The chlorine isotope fingerprint of the lunar magma ocean

Jeremy W. Boyce, Allan H. Treiman, Yunbin Guan, Chi Ma, John M. Eiler, Juliane Gross, James P. Greenwood, Edward M. Stolper

The Moon contains chlorine that is isotopically unlike that of any other body yet studied in the Solar System, an observation that has been interpreted to support traditional models of the formation of a nominally hydrogen-free (“dry”) Moon. We have analyzed chlorides and isotopic compositions of Cl and H in lunar mare basalts, and find little evidence that anhydrous lava outgassing was important in generating chlorine isotope anomalies, because $^{37}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ ratios are not related to Cl abundance, H abundance, or D/H ratios in a manner consistent with the lava-outgassing hypothesis. Instead, $^{37}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ correlates positively with Cl abundance in apatite, as well as with whole-rock Th abundances and La/Lu ratios, suggesting that the high $^{37}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ in lunar basalts is inherited from urKREEP, the last dregs of the lunar magma ocean. These new data suggest that the high chlorine isotope ratios of lunar basalts result not from the degassing of their lavas but from degassing of the lunar magma ocean early in the Moon’s history. Chlorine isotope variability is therefore an indicator of planetary magma ocean degassing, an important stage in the formation of terrestrial planets.

INTRODUCTION

The Earth and Moon are nonidentical twins, born in the same giant impact (1). Chemically dissimilar from the volatile-rich rocks of Earth, rocks from the Moon are depleted in the volatile elements. This difference expresses across the entire periodic table (2), but more noticeably in the absence in lunar rocks of common terrestrial hydrous minerals such as biotite and amphibole. In contrast to those differences in elemental abundances, rocks from the Moon and Earth have very similar isotopic ratios for many of the most abundant elements (3–10), which strongly ties the two bodies together with respect to their genesis. One of the most significant exceptions to this is lunar chlorine. It recently has been shown that lunar basalts, glasses, and apatite (a common accessory mineral, Ca$_5$[PO$_4$]$_3$[F,Cl,OH]), which can contain abundant Cl, have $\delta^{37}\text{Cl}$ values [reported as $\delta^{37}\text{Cl}$ relative to standard mean ocean chlorine (SMOC)] that range from typical terrestrial values of $0 \pm 1\%$ to +81\% (11, 12), far greater than observed in any other solid materials in our Solar System (11). These elevated $\delta^{37}\text{Cl}$ values were interpreted as having been produced by isotopic fractionation during extensive outgassing of Cl, probably from eruptions of basalt that were sufficiently poor in H such that HCl was not an important gas species (11). This “lava-outgassing” scenario is consistent with observations that bulk lunar rocks are generally poor in H relative to their terrestrial counterparts (13–15), but is at odds with data suggesting that many lunar magmas contained significant H before eruption (16–19), and still other data suggesting that some lunar magmas apparently had H abundances and D/H ratios similar to their terrestrial counterparts (20, 21).

It has been difficult to reconcile interpretations based on H abundances and isotopic ratios with those based on Cl abundances and isotopic ratios, in part because there have been limited data on H and Cl abundances and isotopic compositions for the same materials. Here, we present measurements of H and Cl abundances as well as $^{37}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ and D/H ratios in apatite crystals from a range of lunar basalts, where measurements are from the same thin sections and, when possible, in the same apatite crystals (for example, Fig. 1). We use these data to place constraints on the origin of the unusual chlorine isotopic composition of the Moon and to place that chlorine within the larger framework of lunar volatiles.

RESULTS

Chlorine isotope ratios in the samples analyzed here range from $\delta^{37}\text{Cl} = +4\%$ in Miller Range (MIL) 05035 to +18\% in 12039 (Fig. 2 and Table 1) and are consistent with the few previous analyses of $^{37}\text{Cl}$ in apatite from mare basalts, with the exception of MIL 05035: The $\delta^{37}\text{Cl}$ for this sample (−4 ± 2\%) is among the lowest measured in any natural material from anywhere in the Solar System. Lunar apatites also display a wide range of D/H (Fig. 3), with D values [reported as D relative to Vienna standard mean ocean water (VSMOW)] of multispot grain averages ranging from −150 to +970\%. Of the basalts, 10044 has the greatest range in $\delta^{37}\text{Cl}$ (+2 to +15\%), as well as in D, from +540 to +950\% (n = 6), where the latter are consistent with other analyses of 10044 (Fig. 3).

Chlorine abundances in apatites from low-Ti basalts (Fig. 2A) range from 480 to 16,000 ppm. Chlorine abundances in seven of eight apatites in high-Ti basalt 10044 are between 220 and 480 ppm, with one outlier at 4000 ppm Cl. Apatite grains in low-Ti mare basalts have hydrogen concentrations (always reported here as the oxide H$_2$O equivalent) from below 100 ppm H$_2$O in sample 12040 to 2920 ppm H$_2$O in 12039, with data from the literature extending this to more than 5000 ppm (Fig. 2B). Apatites from high-Ti mare basalts (10044 and 75055) vary from 420 to 1430 ppm H$_2$O.

Lunar rocks are remarkable for their high $^{37}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ values, which imply a distinctive fractionation event in lunar history that is not preserved in rocks of other planetary bodies. The lava-outgassing hypothesis of...
Sharp et al. (11) requires that the basalt magmas contain minimal hydro-
gen (otherwise, degassing would be predicted to be of HCl gas, which
would cause little fractionation of $^{37}$Cl from $^{35}$Cl). Independent of
the mechanism of fractionating chlorine, the timing of the chlorine
loss resulting in the fractionation must also be constrained: The lava-
outgassing model suggests that this loss and fraction occur circa
eruption.

**DISCUSSION**

The data reported here allow three tests of the lava-outgassing hy-
thesis, two based on abundances and one on isotope ratios:

(i) Elevated $^{37}$Cl/$^{35}$Cl ratios should be inversely proportional to
Cl abundance.

(ii) Elevated $^{37}$Cl/$^{35}$Cl ratios should not be observed in samples
with abundant H.

(iii) Elevated $^{37}$Cl/$^{35}$Cl ratios should be observed only in samples
that have enrichments in D/H.

Although the quantitative significance of measured abundances
of H and Cl in apatite has been called into question (22), H and Cl
concentrations—or even simply their presence or absence—are still
valuable constraints on models used to explain observed H and Cl
isotopes in lunar basalts. This is especially true of Cl, which does
not experience the same order of magnitude of increase in apatite
due to fractionation of fluorine.

On the basis of the lava-outgassing hypothesis, one would predict
that high $^{37}$Cl values should be found preferentially in samples with
low abundances of Cl, because elevated $^{37}$Cl is thought to develop
only through extensive Cl loss (11). We find, to the contrary, that
apatites with high $^{37}$Cl are among the most Cl-rich, with Cl abund-
dances positively correlated with $^{37}$Cl values for the same samples
(Fig. 2A). If the mechanism for increasing $^{37}$Cl involves preferential
loss of $^{35}$Cl (which seems likely, relative to $^{37}$Cl) and is occurring syn-
and post-eruption, there must have been a subsequent process that
concentrated Cl (with elevated $^{37}$Cl) relative to F and OH. This im-
plies that the fractionation of Cl did not occur in the degassing ac-
companying eruption and cooling at the surface, because there is no
subsequent event after eruption that can preferentially enrich high-
$^{37}$Cl samples in their Cl abundance more than that same process en-
riches low-$^{37}$Cl samples. This is especially problematic given that the
samples that are more fractionated (more elevated $^{37}$Cl) likely have

---

Fig. 1. Photomicrographs of two basalts. Photomicrographs of two
basalts analyzed in this study, with yellow crosses marking the locations
of analyses. (A) Subhedral apatite grains (outlined in yellow for clarity)
forming a cluster in Apollo 12039.42. (B) Euhedral to subhedral apatite
grains in Apollo 12040.211 that show skeletal growth with hollow centers.

Fig. 2. Plots of Cl and H$_2$O versus $^{37}$Cl. (A and B) Plots of Cl (A) and
H$_2$O (B) concentrations versus $^{37}$Cl values in apatite from the Moon. Each
data point represents a single apatite grain in a rock, including data from
the literature plotted as smaller symbols (11, 12, 33); magenta symbols are
high-Ti basalts; blue symbols are low-Ti basalts; gray symbols are KREEP
basalts. There are no statistically significant correlations observed for any
of the sample types studied here. Elevated $^{37}$Cl values are associated
with both high H$_2$O and high Cl concentrations: The former is inconsistent
with the idea that elevated $^{37}$Cl values are only associated with anhy-
drous conditions, and the latter is inconsistent with a simple, single-stage
fractionation model.
experienced greater degrees of fractional loss to achieve higher $^{37}$Cl/$^{35}$Cl values—but they must finish with higher Cl abundances.

A second prediction of the lava-outgassing model is that magmas with high $d^{37}$Cl should be nearly anhydrous ($11$), and thus, the apatite crystals would also be anhydrous. The negative relationship between H abundance and $d^{37}$Cl in apatites from some lunar basalts (for example, NWA 2977) could be interpreted as support for this prediction (Fig. 2B). However, nearly all lunar apatites have high $d^{37}$Cl relative to the range of terrestrial samples ($0 \pm 1$‰), and many of them are rich in H, some exceeding 5000 ppm H$_2$O. Thus, it is not impossible to achieve fractionations of the same order of magnitude as those observed in mare basalts from phase transformations of HCl.

Table 1. New data generated for this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Grain</th>
<th>H$_2$O (ppm)</th>
<th>H$_2$O 2σ</th>
<th>Cl (ppm)</th>
<th>Cl 2σ</th>
<th>$\delta^{37}$Cl</th>
<th>$\delta^{37}$Cl 2σ</th>
<th>$\delta$D</th>
<th>$\delta$D 2σ</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10044</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1105</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>+9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+933</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>High Ti basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10044</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>+6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+954</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>High Ti basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10044</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>High Ti basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10044</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>1220</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>+781</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>High Ti basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10044</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>+11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+536</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>High Ti basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10044</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3976</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>+15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>High Ti basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10044</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>4a</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>+12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+606</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>High Ti basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10044</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>4b</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>+12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+702</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>High Ti basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75055</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>+8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+621</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>High Ti basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75055</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1225</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>+6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+794</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>High Ti basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75055</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1241</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+968</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>High Ti basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75055</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1430</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>+6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+794</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>High Ti basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75055</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>+5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>High Ti basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12039</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>+18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+720</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Low Ti basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12039</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2379</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>+17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+830</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Low Ti basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12039</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>+16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Low Ti basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12039</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>+17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Low Ti basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12039</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>17a</td>
<td>2784</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1157</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>+17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+729</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Low Ti basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12039</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>17b</td>
<td>2916</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>+16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+698</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Low Ti basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12040</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15884</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>+17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+9</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>Low Ti basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12040</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2689</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>+13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+14</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>Low Ti basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12040</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3388</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>+14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−150</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Low Ti basalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL 05035</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Low Ti basalt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Third, we note that if the H-poor magmas required for generation of $d^{37}$Cl anomalies were themselves generated by extensive degassing of H$_2$ (favoring the lighter isotope), one should expect that mare basalts with elevated $d^{37}$Cl would also have relatively elevated $\delta$D. This is not only because—in the context of the lava-outgassing hypothesis—extensive loss of hydrogen is required to generate conditions under which metal chloride degassing dominates over HCl degassing, but also (more generally) because magmas that have outgassed Cl should also have had the opportunity to outgas H. If the building blocks of Earth and its Moon were H-bearing, and the lava-outgassing hypothesis requires the magma to be H-free when Cl loss is initiated syn-eruption, then hydrogen must have been lost before Cl, resulting in an elevated D/H ratio in the residue. This expectation is not met: Instead of the positive correlation one might expect, lunar basalts actually define two separate populations with no apparent relationship between $\delta$D and $d^{37}$Cl. Some basalts with very high $d^{37}$Cl (for example, samples 12040 and NWA 2977, which range up to +20‰) have low $\delta$D (≤0‰) (Fig. 4).

It should also be noted that neither the relationship between $\delta$D and H abundance (Fig. 3) nor the presence of apatite with strongly
Negative $\delta D$ can be explained by extensive losses of $H_2$ from a chondritic reservoir (24, 25). Given the presence of implanted solar wind, spallospheric D, and H-rich chondritic materials gardened into the upper lunar regolith through repeated impacts, it is plausible that the regolith serves as an exchangeable reservoir of H for adjacent mafic dikes, sills, and lava flows that can modify the D/H ratio of magmas (26, 27). This form of “crustal contamination” (as previously suggested by Hui et al. (28) to explain major and trace element variability in Apollo 14 basalts) may exert significant leverage on D/H ratios, especially in magmas with low intrinsic H abundances. Apatite grains in individual basalt samples show wide ranges of $\delta D$ values, with individual samples having ranges as large as 800 $‰$ (10044), which is also consistent with partial late-stage reequilibration with extrinsic hydrogen.

We conclude that none of the three tests of the lava-outgassing hypothesis are consistent with this new data set, and therefore, we develop other explanations, focusing on processes that are implied by correlations between $\delta^{37}Cl$ and other geochemical properties: The $\delta^{37}Cl$ values of apatite from lunar basalts are correlated with Cl content, which is known to be enriched in KREEP. KREEP is the name given to a chemical component—possibly derived from the urKREEP, the last vestige of the lunar magma ocean—incompatible during the crystallization of magmas (29–31). Incompatible elements are those that are preferentially excluded from crystals growing from a melt and therefore concentrated in that residual melt—in this case, the lunar magma ocean. The lunar magma ocean first crystallizes from below, then from both above and below, resulting in a “sandwich horizon” of melt with decreasing volume and increasing abundances of incompatible elements (31). KREEP (and the proposed urKREEP source) have long been implicated in the trace element variations of lunar basalts [for example, (32)], with different units having different contributions of KREEP (sometimes referred to as “KREEPiness”). More KREEP-rich (or “KREEPy”) samples are observed to have higher abundances of trace elements such as Th, Cl, as well as the major and trace elements that make up the acronym KREEP. Model compositions of the urKREEP (29) also indicate that the urKREEP may have elevated La/Lu ratios, relative to the mare basalt source. Thus, trace element abundances and ratios can be used to determine the magnitude of KREEP contamination/addition. The $\delta^{37}Cl$ values of mare basalt apatites are also positively correlated with abundances and ratios in their host rocks of the elements that are enriched in the lunar KREEP component, notably bulk Th abundance and La/Lu abundance ratios derived from the literature (Fig. 5) (29, 31).

The correlations between Cl, Th, La/Lu, and $\delta^{37}Cl$ suggest that Cl abundances and $\delta^{37}Cl$ of mare basalts are controlled—at least in part—by the abundance of the KREEP component. The highest values of $\delta^{37}Cl$ found in previous studies for apatite from lunar basalt were from a KREEPy clast in the highlands breccia 72275 (11), whereas the highest values observed by (33) were also in a KREEPy clast. The trends in Fig. 5 are consistent with the existence of a background lunar mantle reservoir containing Cl with a $\delta^{37}Cl$ value similar to the bulk Earth (~0‰) and a KREEP reservoir such as urKREEP containing more abundant Cl with a $\delta^{37}Cl$ of $\geq+30‰$ (34). The lunar mantle end member might be recording an undegassed lunar source, a partially degassed source that did not have the special conditions required for fractionation of Cl isotopes, or meteoritic material that could have a $\delta^{37}Cl$ as low as $-4‰$ (35). Chlorine degassed from a mare basalt at any stage of lunar evolution might be expected to have low $\delta^{37}Cl$ relative to its source and could return to the Moon as adsorbrates on regolith grains (11), providing another possible explanation for the negative $\delta^{37}Cl$ values observed for lunar apatite in MIL 05035.
We interpret the high $\delta^{37}$Cl of urKREEP to have formed during vapor phase loss of Cl from the magma ocean, perhaps by a mechanism akin to that of Sharp et al. (11): loss of transition metal chlorides from a hydrogen-poor melt. However, it is also possible that the mechanism is that of HCl loss, which was also put forth by Sharp et al. (23). Either mechanism of Cl loss is consistent with recent interpretations of Cl, Zn, and K isotopes from lunar materials, subsets of which have similar patterns of fractionations (36). Chlorine that survives the degassing of the lunar magma ocean is enriched in $^{37}$Cl relative to $^{35}$Cl, and is then concentrated into the urKREEP, because Cl is incompatible in all of the major lunar mantle phases and will choose to stay in the ever-shrinking remnants of the lunar magma ocean. This results in a reservoir that is enriched in C, and, has elevated La/Lu, and still has the fingerprint of the magmatic ocean degassing preserved in the elevated isotopic ratio of Cl. Basalts may acquire this isotopic signature via incorporation of KREEP-rich materials in the lunar mantle or as the magmas are traversing—and assimilating—crust or regolith materials that have a KREEP signature.

Other planets are inferred to have had magma oceans early in their histories—including Earth—which shows no evidence of elevated $\delta^{37}$Cl (37). It is of course possible that the budget of Cl on Earth is dominated by late delivery of volatile-rich materials (38), but this is inconsistent with the hypothesis that the terrestrial planets received their hydrogen early (39). Even if Earth’s chlorine is primordial, the pressure, temperature, and chemistry of the atmosphere above a magma ocean most likely control—at least in part—the extent to which loss can change the isotope ratio of the residuum (40, 41). To the extent that fractionation due to Cl loss is governed by parent body size—with smaller bodies predicted to have less atmosphere and therefore larger potential fractionations—the magnitudes (if not the directions) of the $\delta^{37}$Cl anomalies for rocks from Earth, Mars, and the Moon are consistent with the relative size and expected atmospheric pressures of those bodies during their magma ocean phases. This model predicts elevated $\delta^{37}$Cl for other small bodies that had magma oceans, as is inferred for 4Vesta (42). Thus, chlorine isotopes may provide a unique tool for exploring the existence, extent, and conditions during the magma ocean phase that is thought to be a significant stage in the formation of terrestrial planetary bodies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental design**

The purpose of this study was to investigate the relationship between chlorine and other elements (as well as their isotope ratios) in lunar basalts. We set out to test the hypothesis that $\delta^{37}$Cl variations in lunar basalts are due to anhydrous degassing of basalts during eruption (11). Three predictions following from that hypothesis were tested:

(i) Elevated $^{37}$Cl/$^{35}$Cl ratios should be inversely proportional to Cl abundance.

(ii) Elevated $^{37}$Cl/$^{35}$Cl ratios should not be observed in samples with abundant H.

(iii) Elevated $^{37}$Cl/$^{35}$Cl ratios should be reserved for samples that have enrichments in D/H.

These hypotheses were tested by making the H, Cl, D/H, and $^{37}$Cl/$^{35}$Cl measurements in apatite crystals from the same samples and, when possible, in the same crystals. After these predictions were tested, additional hypotheses were formulated, including the hypothesis that the degassing of the lunar magma ocean had generated the high $^{37}$Cl/$^{35}$Cl ratios observed in the samples. This hypothesis makes three additional predictions:

(i) Elevated $^{37}$Cl/$^{35}$Cl ratios should be positively correlated to Cl abundance.

(ii) Elevated $^{37}$Cl/$^{35}$Cl ratios should be positively correlated to Th abundance, which is elevated in urKREEP.

(iii) Elevated $^{37}$Cl/$^{35}$Cl ratios should be positively correlated to La/Lu ratio, which is elevated in urKREEP.

These predictions were tested by direct measurement of $^{37}$Cl and Cl abundance (above), and by comparison with literature values for bulk Th content and La/Lu ratio.

**Materials**

Six thin sections of five samples were analyzed by ion microprobe for this study: two high-Ti basalts (10044 and 75055) and three low-Ti basalts (12039, 12040, and MIL 05035).
Apollo sample 10044. This is a low-K ilmenite basalt that has a Ti content lower than typical ilmenite basalts from Apollo 11 (43). On the basis of its texture, it was classified as coarse-grained porphyritic basalt (44) and microgabbro [for example, (45)], which consists of subhedral to anhedral pyroxene, set in a matrix of plagioclase, anhedral pyroxene, and ilmenite, with minor apatite, spinel, silica, and symplectic intergrowth. For a more detailed description of the petrography of 10044, see the Lunar Sample Compendium (43). Here,apatites from two thin sections were examined, 10044,12 and 10044,644, which appear mostly as inclusions in pyroxene and oxides. The grain sizes range from <5 to 200 μm. Most grains are euhedral to subhedral in shape, with some grains appearing as irregular-shaped aggregates. For this study, five apatite grains were analyzed (13 analyses total) with ranges in grain size from 20 to 200 μm.

Apollo sample 75055. This is a medium-grained ilmenite basalt that is more aluminous and less Ti-rich than other Apollo 17 basalts (46). On the basis of its texture, the sample has been described as subophitic (44, 47) with tabular plagioclase intergrown with subhedral to anhedral pyroxene and ilmenite laths. For further petrographic information, see the Lunar Sample Compendium (43). Here, Apollo sample 75055,55 was analyzed. Apatite in this sample appears as inclusions mainly in pyroxene and oxides, but some can be found in plagioclase. Apatite ranges in grain size from <5 to 110 μm and are mostly subhedral in shape. Some grains show skeletal growth with hollow centers similar to apatite from Apollo 12040 (Fig. 1). Five apatite grains have been analyzed for this study (13 analyses total), none smaller than 10 μm in size.

Apollo sample 12039. This is a medium-grained pigeonite basalt/microgabbro (48, 49) and one of the most Fe-rich and Mg-poor Apollo 12 igneous rocks (50). Texturally, it ranges from porphyritic (44), to subophitic, to granular (50). Petrographically, it is mainly composed of plagioclase and pyroxene with long needles of ilmenite and tridymite cutting across the plagioclase and pyroxene. Minor troilite, tranquillity, chrome, metal, apatite, and symplectic intergrowths are present (43). Here, apatite in thin section 12039,42 was analyzed. Apatite is subhedral to anhedral, sometimes present as long needles, with grain sizes ranging from <10 to 400 μm long. Some grains occur as clusters, and some grains show skeletal growth (Fig. 1). Seventeen points in five different grains were analyzed.

Apollo sample 12040. This is a coarse-grained olivine basalt with a high proportion of mafic minerals. Texturally, it is equigranular with an average grain size of 1 mm (51). It is mainly composed of olivine and pyroxene with minor plagioclase, ilmenite, chromite, troilite, metal, phosphates, and alkali feldspar (52, 53). Here, three apatite grains were analyzed (14 spots total) in thin section 12040,211. Apatite is mostly subhedral to anhedral, and grain sizes range from <5 to 30 μm and occurs in pyroxene and plagioclase. Small, subhedral to euhedral apatite grains show skeletal growth with hollow centers (Fig. 1).

Lunar meteorite MIL 05035. MIL 05035 is a coarse-grained lunar gabbroic meteorite (54). It mainly consists of pyroxene (54 to 69 volume %) with grain sizes up to 6 mm and plagioclase (17 to 36 volume %) with grain sizes up to 4 mm. It contains minor fayalitic olivine, ilmenite, spinel, FeS,apatite, and silica, which represent crystallized products of its residual melt (54, 55). It also contains symplectic intergrowth that is composed of silica, fayalitic olivine, and hedenbergitic pyroxene (54). Here, a single apatite grain in the thin section MIL 05035,6 was analyzed (two points total).

Methods

Measurements of H, Cl, F, as well as D/H and $^{37}$Cl/$^{35}$Cl were all made in the Caltech Center for Microanalysis using the Cameca 7f-GEO secondary ion mass spectrometer. Standards used for abundance measurements are those described in (56) with the slightly revised values of (57). Isotopic measurements are reported relative to Durango apatite at $\delta^{37}$Cl = +0.40% SMOC and 8D = −120 ± 5% VSMOW (2σ) (16).

Abundances of OH, F, and Cl. Measurements of volatile abundances in lunar apatite are made by measuring $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O (reference element), $^{19}$F, $^{33}$P (secondary reference element), $^{32}$S, and $^{35}$Cl using a −0.5-nA, 10-keV Cs” beam at a mass resolving power of ~5500, sufficient to separate peaks of interest from all known interferences (18). Preanalytical sputtering was performed with a 2-nA beam and 25-μm × 25-μm raster for 300 s, except in cases where abundance measurements followed isotopic measurements, in which case preanalytical sputtering was reduced to 20 s. This was followed by 10 to 30 cycles of measurement with a 2-μm × 2-μm raster. Secondary ions were accelerated to −9 keV, and those passing through a −100-μm field aperture were measured with dynamic transfer via electron multiplier, except for F and Cl, that in some cases were measured with a Faraday cup. An 80% electronic gating was applied to further reduce contamination. Measurements of less than 100 ppm H$_2$O are very conservatively assumed to be within error of the blank for epoxy-bearing thin sections such as those studied here.

Cl isotopes. Measurements of Cl isotopes were made in two sessions, both normalized to Durango apatite at +0.4% SMOC. In the first session, samples were presputtered for 300 s at ~2 nA with a 25-μm × 25-μm raster. Data were collected for $^{35}$Cl (1 s) and $^{37}$Cl (1 s) using a lower primary beam current (~0.5 nA), rastered over a smaller area (2 μm × 2 μm) for 300 cycles. Data were collected with an electron multiplier (dead time = 44 ns) at low mass resolving power (~2000). Additional settings were as follows: field aperture (400 μm), contrast aperture (150 μm), no dynamic transfer, no E-gating, 45-eV energy window. The second session used similar settings, except that a Faraday cup detector was used instead of an electron multiplier, with a higher primary current (~3 to 3.5 nA for the presputter, ~1.5 to 2 nA), and shorter analysis times (120-s presputter, 30 cycles of measurement). No difference was observed in the reproducibility of the reference materials between the two sessions.

H isotopes. Measurements of H isotopes were made at low mass resolution [mass resolution power (MRP) ~800] with the electron multiplier, with 50 to 100 cycles of ~3-nA, 2-μm × 2-μm rastered beam sputtering, preceded by 180 s of ~3-nA, 25-μm × 25-μm raster presputtering. Durango apatite was used as a 8D standard, with a value of −120% VSMOW (16). Additional settings include the following: field aperture of 100 μm, no dynamic transfer, electronic gating of 80% (64% by area).

Statistical analysis

All uncertainties are reported as 2 SEMs. For single analyses, uncertainties are reported as 2 SEMs, which consists of the analytical uncertainty. For multiple analyses of the same sample, it consists of either the analytical uncertainty (2 SEMs) or two standard deviations of the mean of the measured values for that sample, whichever is larger. For measurements of hydrogen abundance (always reported as H$_2$O, regardless of speciation), all values below 100 ppm H$_2$O are assumed to be within error of zero, because all of our samples are epoxy-bearing thin sections, which are not ideal for low-blank H$_2$O measurements by ion microprobe. No outliers were discarded: The only data not reported here are due to operator error on the instrument and are noted before data reduction.
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