Efficient translocation of mRNA-tRNAs, a process required to move a new codon into the A site, requires the binding of elongation factor G (EF-G) to the ribosome and subsequent guanosine triphosphate (GTP) hydrolysis (6, 7). A recent x-ray study reported a compact conformation of EF-G bound with the pre-translocation ribosome, indicating that the transition depends on the structural transition of EF-G, starting from a compact conformation (8). In spite of significant progress by x-ray crystallography (9–12) and cryogenic electron microscopy (cryo-EM) (13, 14), and studies by single-molecule fluorescence resonance energy transfer (smFRET) (15–18) to characterize the binding interactions of EF-G with the ribosome, the role of EF-G in translocation is still not well understood. An smFRET study showed that EF-G•GTP is able to bind to the ribosome in both rotated and nonrotated states, but that only the former is productive in leading to GTP hydrolysis and translocation (19). We reasoned that structural investigation of these two different binding states might give us clues on the mechanism of guanosine triphosphatase (GTPase) activation. Imaging of EF-G•GTP-bound complexes for structural visualization is challenged by their very short life span because GTP hydrolysis is a very fast process and results in rapid conformational changes downstream. A solution to circumvent this problem in the past was to use nonhydrolyzable GTP analogs, such as GDPNP (9, 10) or GMPPCP (12), as a substitute for GTP, thereby blocking the complex in the state before GTP hydrolysis. The structures obtained in this way showed that the His87 residue in Thermus thermophilus EF-G (His91 in Escherichia coli EF-G) is situated one water molecule away from the GTP molecule. The role of this histidine residue in the activation of GTP hydrolysis was proposed to either be direct, through catalytic activation of the water molecule for nucleophilic attack (20–22), or indirect, through stabilization of the structural elements surrounding GTP; see review in (23). In an attempt to resolve the question of the role of H91 in GTPase activation and to shed further light on the interactions between EF-G and the ribosome, we used cryo-EM to image a sample of translating ribosomes in the presence of EF-G in which His91 is mutated to Ala (see Materials and Methods).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Biochemical analysis shows that a mutant of EF-G, H91A, hydrolyzes GTP at a substantially slower rate ($k_{\text{GTP H91A}} = 28 \pm 4.5 \text{ s}^{-1}$) than the wild type ($k_{\text{GTP WT}} = 202 \pm 29 \text{ s}^{-1}$) does on the ribosome (Fig. 1). The same EF-G mutant has been reported earlier as being completely inactive in GTP hydrolysis under different experimental conditions (24, 25). Also, in the case of EF-Tu, the corresponding H84A mutation was shown to be defective in GTP hydrolysis by five orders of magnitude (26, 27). These results, along with the smFRET results cited above (19), suggest that with H91A, stable EF-G–ribosome complexes suitable for imaging can even be obtained in the presence of authentic GTP; this is confirmed by co-sedimentation analysis by ultracentrifugation followed by SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) (Fig. 2).

Refined density maps were obtained for four major classes (Fig. S1 and Fig. 3), with resolutions in the range of 3.6 to 5.7 Å (Table 1, movies S1 to S3, and fig. S2), whose major differences are seen in a combination of presence or absence of EF-G and presence or absence of intersubunit rotation. The atomic details were extracted in terms of existing x-ray crystallographic structures of the 70S E. coli ribosome.
using the flexible fitting program MDFF (see Materials and Methods), and yielding representative structural models for four states, as summarized in Table 1. Here, we report our results on two structures showing EF-G bound to the rotated (Fig. 3B) and nonrotated (Fig. 3A) state of the ribosome, the former with one tRNA in the hybrid P/E position and the latter with tRNAs in the P and E positions (Fig. 3, C and D). The map resolution in the region surrounding the mutation H91A in EF-G is close to 3.2 and 3.4 Å in the unrotated and rotated state, respectively (Fig. 4). These two structures appear to correspond to the two GTP states observed in Chen et al.'s study (19).

The complexes could have been trapped in the GTP or GDP-Pi state. Furthermore, EF-G in both structures exhibits the domain constellation characteristic for its GTP form, distinguished from the GDP form by a rotation of the superdomain formed by domains II, IV, and V.

The rotated, EF-G–bound structure (Fig. 3B) has the characteristics of a pre-translocational ribosome in the GTP state, captured at the precise point where GTP hydrolysis is initiated in the wild-type situation. As in several x-ray structures (5, 10–12), no A/P-tRNA is present in this complex. This common observation is explained by the small size of the peptide; in an smFRET study (30) on the dynamics of EF-G in the pre-translocational complex showed an increase in the occupancy of tRNA in the hybrid A/P configuration as the peptide length was increased. As in these previous crystallography studies, the authenticity of the pre-translocational complex missing A/P is confirmed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maps</th>
<th>Annotation of states</th>
<th>Resolution (Å)</th>
<th>No. of particles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>nr70S-P-trNA-E-trNA</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>~50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>nr70S-P-trNA-E-trNA-EF-G</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>~90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>r70S-P/E-trNA-EF-G</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>~35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>r70S-P/E-trNA</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>~15,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This result shows that the positioning of the side chain of His\(^{91}\) is critical for the activation of GTP hydrolysis.

That the mutant H91A EF-G binds to both the rotated and unrotated ribosomes, yet wild-type EF-G only leads to GTP hydrolysis in the rotated ribosome [see (19, 25)], strongly suggests that the position of His\(^{91}\) (His\(^{87}\) in \textit{T. thermophilus}) seen in the x-ray structures of ribosomes bound to EF-G in the presence of GTP is a necessary but not sufficient condition for GTP hydrolysis. Clues for the additional requirements will therefore come from an examination of the differences in the binding interactions between EF-G\(\cdot\)GTP in the two conformations of the ribosome. As will be shown in detail below, this comparison reveals that the additional action effected by the rotation is the stabilization of domain III, which is poised to interact with the switch I loop. Because domain III is part of a superdomain that it forms with domains IV and V, stabilization of these latter two domains is required as well. Examination of the contacts between EF-G and the ribosome shows that in the rotated complex, the superdomain is stabilized by flanking interactions with domain III on one side and with domain V on the other side (Fig. 7), as follows.

In the present rotated pre-translocational complex, switch I and domain III are in contact through residues Glu\(^{-}\)-Arg\(^{+}\), Arg\(^{+}\)-Asp\(^{-}\) in \textit{E. coli} EF-G (12). This result agrees with the identification, in the x-ray structures, of the interacting pairs Glu\(^{-}\)-Arg\(^{+}\), Gln\(^{57}\)-Asp\(^{64}\), and Arg\(^{61}\)-Glu\(^{60}\) for EF-G of \textit{T. thermophilus} (11). We also find in the rotated complex that the 75-loop of protein S12 directly contacts domain III of EF-G, whereas residue 76 inserts itself into the middle of the helix (residues 424 to 440) and the \(\beta\)-turn (around residue 452) b in the rotated ribosome [see (19, 25)], strongly suggests that the position of His\(^{91}\) (His\(^{87}\) in \textit{T. thermophilus}) seen in the x-ray structures of ribosomes bound to EF-G in the presence of GTP is a necessary but not sufficient condition for GTP hydrolysis. Clues for the additional requirements will therefore come from an examination of the differences in the binding interactions between EF-G\(\cdot\)GTP in the two conformations of the ribosome. As will be shown in detail below, this comparison reveals that the additional action effected by the rotation is the stabilization of domain III, which is poised to interact with the switch I loop. Because domain III is part of a superdomain that it forms with domains IV and V, stabilization of these latter two domains is required as well. Examination of the contacts between EF-G and the ribosome shows that in the rotated complex, the superdomain is stabilized by flanking interactions with domain III on one side and with domain V on the other side (Fig. 7), as follows.

In the present rotated pre-translocational complex, switch I and domain III are in contact through residues Glu\(^{-}\)-Arg\(^{+}\), Arg\(^{+}\)-Asp\(^{-}\) in \textit{E. coli} EF-G (12). This result agrees with the identification, in the x-ray structures, of the interacting pairs Glu\(^{-}\)-Arg\(^{+}\), Gln\(^{57}\)-Asp\(^{64}\), and Arg\(^{61}\)-Glu\(^{60}\) for EF-G of \textit{T. thermophilus} (11). We also find in the rotated complex that the 75-loop of protein S12 directly contacts domain III of EF-G, whereas residue 76 inserts itself into the middle of the helix (residues 424 to 440) and the \(\beta\)-turn (around residue 452) b

![Fig. 4. Map resolution in a plane adjacent to residue Ala\(^{91}\).](http://advances.sciencemag.org/) (A) Map of the nonrotated ribosome complex. The line indicates the position of the section of the map in which the local resolution map is viewed. (B) Local resolution map in the section at the position shown in (A). (C) Zoomed-in view of the boxed region in (B). Resolution ranges are color-coded. (D to F) Illustrations of the rotated complex, corresponding to (A) to (C), respectively.

by the fact that the conformation of the ribosome is closely comparable with the authentic, A/P-tRNA–containing PRE complexes obtained by previous cryo-EM studies (\textit{4, 14, 15}). The structure shows that the nonrotated EF-G–bound structure (Fig. 3A) was the result of the binding of EF-G in its GTP state to the post-translocational ribosome, which is weak and transient (19), but may be frequently sampled on account of the high concentration of EF-G\(\cdot\)GTP in our sample. This structure is distinct from the structure of the post-translocational ribosome bound with EF-G in the GDP state, which can be trapped by fusidic acid (28) and is only reached after a round of translocation.

We first come to a close examination of the GTPase activation region. In both density maps, the region encompassing switch I, switch II, and the P-loop is virtually identical, showing the characteristics of the GTP state: switch I is ordered (Fig. 5) as in all x-ray structures of eubacterial ribosomes bound with the EF-G and GTP analogs GDPNP (10), GDPCP (9, 11), or GMPMP (12). An overall structural comparison of the current EF-G and the x-ray structures is summarized in Table 2. However, our structures differ from previous wild-type EF-G structures in the distances between the C\(_g\) atom of H91A and the C\(_g\) atom of Thr\(^{63}\) by about 1 to 2 Å. The slightly greater distances, compared with the corresponding distances in the x-ray structures of \textit{T. thermophilus} (Table 3 and Fig. 6; 9–12, 20), must be attributed to the mutation from the charged histidine to the nonpolar alanine residue. Hence, despite the very similar positions and conformations of the local structures in the immediate environment of GTP, the change in the distance between residue 91 and the γ phosphate, due to absence of the positive charge and the bulky side chain of His, renders the GTPase center of EF-G defective in triggering GTP hydrolysis.
relative to domain III in our present two complexes, the conformation of protein S12 remains virtually the same, and the difference is only introduced by the intersubunit rotation. This inserted position is maintained during translocation because it also appears in the x-ray structure of the POST complex in which the nonrotated ribosome is bound with EF-G [fig. S4; (28)]. In conclusion, the insertion of the 75-loop of protein S12 into domain III of EF-G in the rotated ribosome is one of the critical elements for stabilization of domain III ensuring efficient activation of GTP hydrolysis.

We now proceed to the stabilization of domain V from the other side of the superdomain (Fig. 7C). The interactions between the elements of domain V (side chains of Leu$^{64}$ and Gln$^{64}$) and nucleotides A1067 and A1096 in helices 43 and 44 are only found in the rotated complex, in which the N-terminal domain of L11 is also rotated toward domain V, compared with both the EF-G-unbound and EF-G-bound nonrotated ribosomes. The closed position of the L11 lobe appears to stabilize domain V, whereas domain III is restricted in its orientation by protein S12’s insertion on the opposite side of the structure (Fig. 7C). The interactions with protein S12 and the L11 lobe produce a pair of flanking restrictions on EF-G, which, in contrast, are not formed in the nonrotated state.

### Table 2. Comparison of EF-G conformations in terms of root mean square deviation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EF-G in 4KIY.pdb (from E. coli PRE; resolution: 2.9 Å)</th>
<th>EF-G in 4BTC.pdb (from T. thermophilus PRE; resolution: 2.95 Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EF-G in nonrotated complex</td>
<td>1.3 Å</td>
<td>1.3 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF-G in rotated complex</td>
<td>1.2 Å</td>
<td>1.3 Å</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Geometries for region of switch I and II and P-loop.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distances (Å)</th>
<th>X-ray EF-G average</th>
<th>X-ray EF-Tu rt</th>
<th>X-ray EF-Tu nrt</th>
<th>X-ray POST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>d1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d2</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d3</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d4</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 6. Structures for region of switch I and II and P-loop. (A) Structure (x-ray PDB: 4JUW) around GTP showing the residues directly involved in GTP hydrolysis. Distances d1 (between Ca of His$^{87}$ and the Py atom of GTP), d2 (between Ca atoms of His$^{87}$ and Thr$^{64}$), d3 (between Ca atoms of His$^{87}$ and Asp$^{22}$), and d4 (between Ca atoms of Thr$^{64}$ and Asp$^{22}$) were obtained by averaging these distances from four x-ray structures (4JUW, 4KIY, 4KBT, 4KCY). For EF-Tu, the x-ray structure 4QDS was used. The x-ray POST used PDB 2WRI. “rt” and “nrt” denote rotated and nonrotated structures, respectively (see also Fig. 3).](http://advances.sciencemag.org/Downloaded from http://advances.sciencemag.org)

![Fig. 5. Structures of 70S-bound EF-G in its GTP-bound state. (A) EF-G structure obtained from our cryo-EM maps of the nonrotated (green) and rotated complexes (blue), aligned on domains I and II. (B) Map-fitted structure in the rotated complex (see corresponding fig. S3 for the nonrotated complex). (C) Map in (B) displayed at a very high threshold, singling out the density for GTP.](http://advances.sciencemag.org/Downloaded from http://advances.sciencemag.org)
loop of tRNAs (31), interacts more strongly with bridge B2a, which is formed between helix 69 of 23S RNA and helix 44 of 16S RNA.

It is finally worth asking, given the evidence that EF-G•GTP competes with the aa-tRNA•EF-Tu•GTP complex for binding to the POST ribosome, what are the structural features that favor the ternary complex? In the smFRET experiments of Chen et al. (19), EF-G•GTP was seen to only visit the nonrotated POST ribosome intermittently, indicating a weak interaction. In contrast, these authors found much longer lifetimes of the complex formed between EF-G•GTP and the ribosome in the rotated state. Comparison of our two EF-G–bound cryo-EM density maps shows that in the nonrotated state, EF-G’s interaction with the ribosome is unstable, whereas in the rotated state, the factor establishes strong contacts with protein S12 and the L11-lobe. Thus, the overall weak binding of EF-G to the nonrotated state stands in stark contrast to multiple binding interactions with aa-tRNA•EF-Tu•GTP, explaining the results of Chen et al. (19) in structural terms.

Fig. 7. Contacts between EF-G, protein S12, and helices 43 and 44 of 23S ribosomal RNA. (A) In the rotated complex, the 76-loop of protein S12 (blue-gray) is inserted into domain III of EF-G (salmon). The group of atoms for His76 are displayed as red spheres. In the nonrotated complex (domain III in slate blue; a portion of S12 in blue), His76 (atoms displayed as cyan spheres) in protein S12 is away from domain III. (B) Overview of the flanking restrictions on EF-G domains III and V in the rotated complex. The structure of EF-G is outlined with a gray background. (C) Contact between domain V and nucleotides A1068 in helix 43 and A1095 in helix 44 of the 23S ribosomal RNA. In the rotated structure, domain V is green and helices (H) 43 and 44 are blue-gray, in which A1068 and A1095 are red. In the nonrotated complex, domain V is slate blue and helices are purple.

Fig. 8. Positions of residues 75 to 76 in protein S12 relative to domain III of EF-G. (A) Density maps (mesh) of the 30S subunit and EF-G in the nonrotated (nrt) state, with structures shown as ribbon. The domains of EF-G are labeled. (B) A stereo view of the boxed region in (A). Residues 75 to 76 are in cyan. (C and D) Illustrations corresponding to (A) and (B) respectively, but for the rotated state.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Buffer and translation components
All experiments were performed using Hepes polymix buffer (pH 7.5) at room temperature (32). E. coli MRE600 70S ribosomes, His-tagged initiation factors (IF1, IF2, and IF3) and elongation factors (EF-Tu, EF-Ts, and EF-G), XR7-Met-Leu-Phe-stop mRNA, tRNALeu, and [3H]fMet-tRNAfMet were purified following standard laboratory protocols (32, 33). H91A EF-G was created by mutating histidine (H) at position 91 to alanine (A) in wild-type EF-G construct (C-terminally His-tagged) (a gift from K. S. Wilson, Oklahoma State University) by site-directed mutagenesis (34). Nucleotide triphosphates [adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and GTP] were purchased from GE Healthcare.

Single-turnover GTP hydrolysis
GTP hydrolysis by EF-G (wild type and H91A) was measured under single-turnover conditions by mixing 70S ribosomes (3 μM) and [3H]GTP (15 μM) with EF-G (15 μM) in a quench-flow device (QOF-4, KinTek Corp.) at 37°C. The reaction was quenched at different time points with 25% formic acid. The amount of [3H]GDP formed was estimated by separating the [3H]GTP and [3H]GDP fractions on a Mono Q column attached to a high-performance liquid chromatography system. The rate of GTP hydrolysis ($k_{GTP}$) was obtained by fitting the data with a single exponential function using Origin 8.0 (OriginLab).
Preparation of the translocation complex with H91A EF-G

An initiation mixture (IM) containing 70S ribosomes (0.5 μM), all the three initiation factors [IF1, IF2, and IF3 (0.5 μM each)], XR7-Met-Leu-Phe mRNA (2 μM), [3H]fMet-tRNA^Met (1 μM), ATP (1 mM), GTP (1 mM), and phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP; 10 mM), and an elongation mixture (EM) containing elongation factors EF-Tu (0.5 μM), EF-Ts (0.2 μM), and EF-G H91A (5 μM), leucine (100 μM), tRNA^Leu (0.5 μM), Leu-tRNA synthetase (0.2 μM), ATP (1 mM), GTP (1 mM), and PEP (10 mM) were incubated separately at 37°C for 15 min. Both reaction mixtures were cooled down by leaving them at room temperature for 10 min. To initiate peptide elongation, 2 μl of IM was mixed with 8 μl of EM to achieve a final concentration of 70S ribosomes of 100 nM. The reaction was done for 15 s at room temperature (between 20° and 23°C). Aliquots of 4 μl of the final mixture were dropped on carbon-coated and glow-discharged holey carbon grids (Quantifoil 2/4 grids), which were incubated for 30 s in the Vitrobot chamber at a temperature of 4°C and a humidity of 100%. The grids were blotted for 1 s using a FEI Vitrobot, and the grids were immediately freeze-plunged in liquid ethane at the temperature of liquid nitrogen.

Co-sedimentation analysis to determine the occupancy of H91A EF-G in the translocation complex

The H91A EF-G–containing translocation complex was analyzed by co-sedimentation assay to determine the occupancy of EF-G in the complex. First, the translocation complex (prepared as above) was ultracentrifuged at 100,000g at 4°C with 30% sucrose cushion in an analytical ultracentrifuge. Then, the ribosomal pellet was carefully or thick ice, using the method described by Jiang and et al. 

Cryogenic electron microscopy

The specimens were transferred to a Titan Krios electron microscope (FEI) under cryo-conditions. The microscope was operated at 300 kV. Images were recorded using an FEI Falcon I direct electron detection camera with the Leginon program (35) at a calibrated magnification of ×59,000, yielding a pixel size of 1.09 Å. Defocus values for the contrast transfer function were calculated using CTFIND3 (36) and were in the range of 1.5 to 3.5 μm in the final data set. A total of 8800 micrographs were collected, 6800 of which were used for particle selection after excluding those micrographs that had either poor power spectra or thick ice, using the method described by Jiang et al. (37). Program EMAN was used to select ribosome-sized single-particle images and then manually examine the quality of these images, yielding a data set of 380,000 single-particle images. The images were subjected to three-dimensional (3D) classifications and then map refinements, all performed using program RELION 1.2 (38). The process of hierarchical 3D classification is detailed in fig. S6. The refined maps were corrected for the modulation transfer function of the detector, and the high spatial frequencies were boosted using B-factor sharpening (39). Local resolutions of the maps were calculated using ResMap [fig. S7; (40)].

Model building and map fitting

With the program Modeller, the sequence of EF-G from E. coli was aligned to the sequence of EF-G from T. thermophilus, and then a homology model was built using the x-ray structure of EF-G from T. thermophilus [Protein Data Bank (PDB) ID: 2WRI] as the template. This template structure was bound with GDP and fusidic acid in the original form. The atomic structures of the 70S ribosome from E. coli, which included an fMet-tRNA in the P site (PDB IDs: 3J0U and 3J9T) of the nonrotated ribosome, were used for the starting model of the map-fitting of the nonrotated states. The atomic model (PDB IDs: 3J0Z and 3J12) of the rotated ribosome including P/E-tRNA was used for the starting model of the fitting for the maps of the rotated states. The starting structures for the 70S ribosome and EF-G were fitted separately into the segmented maps, and then the fitted structures were combined together. The flexible fitting was done using the program MDFF (41) with implicit solvent, the generalized-Born implicit solvent model as implemented in NAMD. The final product fitting for each map was run for a 0.5-ns simulation, which was followed by 5000 steps of energy minimization. The cryo-EM maps and the atomic structures have been deposited (EMD-6315, EMD-6316, 3J9Z.pdb, and 3JAl.pdb).
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