Copper-catalyzed aerobic oxidative coupling: From ketone and diamine to pyrazine
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Copper-catalyzed aerobic oxidative C–H/N–H coupling between simple ketones and diamines was developed toward the synthesis of a variety of pyrazines. Various substituted ketones were compatible for this transformation. Preliminary mechanistic investigations indicated that radical species were involved. X-ray absorption fine structure experiments elucidated that the Cu(II) species 5 coordinated by two N atoms at a distance of 2.04 Å and two O atoms at a shorter distance of 1.98 Å was a reactive one for this aerobic oxidative coupling reaction. Density functional theory calculations suggested that the intramolecular coupling of cationic radicals was favorable in this transformation.

INTRODUCTION

Oxidative cross-coupling reactions have recently shown great potential in carbon-carbon and carbon-heteroatom bond formation (1–4). Generally, organometallic compounds (R–M) can be used as one of the reaction partners in these transformations, but alcohols, amines, and hydrocarbons (R–H) can be directly used as well (5–13). Compared with R–M, R–H is an “ideal” reactant in view of atom-economical synthesis. Up to now, seeking and applying different R–H in oxidative C–H/C–H or C–H/Y–H (Y = N, O, S, etc.) coupling reactions remains a challenge.

Pyrazine, which is one of the well-known and important classes of heterocyclic compounds, exhibits extraordinary biological and pharmaceutical properties (14–18). In recent years, pyrazines have received a lot of attention because of their applications in the field of luminescent materials (19). Therefore, exploring effective methods toward the synthesis of pyrazines is appealing and important. Traditionally, condensation of α-diketone and diamine is a predominant method for the construction of pyrazines (Scheme 1, Path A) (20–25). Most α-diketones are often not commercially available, and the preparation processes are energy-consuming and complicated (26–28). Hence, if simple ketones could be applied instead of α-diketones in this synthesis, it would be a more attractive strategy to access pyrazines (Scheme 1, Path B).

A C–N bond formation involving the α-C–H of ketones and N–H of primary amines is required to realize the designed transformation. Both are known nucleophiles and cannot directly react to form C–N bonds. There are some clues in the literature regarding the C–N bond formation involving α-C–H with an N–H bond under oxidative conditions (29–37). However, to the best of our knowledge, only a few examples in which I2, N-bromosuccinimide, or hypervalent iodine was used as oxidant were developed to construct the C–N bond by using α-C–H of simple ketones and N–H of primary amines (38–41). Herein, we proposed a strategy (Scheme 2) to achieve this transformation by utilizing copper salt as the catalyst and O2 as the oxidant. Initially, a primary amine was introduced to react with ketone to produce imine 1A (42), which could isomerize to examine 2A (43, 44). The isomer might be oxidized by losing one electron in the presence of a catalyst [Cu(II)] and an oxidant (O2) to form examine radical cation 3A (45, 46). As a relatively electron-deficient species, 3A might be attacked by nucleophiles (N–H) (47, 48). After losing another electron, the bond formation between α-C–H of ketones and N–H of primary amines could be realized. In this regard, we attempt to demonstrate an oxidative coupling between ketones and diamines toward the synthesis of pyrazines by single electron transfer.

Scheme 1. Traditional and theoretical pathways for the synthesis of pyrazines.

Scheme 2. The proposed strategy for oxidative coupling reactions between simple ketones and nucleophiles.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The density functional theory (DFT) method B3LYP was initially performed to further analyze the practicality of our proposed strategy...
As illustrated in Fig. 1A, the nucleophilic addition of cationic radical I takes place via transition state II-TS with only a 3.8-kcal/mol barrier, after which the generated cationic radical III (16.0 kcal/mol) becomes more stable than cationic radical I. As a comparison, the corresponding cyclization of neutral enamine IV has also been calculated. The free energy profile (Fig. 1B) shows that the activation free energy of intramolecular cyclization via transition state V-TS is 35.9 kcal/mol, which is 32.1 kcal/mol higher than that of cationic radical I. The relative free energy of the formed complex VI is 31.1 kcal/mol higher than that of complex IV. The calculated ESP (Fig. 1C) could rationalize this difference. From the ESP results, the cyclization from I to III is a charge transfer process, whereas that from IV to VI is a charge separation process. The latter is much more difficult than the former; that is, oxidative coupling between ketones and diamines toward the synthesis of pyrazines by single electron transfer is much more facile.

On the basis of the DFT calculations, the C–N bond formation reaction of acetophenone 1a and ethylenediamine 2a toward the synthesis of pyrazine was commenced by using 30 mol% of copper(I) iodide as the catalyst and O2 as the oxidant, because these reaction parameters are standard for the formation of radical cations (42, 50, 51). After several potential solvents were evaluated, dimethyl acetamide (DMA)/Et3N (2:1) proved to be the most effective, and the corresponding pyrazine product was obtained in moderate yield (Table 1, entry 1). Various additives were also examined (Table 1, entries 2 to 4). The efficiency of the reaction was significantly improved by the addition of the inexpensive and readily available lithium chloride (Table 1, entry 5). In addition, CuI plays a critical role in the reaction with only trace amounts of 3a formed in its absence (Table 1, entry 13). Other copper salts, such as CuCl, CuBr, CuCl2, and Cu(OTf)2, were less effective (Table 1, entries 6 to 9). The reaction also took place efficiently with lower loadings of CuI (Table 1, entries 10 to 12). When DMA was used as the sole solvent, the yield of the desired product was slightly lower (Table 1, entry 14). Finally, the reaction conditions described in entry 11 were selected as the standard conditions for further investigations.

Table 1. Impact of reaction parameters on oxidative C–H/N–H coupling reaction. For more details on metals, solvents, temperature, ratio of substrates, and the amount of LiCl, see tables S1 to S5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th><a href="equiv">Cu</a></th>
<th>Additive</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>3a [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CuI(0.3)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>DMA/Et3N(2:1)</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CuI(0.3)</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>DMA/Et3N(2:1)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CuI(0.3)</td>
<td>KI</td>
<td>DMA/Et3N(2:1)</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CuI(0.3)</td>
<td>ZnCl2</td>
<td>DMA/Et3N(2:1)</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CuI(0.3)</td>
<td>LiCl</td>
<td>DMA/Et3N(2:1)</td>
<td>79(74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CuCl(0.3)</td>
<td>LiCl</td>
<td>DMA/Et3N(2:1)</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>CuBr(0.3)</td>
<td>LiCl</td>
<td>DMA/Et3N(2:1)</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>CuCl2(0.3)</td>
<td>LiCl</td>
<td>DMA/Et3N(2:1)</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Cu(OTf)2(0.3)</td>
<td>LiCl</td>
<td>DMA/Et3N(2:1)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>CuI(0.2)</td>
<td>LiCl</td>
<td>DMA/Et3N(2:1)</td>
<td>80(74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>CuI(0.1)</td>
<td>LiCl</td>
<td>DMA/Et3N(2:1)</td>
<td>78(75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>CuI(0.05)</td>
<td>LiCl</td>
<td>DMA/Et3N(2:1)</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>LiCl</td>
<td>DMA/Et3N(2:1)</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>CuI(0.3)</td>
<td>LiCl</td>
<td>DMA</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Gas chromatography yield based on 1a with biphenyl used as the internal standard.

To further investigate the scope of this catalytic system, we used a variety of aryl methyl ketones to couple with ethylenediamine 2a under the optimized reaction conditions (Table 2). All substrates proceeded well and afforded corresponding products in moderate to good yields. Aryl methyl ketones with an electron-donating group (OMe) or an electron-withdrawing group (CN, CF3) could react with 2a smoothly to afford the desired products in moderate to good yields (Table 2, entries 5 and 10 to 13). Acetophenones with halogen substituents (F, Cl, and Br) were also suitable substrates to yield the corresponding products.
products, which enabled a potential application for further functionalization (Table 2, entries 3, 4, and 7 to 9). 1-((1,1′-Biphenyl)-4-y)ethanone and 1-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethanone were also suitable substrates for this transformation (Table 2, entries 2 and 6). In addition, heterocyclic methyl ketones could react well with 2a to give the corresponding products in good yields (Table 2, entries 14 to 16).

In addition to aryl methyl ketones, various other ketones were also reacted with 2a, and the results are given in Table 3. The reaction between 2a and propiophenone or 2-phenyl-1-(p-tolyl)ethanone proceeded to give the desired products in good yields (Table 3, entries 1 and 2). For the reaction of 1-phenylbutane-1,3-dione with 2a, 3a was obtained in 71% yield (Table 3, entry 3). In this reaction, C–C bond cleavage also occurred. The reaction of 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)propan-2-one with 2-phenylacetaldehyde produced the pyrazine ring as well (Table 3, entries 4 and 5). 3,4-Dihydronaphthalen-1(2H)-one was suitable for this reaction, generating benzo[f]quinoxaline in 40% yield (Table 3, entry 6). The reactions of 2a with simple alkyl ketones, such as cycloheptanone, cyclooctanone, and 3,3-dimethylbutan-2-one, proceeded to afford the desired pyrazines (Table 3, entries 7 to 9).

As shown in Table 4, various diamines other than 2a also gave pyrazine products in this copper-catalyzed aerobic oxidative C–H/N–H coupling reaction. For example, 1,2-diphenylethane-1,2-diamine reacted with acetoephene, 1-(4-chlorophenyl)ethan-1-one, and propiophenone to afford the corresponding products in moderate yields (Table 4, entries 1 to 3). The reaction of propane-1,2-diamine with acetophenone gave two products in 43 and 29% yields (Table 4, entry 4). In addition, when other unsymmetrical 1,2-diamines were used with 1a, a similar selectivity was obtained (Table 4, entries 5 and 6).

As proposed, the copper-catalyzed aerobic oxidative C–H/N–H cross-coupling might undergo a radical process. To investigate this possibility, we performed a radical-trapping experiment. In the presence of 1 eq of 2,6-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)-4-methylphenol, no product 3a was obtained under the standard conditions (see Supporting Information, scheme S3). The reaction between acetophenone (1a) and ethylenediamine (2a) was also monitored by electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR), and the results are shown in Fig. 2. Cu(II) signal was detected during the reaction (Fig. 2A) \( ^{52,53} \). In addition, when 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline N-oxide (DMPO) was added to the reaction, the signal corresponding to DMPO–O(H) was identified (Fig. 2B), which is seen as four classical

Table 2. Copper-catalyzed oxidative C–H/N–H coupling of aryl ketone and 2a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Substrate 1</th>
<th>Product 3</th>
<th>Yield*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Conditions: 1 (0.5 mmol), 2a (2.5 mmol), CuI (0.05 mmol), LiCl (1.0 mmol), DMA/Et3N = 2:1 (1.5 ml), 120°C, 15 hours, under O2 (1 atm). Isolated yields.

Table 3. Copper-catalyzed oxidative C–H/N–H coupling of other ketones and 2a. For more attempts between other ketones and ethylenediamine, see table S6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Substrate 1</th>
<th>Product 3</th>
<th>Yield*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Conditions: 1 (0.5 mmol), 2a (2.5 mmol), CuI (0.05 mmol), LiCl (1.0 mmol), DMA/Et3N = 2:1 (1.5 ml), 120°C, 15 hours, under O2 (1 atm). Isolated yields. \( ^{24} \) Twenty-four hours. \( ^{8} \) Nuclear magnetic resonance yield.
peaks (54–56). The calculated hyperfine splittings are $g_0$ (2.0069), $a_H$ (14.9 G), and $a_N$ (14.5 G). This result suggests that a hydroxyl radical may be involved in this transformation.

To further elucidate the mechanism, we performed experiments using x-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) spectroscopy. As illustrated in Fig. 3, the DMA solution of CuI with LiCl and that without LiCl were tested. In the presence of LiCl, the edge step of copper K-edge spectrum is 0.31; however, it is only 0.05 without LiCl. Because the edge step is linearly proportional to the concentration of copper species, LiCl increases the solubility of CuI in DMA. We do observe that the turbid DMA solution of CuI became clear after the addition of LiCl, which is consistent with the XAFS.

The oxidation of Cu(I) to Cu(II) was also studied. As shown in Fig. 4, under N$_2$ or air, the XANES (x-ray absorption near-edge structure) spectrum of the mixture of CuI, LiCl, and DMF displays a peak at 8982.6 eV, which is assigned to a 1s $\rightarrow$ 4p Cu(I) transition. Upon addition of 2a, Cu(I) is oxidized to Cu(II) by air at room temperature (partially oxidized) or at 120°C (completely oxidized in 5 min) as evidenced by the decrease in the Cu(I) pre-edge and a shift in the edge-energy position to 8984.9 eV. The small pre-edge peak at 8977.9 eV is also consistent with Cu(II) (Fig. 4, green line) (57, 58).

These results are consistent with the coordination of 2a to Cu(I), increasing the electron density of the copper center, which then facilitates this oxidation.

The reactivity of this Cu(II) intermediate was also investigated (59–63). As illustrated in Scheme 3, when the Cu(II) was used in the oxidative C–H/N–H coupling reaction, the desired product could be obtained in 62% yield. The results indicated that the Cu(II) species obtained by oxidizing the mixture of CuI, LiCl, and 2a with air or O$_2$ was active for the oxidative C–H/N–H coupling reaction.

The structure of this active Cu(II) was determined by fitting of the EXAFS data. As shown in Fig. 5, the Fourier transform of the raw EXAFS data and the obtained EXAFS fit for the first shell data are given.
obtained fitting parameters revealed that the Cu(II) center was coordinated by two N atoms at a distance of 2.04 Å and two O atoms at a shorter distance of 1.98 Å. Additionally, no Cu–Cu scattering peak was found in the raw EXAFS data, whereas the second shell might be attributed to the Cu–Ca distance (64). These results indicated that the Cu(II) species should be a monomer. Therefore, we propose the structure of the Cu(II) species to be similar to 5 or 6. However, only a small amount of the desired product was produced when Cu(OH)2, instead of CuI, was used as the catalyst in this transformation (see table S1), suggesting that 5 rather than 6 might be the right structure.

According to the design in Scheme 2, the intramolecular cyclization of the enamine cationic radical should be the key step for the formation of pyrazine. Therefore, the DFT method B3LYP was also used to study this step (49). On the basis of the experimental results above, the copper(II)-involved cationic radical nucleophilic addition has also been considered in Fig. 6A. The transformation starts from complex VII and the cyclization product IX could be generated through transition state VIII-ts with an activation energy of 16.4 kcal/mol. Cationic radical IX owns two resonance structures, which are described as structures IX and X. To confirm the structure of X, an ESP map has been calculated. The dark blue color around the nitrogen atom in Fig. 6B suggests that most of the positive charge is located on nitrogen, rather than on copper. The spin density map also indicates that minimal unpaired electron density is located on copper, whereas most of the unpaired electron is shared by nitrogen and four carbon atoms (Fig. 6C). Therefore, the cyclization product prefers to exist in the form of structure IX.

On the basis of the results of mechanistic investigations and DFT calculations, a putative and simplified reaction pathway was proposed for these copper-catalyzed oxidative coupling reactions (Scheme 4). Initially, condensation between acetophenone and ethylenediamine occurred to afford imine A, which could isomerize to enamine B. In the presence of Cu species 5, the intermediate B turned to the VII species via a well-known process. Subsequently, intramolecular cyclization of intermediate VII occurs by way of a radical pathway. Finally, the desired pyrazine was produced along with further oxidative dehydrogenation.

CONCLUSION
In conclusion, we have developed a copper-catalyzed aerobic oxidative C–H/N–H coupling of ketones with diamines to construct aromatic pyrazine derivatives. By utilizing simple CuI as the catalyst and O2 as the oxidant, various substituted ketones were suitable for this transformation. Compared with the traditional pyrazine syntheses from diketones with diamines, this protocol provided a more attractive approach. Preliminary mechanistic investigations indicated that radical species were involved in the transformation. Moreover, a structure of the active catalyst intermediate was proposed according to the XAFS experimental results. DFT calculations suggested that the intramolecular coupling of cationic radicals was a favorable pathway for this transformation. On the basis of the current mechanistic investigations, a simplified mechanism was proposed, which could be helpful for understanding the copper-catalyzed aerobic reactions. Further detailed mechanistic studies are under way in our laboratory and will be reported in the future.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General procedure
Cul (9.5 mg, 0.05 mmol) and LiCl (42 mg, 1.0 mmol) were added to an oven-dried Schlenk tube that was then sealed with septa and fitted with an oxygen balloon. Subsequently, DMA (1 ml), ketone (0.5 mmol), Et3N (0.5 ml), and diamine (2.5 mmol) were injected via a syringe or...
microsyringe. Finally, the Schlenk tube was allowed to stir at 120°C for 15 hours. After completion of the reaction, it was quenched by water and extracted with ethyl ether (3 × 10 ml). The organic layers were combined and dried over sodium sulfate. The pure product was obtained by flash column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 100:1).
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