Shape shifting in response to environmental changes is commonplace in nature. Its diversity and the associated functions are crucial for nature’s survival (1). Stimuli-responsive shape-shifting polymers with similar intelligence have attracted tremendous attention owing to their vast technological potential (1). In particular, the drive for discovering ever more diverse shape-shifting behaviors that match or even exceed the complexity of natural systems appears to be a never-ending task (2–7).

Shape memory polymer (SMP) is a unique class of such polymers for which externally programmed shape(s) can be temporarily fixed and later recovered on demand (8–10). Realization of its vast practical potential in a number of technological areas including deployable structures (for example, biomedical and aerospace) (11) and functionally tunable devices (12, 13) has stimulated intense interests in this area. Recent discovery of triple-shape (14), multiple-shape (15, 16), and reversible shape memory (17) beyond the classical dual-shape behavior has reshaped the landscape in this field, yet all shape memory behaviors share a common root in polymer elasticity, with the basis being the storability of entropic energy via chain conformation changes (8–10). An opposite behavior—polymer plasticity, which refers to reshaping polymers permanently without macroscopic melting—has recently gained attention (18–26). Mechanistically, this is achieved by covalent bond exchange in a polymer network, allowing its topography to be rearranged in response to an external force. That is, the shape change is not accompanied by chain conformation (or entropy) change and is thus permanent (that is, nonrecoverable). This particular property is fundamentally different from the commonly known reprocessing of thermoplastic polymers in its fluidic state (that is, plastic flow) in that the permanent reshaping can occur while the material maintains its dynamic crosslinking state. This difference has been proven quite beneficial because it leads to a new range of exciting possibilities including malleability of thermoset polymers (21–25), mechanopatterning of elastomers (19), and mechanical orientation of liquid crystalline elastomers (26).

Whereas elasticity-based shape memory behaviors allow erasing prior shapes for many cycles of shape (re)programming (that is, noncumulative), polymer plasticity is cumulative (19, 20), referring to the fact that permanently reshaping polymers via plasticity can be repeatedly done without losing the previous strain history, opposite to the elasticity-based shape memory effect. Plasticity may be triggered thermally (20–26) or by light exposure (18, 19, 27). Although light-induced plasticity has its own merits (for example, spatio-selectivity), it has intrinsic drawbacks, notably the need for line-of-sight access and limited light penetration depth, both of which prohibit its use for three-dimensional (3D) bulk systems. In addition, the reliance on consumable initiators to trigger light-induced plasticity does not allow many cycles of shape manipulation. In the absence of the cumulative effect of plasticity, an SMP network with plasticity, despite its mechanistic uniqueness, from practical shape manipulation standpoint is not different from a thermoplastic SMP because the permanent shape of the latter can also be redefined but the prior shape(s) would be completely erased.

The limitations of light-induced plasticity are largely inapplicable for thermally triggered systems. With this and other considerations in mind, we set out to design an SMP network with thermally distinct elasticity and plasticity, with particular attention to achieving a cumulative effect for the latter as the key for complex shape manipulation. Such a network should have a shape memory transition temperature. In addition, it should have its plasticity induced at a temperature (plasticity temperature ($T_p$)) sufficiently above the shape memory transition temperature ($T_{sm}$) to completely separate the elasticity and plasticity. The design principle of the target system is illustrated in Fig. 1A. The network contains molecular chain segments that can be chosen to tailor the $T_{sm}$ and reversible (exchangeable) covalent bonds that can be activated at a corresponding $T_p$. The left-hand route in Fig. 1A shows its elasticity-based shape memory behavior. At a relatively low temperature of $T_1$ ($T_{trans} < T_1 < T_p$), the molecular chain mobility is activated but the reversible covalent bonds remain dormant. At this state, any deformation upon application of an external stress should lead to chain conformation change, cooling under the load results in fixation of the deformed shape, which can be recovered upon reheating because of the entropic nature of the shape change. The same network, when deformed at $T_p$ is expected to show plasticity. As shown in the right-hand route in Fig. 1A, reversible covalent bonds become activated at $T_p$, applying an external force which results in network topographic change via bond exchange. The deformed shape is not associated with any entropic change; thus, the shape change is nonrecoverable or permanent.

PCL has been widely used in designing SMP (28, 29), yet triggering the transesterification reaction with a catalyst to induce plasticity in an SMP network has not been attempted before.

The thermally induced plasticity of the network was first investigated via iso-strain stress relaxation. In this set of experiments, each sample was stretched to 100% strain. We emphasize that this strain value is much higher than those reported for other transesterification-based plasticity systems (~10%) (21, 23, 25). This is particularly important given our interest in shape changing instead of malleability in those studies. Nevertheless, with the strain maintained constant, the stress relaxation (σ/σ₀) was monitored, with σ and σ₀ representing the instantaneous stress and the initial stress, respectively. Figure 2A shows that complete stress relaxation at 150°, 140°, 130°, 120°, and 110°C takes about 10, 20, and 40, 100, and 200 min, respectively. Thus, 130°C is an appropriate temperature of choice to achieve plasticity because it allows complete stress relaxation within a reasonable time without concerns about the thermal degradation of typical polymers. This temperature is sufficiently above the T_{trans} of typical SMPs (8–10), including that of the current system. We therefore use this temperature to further probe the thermally distinct elasticity and plasticity. We should state here that T_{p} is not a static temperature; the transesterification reaction does occur at temperatures below 110°C. However, the reaction may become so slow that it can be neglected. Thus, T_{p} is defined as the experimental temperature at which the plasticity is induced at a rate that is significant within the experimental time scale.

We note here that similar iso-strain stress relaxation experiments were typically used to quantify plasticity and malleability in the literature (21, 23). Our purpose of introducing plasticity is quite different in that we are more interested in permanently changing the shape of a polymer instead of reprocessing thermosets. Thus, a parameter that is more relevant than stress relaxation has to be identified. Such a parameter is defined as the shape retention ratio R_{ret} = 100% × e/e_{load}, with e_{load} and e representing the respective strains at the plasticity temperature before and after load removal, respectively. We note that the shape fixity ratio for a typical elastic shape memory cycle is defined by a seemingly similar equation R_{fix} = 100% × e/e_{load}. The critical difference lies in that e in R_{fix} refers to the temporarily fixed strain (recoverable), whereas e in R_{ret} is the permanent strain (irrecoverable).

A question naturally arises: How is the stress relaxation quantitatively related to R_{ret}? To answer this question, a sample was subjected to partial stress relaxation experiments summarized in Fig. 2B. In this type of experiments, the sample was first stretched to 100%. While maintaining this strain value, partial stress relaxation was allowed by controlling the relaxation time. The external stress was then removed, and the sample was allowed to reach its equilibrium length. This completes the first stress relaxation experiment, and the equilibrium length is used to calculate the R_{ret} corresponding to this particular extent of stress relaxation [R_{ret} = 100% × (1 − σ/σ₀)]. Subsequently, three more stress relaxation cycles were run on the same sample in a similar fashion: stretching it to 100% strain and allowing the stress to relax to a higher extent in each cycle. The correlation between R_{ret} and R_{fix} can thus be obtained. Figure 2C shows that R_{ret} typically falls behind R_{fix}, but the lag between the two becomes smaller and smaller as the stress relaxation approaches 100%. An R_{fix} value of 90%, for instance, corresponds to an R_{ret} value of mere 80%, and full shape retention (R_{ret} ≈ 100%) can only be achieved when the stress is completely relaxed (R_{fix} ≈ 100%).

As mentioned earlier, achieving a robust cumulative plasticity effect requires that the network can undergo multiple cycles of stress relaxation.
(and shape retention) without deterioration in its performance. This was probed by four consecutive stress relaxation cycling experiments summarized in Fig. 2D, showing that the stresses can be completely relaxed in all cycles. This is nontrivial because an otherwise higher $T_p$ may induce various side reactions that could lead to either material brittleness (strain reduction) or permanent crosslinking that could destroy the plasticity. We note that the peak stress in each cycle in Fig. 2D decreases progressively with the cycling. This is partly due to the fact that the stress values were calculated on the basis of the initial sample cross-section area, whereas the actual cross-section areas for subsequent cycles were progressively lower because of the cumulative sample elongation. Mullin’s effect may be another reason for the cycle-to-cycle reduction in peak stress.

Having established the basis for plasticity, we evaluated the elastic shape memory performance of the network. The consecutive shape memory cycles in Fig. 2E were obtained under a stress-controlled mode with identical deformation and recovery temperatures of 80°C. Within each cycle, the shape fixity ratio and shape recovery ratio are both above 98%. Cycle-to-cycle comparison shows very little deviation. The overall strain shift even after four consecutive cycles is quite minimal (about 2%). These results suggest that plasticity is suppressed under the condition of the elastic shape memory experiments.

The above experiments set the basis for probing thermally distinct elasticity and plasticity in one combined thermomechanical cycle. Figure 2F shows four consecutive elasticity/plasticity cycles (labeled “I” and “II,” respectively, for easy demonstration).
shape fixity and shape recovery ratio both above 98%, followed by a plasticity cycle with the shape retention ratio approaching 100%. No noticeable deterioration in performance (shape retention for plasticity and shape fixing and recovery for elasticity) was observed upon cycling. These results verify that the plasticity and elasticity can be realized in a highly robust fashion without any overlap.

The thermally distinct plasticity and elasticity offer unprecedented flexibility in 3D shape manipulations. Figure 3A shows that a square film can be folded plastically into a permanent bird, which can be deformed into various temporary shapes (a plane or a flat film) that can recover by virtue of its elasticity. The recovered bird can be further manipulated plastically to form a drastically different permanent origami structure (boat) that can also fix various recoverable temporary shapes (a windmill or a flat film). This ability to repeatedly and permanently redefine the shape of a smart origami is a critical distinction from other known responsive origami structures (30, 31). Another distinction lies in that typical smart origami requires more complicated fabrication processes that often involve the use of multiple material sets (30, 31), whereas the current system consists of a single material with the most straightforward folding and stress relaxation process. Similarly, with cutting, folding, and plastic deformation, a rectangular flat film can be used to create a kirigami structure that can also be deformed into recoverable temporary shapes (Fig. 3B). Movies showing the shape recovery of various origami and kirigami structures can be found in the Supplementary Materials (movies S1 to S5). Here, the importance of the recovery into complex 3D permanent shapes can be easily overlooked. Conventional shape memory behaviors allow a permanent flat film to be deformed into a temporary 3D shape, and thus the recovery of the latter back into the former (complex to simple). The opposite geometric change (recovery to a complex shape) requires the fabrication of a complex permanent shape, which is beyond the scope of conventional shape memory concepts. The recovery into complex permanent shapes is highly relevant practically because many SMP device applications do involve 3D permanent geometries. To further emphasize the advantage of the thermally induced plasticity, we note that the 3D permanent shapes in Fig. 3 are difficult to fabricate by light-induced plasticity because of its line-of-sight limitation.

The cumulative nature of the plasticity provides an additional unique freedom for shape manipulation. The images on the left-hand side of Fig. 4A demonstrate that a flat film can be plastically deformed five times to yield an arbitrarily defined permanent tube with surface features on its internal wall, which is nearly impossible to fabricate with conventional processing techniques. Such a complex final shape is the direct consequence of the cumulative plasticity; that is, the deformation introduced in each plasticity step was carried over to the final structure. Here, each of the intermediate permanent shapes can also be elastically programmed into recoverable temporary shapes (images on the right-hand side of Fig. 4).

![Fig. 3. Shape manipulation via thermally distinct elasticity and plasticity. (A) Smart origami structures. (B) Smart kirigami structure. Scale bars, 10 mm.](http://advances.sciencemag.org/)

We note here that a conventional thermoplastic SMP can be molded and remolded to redefine its permanent shape. However, there are several critical differences between the current system and a thermoplastic SMP. The repeated and complete stress relaxation shown in Fig. 2D, for instance, cannot be achieved with a conventional thermoplastic SMP. A thermoplastic SMP can completely relax its stress only when it is heated to its flow state; however, the material will lose its integrity altogether. Thus, a stable zero-stress state without loss of material integrity, as is accomplished in the repeated stress relaxation in a DMA apparatus (Fig. 2D), is only possible with the dynamically crosslinked system. When a thermoplastic SMP is remolded, the prior shape is completely erased (that is, noncumulative). In contrast, Fig. 4 shows that new permanent deformations are introduced one after another without erasing the prior deformation. Thus, the surface textures from the two embossing steps are

![Figure 4](http://advances.sciencemag.org/) Demonstration of complex shape manipulation via cumulative plasticity effect and shape memory effect. The original and recovered shapes in each elastic shape memory cycle shown are visually indistinguishable; thus, the same photo was used for ease of demonstration. Scale bars, 5 mm.
preserved in the inner walls of the final arbitrary tube. Had this been a thermoplastic SMP, the surface textures would have been lost as the permanent shape was redefined. Thus, the cumulative effect is a critical factor that distinguishes our system from a thermoplastic SMP. In addition, redefining the permanent shape of a thermoplastic SMP typically requires a mold. Thus, to fabricate the bird and boat in Fig. 3A using a thermoplastic SMP is extremely difficult because this would require a sophisticated mold and demolding would be nearly impossible given the complexity of the shapes involved. We should state that, for thermoplastic SMP, stress can also relax under iso-strain conditions at an elevated temperature below its flow temperature (32, 33). At first glance, this is similar to the essential stress relaxation behavior in Fig. 4D. However, there are fundamental differences: (i) the equilibrium stress cannot reach zero for thermoplastic SMP and (ii) upon heating, the fixed strain after the stress relaxation can recover. The latter is particularly critical because it suggests that such deformation for thermoplastic SMP is elastic instead of plastic, as is observed in our system. Overall, the demonstrations in Figs. 3 and 4 are only possible with our dynamic crosslinked system and are not possible with a thermoplastic SMP. We further note that 3D printing could, in principle, produce polymer devices with largely unlimited permanent geometries into which shape memory functions could also be incorporated. A permanent shape fabricated by 3D printing is, however, static. That is, it cannot be further changed after fabrication. In contrast, the method revealed in this study allows numerous cycles of manipulation of a permanent shape. Despite this fundamental difference, our concept could be combined with 3D printing to yield a 3D permanent shape that could be dynamically manipulated in an on-demand fashion.

In summary, we designed a polymer network with a thermal phase transition and thermally exchangeable covalent bonds. The former introduces elasticity responsible for its shape memory behavior, and the latter contributes to an opposite plasticity via network topography re-arrangement. Although both are triggered thermally, they can be reflected distinctively without any overlap. The robustness of the elasticity and plasticity in our system, in combination with the cumulative nature of the latter, permits manipulation of polymer shapes in ways that are limited largely only by imagination. The physical principle behind our system can be readily expanded to a variety of other systems with different phase transitions and reversible covalent chemistry. Thus, our work should lead to numerous opportunities for future innovations involving shaping polymers.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

Pentaerythritol tetrakis(3-mercaptopropionate) (tetrathiocrosslinker, Sigma-Aldrich), 1-benzoylcyclohexanol (UV-184, photoinitiator, TCI), polycaprolactone diol (Mₙ = 10,000, Sigma-Aldrich), acryloyl chloride (TCI), and triethylamine (Aladdin) were all used as received. TBD (transesterification catalyst, TCI) was neutralized with 2 molar equivalents of acetic acid before its use. Polycaprolactone diacrylate (PCLDA) was synthesized according to the method reported in the literature (34).

**Polymer network synthesis**

PCLDA (1.5 g) was dissolved in DMF (N,N’-dimethylformamide) (0.5 g) at 80°C. A DMF solution containing a stoichiometric amount of the tetrathiocrosslinker, photoinitiator (UV-184, 0.5 wt %), and neutralized TBD (2 wt %) was added to the PCLDA solution and stirred for several minutes. The precursor solution was quickly poured into a mold defined by two glass slides separated by a silicone rubber spacer (thickness: 0.5 mm). The mixture was then irradiated under ultraviolet light for 5 min (light source: IntelliRay 600 Flood UV, intensity: 30 mW/cm²). The obtained film was vacuum-dried (100°C) overnight. General material characterizations are available in the Supplementary Materials (fig. S1 to S3).

**Thermomechanical characterization**

Samples were cut into rectangular shapes (6 mm × 1.5 mm × 0.47 mm) before testing. Unless otherwise noted, tests were conducted with a Dynamic Mechanical Analysis machine (DMA800) in a strain rate mode.

**Demonstration of macroscopic shape manipulation**

The various origami and kirigami structures were manually folded (or cut for the latter) from flat films. The folded objects were placed between two glass slides and thermally annealed under compression (130°C, ~30 min). Before annealing, silicon oil was spread onto the film surfaces to avoid self-sticking. For the demonstration of the cumulative plasticity in Fig. 4, a flat film was first compressed using a stainless steel mold with a rectangular wave pattern. Then, the film was stretched 30% followed by compression using the same mold rotated by 45°. After a further 30% stretching, the film was curled and bent into a random tube with the surface pattern on its inner surface. Each step above required a thermal annealing (130°C, 60 min) to induce the plasticity. The demonstration of the elastic shape memory behaviors in Figs. 3 and 4 was conducted with an identical deformation and recovery temperature of 80°C following typical shape memory procedures.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/2/1/e1501297/DC1

Movie S1. Recovery from an origami boat into an origami bird with infrared heating.

Movie S2. Recovery from an origami plane into an origami bird with infrared heating.

Movie S3. Recovery from an origami windmill into an origami bird with infrared heating.

Movie S4. Recovery from a flat film into an origami bird with infrared heating.

Fig. S1. Differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) curve for the polymer network.

Fig. S2. Dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA) curve for the polymer network.

Fig. S3. Stress strain curves (five tests) for the polymer network at 70°C (above its melting point), showing that the maximum strain is roughly between 750% and above 1100%.
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