Structural insight into the methyltransfer mechanism of the bifunctional Trm5
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The wyosine derivatives present at position 37 in transfer RNAs (tRNAs) are critical for reading frame maintenance. The methyltransferase Trm5a from Pyrococcus abyssi (PaTrm5a) plays a key role in this hypermodification process in generating m1G37 and imG2, two products of the wyosine biosynthetic pathway, through two methyl transfers to distinct substrates, but the mechanism is currently unknown. We report two cocystal structures of PaTrm5a in complex with tRNA\(^{\text{Phe}}\) and reveal the structural basis for substrate recognition, which was supported by in vitro activity assays. The crystal structures showed that the D1 domain of the enzyme undergoes large conformational changes upon the binding of tRNA. The deletion of this domain greatly reduces the affinity and activity of PaTrm5a toward its RNA substrate, indicating that the enzyme recognizes the overall shape of tRNA. Using the small-angle x-ray scattering technique and crystallographic analysis, we discovered that PaTrm5a adopts distinct open conformations before and after the binding of tRNA. Last, through structure comparison with its ortholog Methanococcus jannaschii Trm5b (MjTrm5b), we propose a reaction mechanism for the double methylation capability of this unique enzyme.

INTRODUCTION
Mature transfer RNA (tRNA) contains many modified nucleosides, and tRNA is the most extensively modified RNA type. These diverse modifications serve various purposes including ensuring that translational fidelity and are functionally important (1–3). In tRNA, positions 34 and 37 usually contain highly modified nucleosides (hypermodifications), and their generation usually requires a series of enzymatic steps (4, 5). The tricyclic wyosine derivatives are an example of these hypermodifications in eukaryotes and archaea, and they are found exclusively at position G37 of tRNA\(^{\text{Phe}}\), 3′ adjacent to the anticodon (6, 7). These modification products are important in reading frame maintenance during protein synthesis, because the hypomodification on G37 leads to elevated error rates in frameshifting (8). In eukaryota and archaea, the first biosynthetic step of wyosine derivatives is initiated by a key enzyme named Trm5, which produces m1G37 (9, 10). Trm5 is an S-adenosine-l-methionine (SAM)–dependent tRNA methyltransferase, and it belongs to the class I methyltransferase family (11). In eukaryota, the second step is catalyzed by the tRNA-binding enzyme Tyw1, which also displays a radical-dependent mechanism to form imG-14. The following steps are completed by other members named Tyw2 to Tyw4 from the Tyw enzyme family, which generate yW-86, yW-72, and the final product yW, respectively (fig. S1). Archaea also contain wyosine derivatives, but the modification scenarios and diversity of the products are much greater than those of eukaryotes (Fig. 1A) (9, 12), and the corresponding pathways are still poorly understood.

Phylogenetic distribution analyses of the trm5 genes revealed that three Trm5 subfamilies exist in archaea, and they are subsequently named Trm5a, Trm5b, and Trm5c. Recently, Trm5a from the archaeon Pyrococcus abyssi (PaTrm5a) has been identified and characterized (9). PaTrm5a, but not PaTrm5b, is a unique enzyme in that it catalyzes two methyltransfer reactions on G37 for the tRNA\(^{\text{Phe}}\) hypermodifications:
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structures provided limited insights into the methylation mechanism of PaTrm5a, especially on the recognition of tRNA substrate. Here, we cocrystallized PaTrm5a in complexes with tRNA\textsuperscript{Phe} in the presence of the methyl donor SAM and its demethylated product S-adenosyl-L-homocysteine (SAH), which reveal the structural basis of PaTrm5a for tRNA recognition. The interaction details were further supported by methyltransfer activity assays and electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) experiments. Using the small-angle x-ray scattering (SAXS) technique, we confirmed that PaTrm5a constantly adopts the open conformation in solution, a result that may be attributed to the general flexibility of D1. Last, by structure comparison of PaTrm5a with MjTrm5b, we proposed a reaction mechanism of the double methylation capability of this unique enzyme.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Overall structure of the PaTrm5a-tRNA\textsuperscript{Phe}-SAH complex**

In the complex structure of PaTrm5a-tRNA\textsuperscript{Phe}-SAH, there are two protein monomers in the asymmetric unit, each bound by a molecule of tRNA and SAH (PDB ID: 5WT1). Both the protein and tRNA molecules are free of internal disorders. In the final model, the two protein monomers are visible from Met1 to Leu332 (chain A and chain B), whereas the two tRNA molecules are structured from G4-C69 (chain C) and G3-C69 (chain D) (Fig. 1B and fig. S2). The two ternary complexes are very similar to each other, and they are related to each other by a translation of ~64 Å (fig. S3). The tRNA molecules maintain the regularly inverted “L” conformation. PaTrm5a makes contacts with tRNA mainly through two areas: The D1 domain (Met1-Pro\textsuperscript{10}; fig. S4) interacts with the elbow region of tRNA, whereas the D3 domain (Lys\textsuperscript{163}-Ser\textsuperscript{235}) interacts with the anticodon loop region. Of these contacts, most interactions are lysine- or arginine-mediated salt bridges, which form electrostatic interactions with the backbone of tRNA (table S1).

**Enzyme-substrate interactions in the catalytic domain**

The D3 domain features the characteristic SAM-binding active site (the Rossmann fold) and contains the necessary functional elements for the methyltransfer reaction. SAH occupies a similar position to the tRNA-free structure (PDB ID: 5HJK) (16), and the N1 and N6 atoms of the adenine moiety each form a hydrogen bond with conserved Asp\textsuperscript{243} and Val\textsuperscript{244} from D3, respectively (Fig. 2A). The base ring is inserted between Phe\textsuperscript{191} and Ile\textsuperscript{214}, and it is almost perpendicular to the phenyl ring of Phe\textsuperscript{191}. The 2’ and 3’ hydroxyls of the ribose are fixed by three hydrogen bonding contacts with the carboxylate oxygens of Glu\textsuperscript{213}. In addition, the amino group of the methionyl moiety donates a hydrogen bond to the main-chain carbonyl of Phe\textsuperscript{191}, whereas the carboxyl group forms a unidentate salt bridge with Arg\textsuperscript{174}.

The anticodon interactions mostly concentrate on the A36-G37-A38 triplet, burying a surface area of 1913.6 Å\textsuperscript{2}. Except for specific contacts on the G37 and A38 bases, all the other interactions are on the backbone or the riboses of tRNA. U33, G34, and G37 are flipped out, and the backbone of tRNA experiences unwinding to a certain extent. Conformations of these bases are quite different from their counterparts in free, unmodified tRNA\textsuperscript{Phe} of *Escherichia coli* (PDB ID: 3L0U) (17), which stay in the anticodon loop. Notably, G34 forms base-base contacts with the SAH molecule from a symmetry-related molecule. There are two consecutive adenosines (A35 and A36) adjacent to the to-be-modified G37, and these two adenine rings stack onto each other (Fig. 2B). A36 forms three nonconservative hydrogen bonds with Tyr\textsuperscript{318}, with its 2’ hydroxyl group contacting the main-chain carbonyl and its nonbridging phosphate groups interacting with the side-chain hydroxyl of Tyr\textsuperscript{318}. The specific recognition of G37 is mainly accomplished by three hydrogen bonds: While the O6 atom of the guanine rings accepts two hydrogen bonds from the Lys\textsuperscript{263} backbone and its nonbridging phosphate groups interacting with the side-chain carbonyl of the amino group of the methionyl moiety donates a hydrogen bond to the main-chain carbonyl of Phe\textsuperscript{191}, whereas the carboxyl group forms a unidentate salt bridge with Arg\textsuperscript{174}.

To validate our structural model, we performed methyltransfer activity assays to assess the contribution of each individual residue to enzymatic activity. We first discovered that in the presence of 200 nM WT PaTrm5a, the initial velocity rate remains linear for up to 5 min under our assay conditions. Therefore, we chose two time points (1 and 2 min) for measurements so that the reaction stage is well within the linear range. Compared to WT, whose activity was normalized to 100% for the 2-min time point, all the mutants showed reduced activities to various extents. Particularly, the mutation of Arg\textsuperscript{133} to an alanine eliminated activity, indicating the absolute importance of specific recognition of G37 to catalysis (Fig. 2C). Mutation of Glu\textsuperscript{213} and Asp\textsuperscript{243}—the two residues for SAH recognition to alanines—left the enzyme with only 2 to 3% activity of that of WT at the 2-min reaction state, suggesting that the recognition of the methyl donor is also key
for the reaction to proceed. Arg^{174} only forms a single hydrogen bond with the terminal carboxylate of SAH, and its mutation led to less severe impairment to activity (22%). Compared to the specific interactions, the phosphate backbone interactions appear to play a less significant role. Except for Tyr^{318}, which retains 20% activity, the other mutants generally retained 30 to 50% activity. The least important residue is Arg^{155}, which makes two hydrogen bonds with the phosphate oxygens of G37 and A38, and its mutation only resulted in ~50% activity loss.

**Conformational changes**

The overall fold of the protein in the ternary complex is quite different from our previously solved tRNA-free structures (PDB ID: 5HJK) (16) as a result of protein-RNA interactions. First of all, the D1 domain exhibits the largest movements. The entire domain moves as a rigid body without changing its general shape (Fig. 3A). These conformational changes allow D1 to “grab” tRNA by its elbow region through specific and nonspecific interactions, which will be detailed in the next section. In addition, the Lys^{129}-Pro^{320} dipeptide in D3 moves away to avoid the steric clashes with the C32-A38 base pair due to the insertion of the tRNA (Fig. 3A). Meanwhile, the D2 domain also moves correspondingly, but the shift is relatively small. The disordered Ser^{126}-Gly^{310} dipeptide in the apo structure becomes well ordered upon the formation of the complex and shows clear density for this region. G37 flips out and inserts itself into a narrow hydrophobic pocket formed by Tyr^{318}, Phe^{324}, Phe^{165}, Met^{170}, and Pro^{362}, which leads to the rearrangements of the side chain of Arg^{133} (Fig. 3A).

In terms of tRNA, the anticodon stem undergoes stretching to some extent, and the loop unwinds as well, when compared to the normal anticodon structure of free tRNA^{Phe} (Fig. 3B). The purpose of these rearrangements is to reduce the steric clashes with nucleotides A35-G37 and also to maximize the contacts at the enzyme active site.

To compare the tRNA-recognition differences between PaTrm5a and MjTrm5b, we superimposed the two tRNA-bound structures (PDB ID: 2ZZN and 5WT1) (15), and the two proteins could be aligned with a root mean squared deviation of 2.55 Å over 283 Cαs. We discovered that although the two structures resemble each other, the tRNAs are quite different in positions 33 to 35 within the anticodon loop region. All the three bases of U33-C35 in tRNA^{Cys} (complexed with MjTrm5b) are flipped out (Fig. 3C). U33 and G34 of tRNA^{Phe} in our structure are both capable of forming base-specific contacts with part of another symmetry mate including the SAH ligand (Fig. S5). In tRNA^{Phe}, all four bases at positions 34 to 37 are purines, and A35 and A36 stack onto each other. Although both tRNA^{Phe} and tRNA^{Cys} harbor an adenine at positions 36, only A36 in tRNA^{Phe} can form a hydrogen bond with the phosphate of G34. This G34A35A36 motif is similar to the tetraloop GNRA that exists in the group I intron, except that the GA base pairing in the latter is replaced by the PO43−(G34)-A hydrogen bond interactions. Therefore, the adenine ring of A36 is locked within the loop along with A35 because of their stacking interactions. In contrast, the base at position 35 of tRNA^{Cys} in *M. jannaschii* is a cytosine, which is much weaker in forming stacking interactions with A36. Consequently, C35 is flipped out of the loop in the tRNA^{Cys}-bound complex. On the other hand, the guanine at position 37 in tRNA^{Cys} preserves the same type of interactions with the conserved arginine-Arg^{145} from D2 (or Arg^{133} in PaTrm5a), but G37 makes two extra hydrogen bonds by interacting with Asn^{226} and Tyr^{177} (Fig. 3C). These hydrogen bonding interactions are lost because of the replacement by an isoleucine and a phenylalanine, respectively (Fig. S4).

One should note that tRNA transcript is used in this study. Complicated modifications present in real tRNAs may influence their structures and recognition modes by tRNA modification enzymes. Although PaTrm5a modifies unmodified PatRNA^{Phe} transcript quite well, minor differences due to the lack of tRNA modifications might still exist.

**The flexibility of the D1 domain**

The D1 domain is an α/β-fold, formed by a three-stranded antiparallel β sheet packed against two α helices, burying a surface area of 896.0 Å². The contacts are mainly on the G19-C56 base pair and the G20 base. The two bases both form hydrogen bonds with the enzyme: C56 forms hydrogen bonds with the backbone of Arg^{8} and Lys^{12}, whereas G19 forms three hydrogen bonds with Arg^{12} and Lys^{12} (Fig. 4A). In addition, there are also two salt bridges on the bridging and nonbridging oxygen
Fig. 3. Structural changes upon the formation of complex. (A) Structure comparison of the enzyme in complex with tRNA (PDB ID: 5WT1; coloring scheme as in Fig. 1A) with the tRNA-free form (PDB ID: 5HJK; the three domains are colored green, yellow, and purple). The structural changes for Lys319Pro320 and Arg133 before and after the binding of tRNA are indicated by the red circles and enlarged in the lower left corner. The tRNA molecule is depicted in orange. (B) tRNA structural changes compared to yeast tRNA\text{Phe}. PatRNA\text{Phe} is superimposed onto the canonical, free yeast tRNA\text{Phe} (PDB ID: 4TNA). The view angle is rotated 180° with respect to that in (A). (C) A detailed comparison of the structural differences between the MjTrm5b-tRNA\text{Cys} (cyan, PDB ID: 2ZZN) and the PaTrm5a-tRNA\text{Phe} (orange, PDB ID: 5WT1) complexes.

Fig. 4. The flexibility of the D1 domain. (A) The specific interactions involving the G19-C56 base pair at the outer corner of tRNA. The $2F_o - F_c$ map is contoured at 1σ. (B) EMSA of the WT, DelD1, and single mutants with tRNA\text{Phe}. (C) The methyltransfer activity of the DelD1 mutant. (D) SAXS results of the apoprotein, including the simulated SAXS profiles of the tRNA-free MjTrm5b structure (blue, PDB ID: 2YX1) and the tRNA-free PaTrm5a structure (cyan, PDB ID: 5HJ), along with the experimental SAXS data (pink). The MjTrm5b (blue) and the PaTrm5a structures (cyan) were superimposed onto their respective DR model (pink) using PyMOL. The $P(r)$ distance distribution function is shown in the inset.
of C19 (table S1). G20 adds eight more hydrogen bonds, six of which are base-specific contacts.

The D1 domain of MjTrm5b transitions from its original state to an open state upon the binding of tRNA. The α1 helix grabs the outer corner of tRNA and holds it in place. We deleted the D1 domain of PaTrm5a, carried out the EMSA assay, and discovered that the binding affinity of the truncated protein (DelD1) was much lower than that of the full-length WT protein (~60%), whereas the single-point mutants R8A, K12A, K19A, and R32A showed no significant difference (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, our activity measurements mirrored the EMSA results, with about one-quarter activity being retained in the methyltransfer reaction for the deletion mutant (Fig. 4C). The large affinity loss as evidenced by the D1 deletion, as compared to the insignificant decrease in affinity exhibited by the specific single mutations, indicated that Trm5 recognizes the overall shape by contacting its outer corner, consistent with the notion of the enzyme being a checkpoint protein.

We previously proved through bulk FRET experiments that PaTrm5a is in an extended state (16). To further support our results, we conducted SAXS studies on apo-PaTrm5a. The pure apoprotein without the 6x His tag was passed through a size exclusion column to ensure the monodispersity of PaTrm5a before the data collection. The data collection and structural parameters are presented in table S2, and the P(r) distance distribution function is shown in the inset of Fig. 4D. After calculation of the SAXS profile using the program DAMMIF, the dummy residue (DR) models of the PaTrm5a ensemble with the open conformation (PDB ID: 5HJJ, cyan curve, Fig. 4D) (16) and the curve computed from the atomic structure of the MjTrm5b ensemble with the closed D1 conformation (PDB ID: 2YX1, blue curve, Fig. 4D) (14) were superimposed. The results showed that the discrepancy (χ²) values between the experimental SAXS and the two crystal structures were 4.9 and 32.0, respectively, indicating that the open-state model but not the closed-state model is the major form in solution. Therefore, the SAXS agrees with our previous FRET data. Further fitting of the SAXS data with the MultiFLEX program (18) suggested that PaTrm5a may adopt multiple conformations ranging from partially to fully open conformations in solution. This is a more plausible scenario in solution, given the flexibility of this domain. Together, we conclude that PaTrm5a molecules form an ensemble with various open conformations that might undergo interchangeable conversions in solution.

### Methyltransfer activity assays on tRNA truncation mutants

To evaluate the significance of each individual region in tRNA to substrate recognition, we generated a series of tRNA truncation mutants by removing the D-region, T-region, or both regions, or by retaining only the anticodon stem-loop from PatRNAPhe (Fig. 5A). The purity and folding of each tRNA variant have been checked by Urea-PAGE (polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis) and size exclusion chromatography (fig. S6). All the tRNA variants displayed a symmetric shape on the Superdex 75 column (GE Healthcare), suggesting no major issues with their folding. Meanwhile, methyltransfer activity assays showed that deletion of the D-region (DelD) strongly impaired enzymatic activity, whereas deletion of the T-region (DelT) left the enzyme with ~20% activity (Fig. 5B). Removal of both regions (ASL-12, which consists of the acceptor stem and the anticodon stem-loop only) reduced the activity even further. These results indicated that PaTrm5a is very sensitive to the D-region truncation and is less sensitive to the T-region truncation, consistent with the number of interactions between each individual region and the enzyme (Fig. 4A and table S1). Furthermore, the enzyme was completely inactive toward ASL-5 (the anticodon region of PatRNAPhe) or ASL-5Δ32 and ASL-5Δ34 (single-base deletion mutants of ASL-5), in which the deleted bases have very few interactions with the enzyme (table S1). These findings are in agreement with the studies conducted by Christian and Hou (19) and also supported our cocrystal structure.

### The crystal structure of the PaTrm5a-tRNAPhe-MTA complex

Because SAH is not the methyl donor, the PaTrm5a-tRNAPhe-SAH ternary complex structure described above does not reflect the authentic initial state of the chemical reaction. Consequently, we determined the cocrystal structure of PaTrm5a in the presence of SAM and tRNA Phe.
(PDB ID: 5WT3). In this 3.2 Å cocrystal structure, there is only one ternary complex in the asymmetric unit. The SAM-bound complex is nearly identical to the SAH-bound structure. Whereas the protein and tRNA backbones are essentially the same between the two structures (Fig. 6A), many side chains of the protein are barely visible in the electron density map and thus not modeled. Despite the lower resolution of the structure, two notable differences could not be overlooked. First, SAM was hydrolyzed during crystallization as shown by the electron density around the ligand-binding site, and we could fit the degradation product 5′-methylthioadenosine (MTA) into the map (Fig. 6B). MTA has been observed in our previous cocrystals of PaTrm5a in the presence of SAM (16). The MTA molecule is highly similar to SAH in terms of positions and orientation and maintains the same type of interactions involving the base and the ribose (Fig. 6C). Second, we resolved three more base pairs at the acceptor arm of the tRNA molecule, with the electron density extending to the G1-C72 base pair. Close examination revealed that the more ordered acceptor end is due to crystal contacts, in which two tRNA molecules form a “head-to-head” packing pattern (Fig. 6C).

**Proposed reaction mechanism of Trm5a**

By overlaying the structure of the fully modified yeast tRNA\(^\text{Phe}\) (with wybutosine at position 37, PDB ID: 4TNA) (20) onto that of our complex, we can derive the structure of the PaTrm5a-tRNA\(^\text{Phe}\) (imG-14)–SAH ternary complex (Fig. 7A), with the imG-14 coordinates taken from Y37 of tRNA\(^\text{Phe}\) (PDB ID: 4TNA) (20). In this model, imG-14 is flipped into a hydrophobic pocket formed by Phe\(^{165}\), Phe\(^{284}\), Tyr\(^{197}\), Met\(^{170}\), Tyr\(^{197}\), and the 260PTPK263 fragment. The SAH molecule is
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**Fig. 6. The structure of the PaTrm5a-tRNA\(^\text{Phe}\)-MTA ternary complex.** (A) The overlay of the two cocrystal structures. The coloring scheme for the SAH cocrystal structure is as in Fig. 1A, whereas the MTA cocrystal structure is in magenta. The SAH and MTA molecules present at the active site are shown in a ball-and-stick model. (B) The recognition pattern of MTA. The 2\(F_o\) – 2\(F_c\) map is contoured at 1\(\sigma\). The distance of the sulfur atom of MTA to N1 of G37 is indicated by the number near the yellow dashed line. (C) The tRNA-mediated crystal packing. The box on the right is in the close-up view.
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**Fig. 7. Structural basis for the bifunctional methyltransfer activity of PaTrm5a.** (A) The PaTrm5a-tRNA\(^\text{Phe}\)-SAH ternary complex model containing the modified base. The modified tRNA is omitted for clarity except for the imG-14 base at position 37. The distances of the sulfur atom of SAH to N1 and C7 of imG-14 are shown (units in angstroms), along with the distance of Lys\(^{324}\) to NS of imG-14. (B) Active site comparison of the PaTrm5a in the ternary complex with the MjTrm5b complex in cartoon representation. The residues participating in ligand recognition through hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic contacts are depicted in sticks and eyelashes, respectively. The equivalent hydrophobic residues in the two models are compared, and the two key residues are circled. The tricyclic imG-14 substrate is blocked by the highly conserved NLPK motif in MjTrm5b, and the second methylation reaction is thus prevented. For clarity, the tRNA molecules are omitted.
nearby, and the distances of the sulfur atom to N1 and C7 are 4.4 and 3.8 Å, respectively. Lys324 forms a hydrogen bond with the N2 atom of imG-14 to maintain its orientation (with their distance of 3.5 Å) and possibly helps to neutralize the negative charge of the carbanion at position 7 of imG-14, once the connected proton is deprotonated by Glu173. In addition, Phe284 makes edge-to-face π–π interactions with the tricyclic substrate (Fig. 7A). Therefore, once G or imG-14 is bound to the enzyme, SAM is ready to donate its methyl group to the substrate (N1 of G or C7 of imG-14). In this aspect, the chemistry for PaTrm5a is identical to that of MjTrm5b. But why is MjTrm5b incapable of methylating imG-14 like PaTrm5a? This is probably due to the unique substrate-recognition motif 260PTPK263 present in PaTrm5a, which deviates from the conserved NPPY motif among Trm5s. In previously proposed catalytic models of amino methyltransferases, the carboxyl oxygen of the first proline of NPPY makes hydrogen bonds with the nucleophilic nitrogen of the substrate, which pulls the target nitrogen toward the SAM (15, 21–23). In MjTrm5b, the equivalent NLPK motif is present at positions 265 to 268. In our superimposed model, the side chain of Asn265 of MjTrm5b (and also possibly other residues from this motif) causes steric clashes with the additional five-membered ring of the tricyclic imG-14 produced after Taw1 modification, with their closest distance being only 1.1 Å (Fig. 7B). This asparagine residue is absolutely conserved in Trm5bs, and therefore, further methylation at the C7 position is impossible because of the steric hindrance. In addition, MjTrm5b is unable to form the hydrogen bond involving Lys224 or the hydrophobic interactions involving Phe284 as observed in PaTrm5a, because both residues at these positions in MjTrm5b are isoleucines. In contrast, PaTrm5a substitutes the asparagine with a proline (the PTPK motif), which accommodates the tricyclic substrate (Fig. 7B). Consequently, the variation to the consensus motif confers enzyme promiscuity and expands the substrate spectrum to imG-14–containing tRNAs. Another case in point is PaTrm5b, which has an NLPK motif at the corresponding position. The bulky side chains of leucine and asparagine will likely disallow the efficient binding of imG-14 and thus eliminate its double-methylation capability as well.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cloning, protein expression, and purification**

The PaTrm5a gene was cloned into the pET-28a (+) vector as described by Wang et al. (16). The resulting construct included full-length Trm5 and an N-terminal 6× His tag. Mutants of PaTrm5a were generated using the QuickChange method (Stratagene) (24). The expression and purification of all constructs followed the protocol as described previously (16).

**In vitro transcription of tRNA**

The full-length *P. abyssi* tRNA^{Phe} (FL-PatRNA^{Phe}) was prepared after the previous protocol (25). tRNA truncation mutants were based on FL-PatRNA^{Phe}, by intentionally omitting specific regions. Prepared tRNA variants were redissolved in the TE buffer [40 mM tris-HCl (pH 7.0) and 1 mM EDTA] to a concentration of 2.5 mg/ml and allowed to anneal.

**Crystallization, data collection, and structure determination**

The screens for cocrystals were set up at room temperature using the sitting-drop vapor diffusion method. For the formation of the complex, protein (with the uncleaved 6× His tag) was mixed with tRNA^{Phe} at a molar ratio of 1:0.4 in a buffer containing 20 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), and 1.5 mM SAH or SAM. The final concentration of the protein was 6.0 mg/ml. The drops were equilibrated against a reservoir solution containing 45% 2-methyl-2,4-pentanediol (MPD), 100 mM MES (pH 6.5), and 200 mM NH4OAc. The cocrystals of the ternary complex appeared after a week. Fully grown crystals were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen after being soaked in a cryoprotectant containing the reservoir solution supplemented with 10% ethylene glycol (v/v).

Diffraction data were collected using beamline 17U (BL17U1) and beamline 19U (BL19U1) at the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF; Shanghai, People’s Republic of China) and were processed with the program HKL2000 (26). The PaTrm5a-tRNA^{Phe}-SAH cocrystals belong to the P2 space group with 2.60 Å resolution, whereas the PaTrm5a-tRNA^{Phe}-SAM cocrystals belong to C2221 with 3.20 Å resolution. The SAM data set was predicted to contain one protein and one tRNA molecule each in the asymmetric unit, whereas the SAH data set was predicted to contain two copies of enzyme and two tRNAs in the asymmetric unit. Molecular replacement was first performed on the SAH data set with Phenix (27) using the known complex structure of the MjTrm5b-tRNA^{Cys}-SAM complex (PDB ID: 2ZZN) (15) as the search model. After a plausible solution was obtained, the model was manually built by COOT (28) according to the electron density map. The rebuilt model was fed to the phenix.refine (27), and multiple cycles of refinement alternated with model rebuilding. The final model was validated by SFCHECK (29). The *R*	extsubscript{free}/*R*	extsubscript{work} factors were 0.243 and 0.274 for the PaTrm5a-tRNA^{Phe}-SAH complex, respectively. The SAM-complex structure, which was solved using the PaTrm5a-tRNA^{Phe}-SAM structure, was the search model. At the late stage of the refinement, it was discovered that an MTA molecule (a degradation product of SAM) was bound at the active site. The *R*	extsubscript{free}/*R*	extsubscript{work} factors for the PaTrm5a-tRNA^{Phe}-MTA complex were 0.246 and 0.256, respectively (Table 1). The structures of PaTrm5a (PDB ID: 5HJJ) and MjTrm5b (PDB ID: 2YXI) were prepared by PyMOL (http://pymol.org/). The cartoons representing the interaction network as shown in Fig. 7B were generated by LIGPLOT (30).

**Electrophoretic mobility shift assay**

The binding reactions of tRNA and PaTrm5a in 1:1 molar ratio (containing 1 mM DTT) were incubated on ice for 30 min. Two identical sets of binding reactions were set up, for staining with ethidium bromide and coomassie brilliant blue in parallel. Samples were mixed with an equal volume of 0.5× tris-borate EDTA (TBE) buffer (pH 8.3) containing 5% glycerol and loaded onto a 7% nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel. Electrophoresis was performed for 1.5 hours at 100 V after prerunning the gel for 30 min at 4°C, with 0.5× TBE buffer as the running buffer.

**SAXS measurement and data processing**

The relatively pure PaTrm5a protein was further purified by size exclusion chromatography with a Superdex 75 column (10/30, GE Healthcare), and the protein was eluted with a buffer of 20 mM tris-HCl (pH 7.0), 150 mM NaCl, and 1 mM DTT. The protein fraction was collected and concentrated to three concentrations of 3.0, 5.0, and 10.0 mg/ml. The SAXS data of PaTrm5a were collected at the beamline 19U2 (BL19U2) of SSRF with a wavelength of 1.03 Å. The data were analyzed using the ATSAS package following the standard procedures (31). After subtracting the scattering signals from buffer, the data from the 3.0-mg/ml sample were scaled and merged using PRIMUS. GNOM was then used to estimate the particle maximum dimension (D_{max}) and...
Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystals</th>
<th>PaTrm5a-tRNA\textsuperscript{Phe}.MTA (5WT3)</th>
<th>PaTrm5a-tRNA\textsuperscript{Phe}.SAH (5WT1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data collection</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beamlines</td>
<td>SRSF-BL19U1</td>
<td>SRSF-BL17U1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>C22\textsubscript{1}</td>
<td>P2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, b, c (Å)</td>
<td>58.1, 212.3, 130.0</td>
<td>102.1, 57.0, 115.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
<td>50–3.20 (3.31–3.20)</td>
<td>50–2.60 (2.69–2.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;work&lt;/sub&gt;\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>0.079 (0.174)</td>
<td>0.077 (0.911)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;merge&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.246/0.256</td>
<td>0.247/0.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of reflections</td>
<td>13,481</td>
<td>40,281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of atoms</td>
<td>2,268</td>
<td>4,966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Refinement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
<td>50–3.20 (3.45–3.20)</td>
<td>50–2.60 (2.66–2.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;work&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;merge&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.246/0.256</td>
<td>0.247/0.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>2,268</td>
<td>4,966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tRNA</td>
<td>1,565</td>
<td>2,895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligand</td>
<td>20 (MTA)</td>
<td>52 (SAH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water molecules</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B factors (Å\textsuperscript{2})</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>68.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root-mean-squared deviations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond lengths (Å)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angles (°)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran favored (%)</td>
<td>96.36</td>
<td>98.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowed</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outliers (%)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{R}_{\text{merge}} = \frac{\Sigma I}{\Sigma I_{\text{ref}}}-\frac{\Sigma I_{\text{obs}}}{\Sigma I_{\text{ref}}}\) calculated from working data set. \(\text{R}_{\text{merge}}\) is calculated from 5.0% of data randomly chosen and not included in refinement.

Methytransfer assay

The methyltransfer assay mixture contained 100 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 100 mM KCl, 6 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 2 mM DTT, 250 nM \([\text{3H}]\)-SAM (PerkinElmer, 82.7 Ci/mmol), and 2 μM annealed PaTRm5a. PaTrm5a (200 nM) or mutants were added to initiate the reaction. All the activity assays were carried out at 37°C, and 4-μl aliquots were removed at the designated time points, spotted onto 5% trichloroacetic acid (TCA)–soaked filter pads, and washed twice with 5% cold TCA and 95% ethanol. The filter pads were dried, and the radioactivity was measured by scintillation counting.

Accession numbers

The atomic coordinates and structure factors were deposited in the PDB with accession numbers 5WT1 for the PaTrm5a-tRNA\textsuperscript{Phe}.SAH complex and 5WT3 for the PaTrm5a-tRNA\textsuperscript{Phe}.MTA complex structures.
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fig. S1. Biosynthesis of wosine derivatives in eukaryotic tRNA\textsuperscript{Phe}s.

fig. S2. The overall structure of the PaTrm5a-tRNA\textsuperscript{Phe}.SAH ternary complex.

fig. S3. The asymmetric unit contents in the cocrystals of the PaTrm5a-tRNAPhe-SAH ternary complex.

fig. S4. The interaction mode of U33/G34 in the PaTrm5a-tRNAPhe-SAH ternary complex.

fig. S5. The interaction mode of U33/G34 in the PaTrm5a-tRNAPhe-SAH ternary complex.

fig. S6. Purity test of tRNA truncation mutants by size exclusion chromatography and Urea-PAGE.

table S1. Specific interactions between the enzyme and the tRNA substrate.

table S2. Statistics on SAXS data collection, analysis, and modeling.
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