Prediction of a low-temperature \( \text{N}_2 \) dissociation catalyst exploiting near-IR–to–visible light nanoplasmonics
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Despite more than a century of advances in catalyst and production plant design, the Haber–Bosch process for industrial ammonia (\( \text{NH}_3 \)) synthesis still requires energy-intensive high temperatures and pressures. We propose taking advantage of sunlight conversion into surface plasmon resonances in \( \text{Au} \) nanoparticles to enhance the rate of the \( \text{N}_2 \) dissociation reaction, which is the bottleneck in \( \text{NH}_3 \) production. We predict that this can be achieved through Mo doping of the \( \text{Au} \) surface based on embedded multireference correlated wave function calculations. The \( \text{Au} \) component serves as a light-harvesting antenna funneling energy onto the Mo active site, whereby excited-state channels (requiring 1.4 to 1.45 eV, near-infrared–to–visible plasmon resonances) may be accessed. This effectively lowers the energy barriers to 0.44 to 0.77 eV/\( \text{N}_2 \) (43 to 74 kJ/mol \( \text{N}_2 \)) from 3.5 eV/\( \text{N}_2 \) (335 kJ/mol \( \text{N}_2 \)) in the ground state. The overall process requires three successive surface excitation events, which could be facilitated by amplified resonance energy transfer due to plasmon local field enhancement.

INTRODUCTION

Activation of the \( \text{N}_2 \) bond—the second strongest chemical bond known—is of both fundamental and commercial interest. Despite its great abundance in the atmosphere, \( \text{N}_2 \) must first be converted into a form that can be metabolized by living organisms (for example, ammonia (\( \text{NH}_3 \)), amines, and nitrates) to be agriculturally viable. The Haber–Bosch (HB) process was the “disruptive innovation” of its time that led to inexpensive, large-scale \( \text{NH}_3 \) production from \( \text{N}_2 \) and \( \text{H}_2 \) (1). The process, which consumes \(~5\%\) of the world’s natural gas (2), requires high temperatures (\(~500^\circ\text{C}\)) and pressures (\(~200\) atm) to be kinetically feasible, despite the reaction being thermodynamically allowed at room temperature and ambient pressures (3, 4). Thus, \( \text{NH}_3 \) production under milder conditions is a sensible trajectory toward a more energy-efficient HB process. The greatest hurdle in achieving this endeavor is the large \((~10\) eV\)) energy per molecule (\(~1000\) kJ/mol) that has to be gained by the \( \text{N}_2 \) molecule for it to dissociate in the gas phase (5). Therefore, the Herculean task of activating \( \text{N}_2 \) is the primary rate-limiting step on most catalysts for the HB process. In catalytic \( \text{N}_2 \) dissociation, the bond-breaking process is partly compensated by the favorable formation of metal–\( \text{N} \) bonds on the surface of a catalyst (for example, on Ru, Fe, Ir, and Mo (4)), and thus, together with high temperatures and pressures (as in the HB process), \( \text{N} \)--\( \text{N} \) bond scission becomes feasible. These metal–\( \text{N} \) bonds then are broken later via the formation of stronger or equally strong N–H bonds.

Recently, it has been demonstrated that catalysis on select single and tandem metal nanoparticles (MNP)s can be enhanced through excitation of the metal’s local surface plasmon resonances (LSPR)s (6–16). LSPRs involve the collective oscillation of the free-electron–like valence electrons of MNPs at incident light wavelengths (\(~400\) to 1000 nm) that are much larger than the particles (\(~5\) to 200 nm) (17). These excitations exhibit large extinction cross sections [much larger than the physical cross section of the MNP itself, with some that are \(~\geq 10^3\) times greater than those of conventional chromophoric molecules (18, 19)] and local electric field enhancements at peak resonances (12, 17, 20). Perhaps, the most widely known application of LSPRs is in the field of surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS) for molecules adsorbed on plasmonic MNPs, characterized by about a million-fold enhancement in the Raman signal (21, 22). LSPRs may generate energetic charge carriers or heat (through phonon coupling) upon decay (23) or may cause resonant surface excitations (20, 24), all potentially influencing the MNP surface’s chemical reactivity (fig. S1). Coupling of the few strongly plasmonic coinage metals (Cu, Ag, and Au) and Al with catalytically active metals, through decoration with small clusters of, for example, Pt and Pd, has been demonstrated experimentally to be successful in extending the library of reactions that may be plasmonically catalyzed (6–9). We previously proposed surface doping as an alternative configuration to enhance the catalytic properties of a plasmonic metal (25, 26). Here, we focus on a Mo-doped \( \text{Au} \) surface as a model catalyst, where the choice of the two components, Mo (the dopant and active site) and \( \text{Au} \) (the plasmonically active host), is due to their complementary properties. Mo is a known \( \text{N}_2 \) dissociation catalyst that binds \( \text{N} \) very strongly (27). \( \text{Au} \), on the other hand, is an excellent plasmonic material with an LSPR peak that can be modulated within the near-infrared (NIR) up to the ultraviolet (UV) range of the electromagnetic spectrum (18). Here, we theoretically predict, through a combination of periodic density functional theory (DFT) and a multireference second-order perturbation theory, that accessing multiple electronically excited states originating from Mo and the adsorbed \( \text{N}_2 \) molecule, with energies at or near the plasmon resonance frequency of \( \text{Au} \), is a potentially effective means to achieve a low-temperature HB process.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Simulation of the catalyst surface

The (111) surface of \( \text{Au} \) with a face-centered cubic (fcc) structure was modeled with a very dilute Mo surface dopant concentration (see Methods). This model was chosen because of the known immiscibility of Mo and \( \text{Au} \) (28). Previously, we predicted theoretically that although doping of \( \text{Au} \) with Mo is thermodynamically unfavorable, oxidation with \( \text{O}_2 \) could stabilize isolated Mo substrates on the surface of \( \text{Au} \) (25). Recovery of the active site can be achieved through reduction with, for example, \( \text{H}_2(g) \), which is abundant during \( \text{NH}_3 \) synthesis, at slightly elevated temperatures (25) or electrochemically. Furthermore, we...
predicted that lattice substitution is far more favorable than adsorption of single Mo atoms (25). Numerous experiments are consistent with our predictions. Substitutional surface alloying of Au(111) with Mo has been observed during physical vapor deposition of Mo on Au(111) at temperatures ≥250°C (29) and via molecular beam epitaxy at 500°C (30). It is noteworthy that well-mixed alloys of Au and first-row transition metals such as Ni, Co, and Fe, which are also immiscible in Au (31–33), can be synthesized electrochemically via simultaneous reduction of the dopants and Au erosion (34), and electrodeposition using salts of Au and of the dopants (35). Large-scale synthesis of dense Au–Ni alloy nanowires was achieved using an electrodeposition technique (36). Laser ablation was also successfully deployed to synthesize nanoalloys of Au and Fe (37). Both electrodeposition and laser ablation techniques could be potentially used to incorporate Mo into Au because these methods are strongly kinetically controlled. Although Au and Mo do not form thermodynamically stable alloys, such alloys were found to be kinetically accessible and stable, especially at the surface, and even argued to be thermodynamically favorable at the nanoscale (30). Thus, reducing the operating temperatures for NH₃ synthesis [ideally far below the melting point of Au, 1064°C (30), to prevent atomic diffusion] is key to preventing eventual percolation of Mo, which we will show is possible via plasmonics.

Identification of the minimum energy pathway for N₂ dissociation

The favorable adsorption configurations of N₂ on the Mo active site and the intervening structures that connect them along the minimum energy pathway (MEP) toward dissociation are shown in Fig. 1A (see figs. S2 and S3 for the vibrational frequencies of the stationary and transition states, as well as changes in relevant structural parameters as a function of the reaction coordinate). The structures found at the energy minima and maxima along the MEP were identified using DFT with the climbing image–nudged elastic band method (CI-NEB) (38). As the N₂ molecule approaches the Mo site, it orients such that it binds to the Mo via an end-on, η¹-N₂, configuration (Fig. 1, A and B, orange box and curve, respectively, henceforward referred to as path a). This binding is barrier-free and is exothermic with an associated ΔEₐ = −1.19 eV/N₂ [−1.13 eV/N₂ with zero-point energy (ZPE) correction] and a corresponding ΔGₐ = −0.69 eV/N₂ at 298 K and 1 atm N₂ (25).

The path toward dissociation requires a reorientation of the molecule until it lies parallel to the surface and binds side-on, η²-N₂, onto the Mo (Fig. 1, A and B, green box and curve, respectively, path b). The η²-N₂ configuration is a local minimum with a trivial, in-plane rotational degree of freedom (structure 10, denoted s:10). Here, ΔE₂ = 0.87 eV/N₂ (0.83 eV/N₂ ZPE-corrected) and ΔG₂ = 0.83 eV/N₂ at 298 K, with a
barrier of $\Delta E_{\text{eff}}^1 = 0.92$ eV/N$_2$ (0.87 eV/N$_2$ ZPE-corrected). Therefore, the barrier to go back to the $\eta^1$-N$_2$ configuration from this orientation is nearly nonexistent. From the $\eta^1$-N$_2$ configuration, the elongation of the N$_2$ bond until complete dissociation may proceed (Fig. 1, A and B, blue box and curve, respectively, path c) with a relatively high reaction barrier of $\Delta E_{\text{eff}}^1 = 1.81$ eV/N$_2$ (1.76 eV/N$_2$ ZPE-corrected) because it is only slightly exothermic with $\Delta E_a = -0.10$ eV/N$_2$ ($-0.10$ eV/N$_2$ ZPE-corrected) and $\Delta G_v = -0.08$ eV/N$_2$ at 298 K. Because the barrier for the bond elongation step is extremely high compared to the barriers of the two steps that precede it, the earlier two steps will be in a pre-equilibrium, and thus, the effective thermal ground-state barrier is simply $\Delta E_{\text{eff}}^1 = \Delta E_a + \Delta E_b + \Delta E_l^1 = 1.49$ eV (1.46 eV ZPE-corrected). The overall dissociation energy is $\Delta E_{\text{diss}} = \Delta E_a + \Delta E_b + \Delta E_c = -0.42$ eV ($-0.40$ eV ZPE-corrected).

The calculated $\Delta E_{\text{eff}}$ is comparable to the barriers predicted on the flat surfaces of the best HB catalysts, for example, 1.8 eV/N$_2$ on the Ru(0011) surface (39) and 1.11 eV on the Fe(110) surface (27), which were calculated via DFT using a comparable method to that used here (see Fig. 1C). The barrier on the (110) surface of body-centered cubic Mo was previously calculated to be much smaller, 0.42 eV/N$_2$, and very exothermic, $\Delta E_{\text{diss}} = -2.27$ eV (27). However, strong binding is considered the reason behind the relatively poorer performance of Mo-based HB catalysts compared to Fe- and Ru-based catalysts (27). The stronger Mo–N bond results in the hydrogenation of N and the desorption of NH$_3$ becoming rate-limiting (27). The effect of Au on Mo, Au being almost completely inert toward N$_2$ adsorption (Fig. 1C), is thus favorable. The immediate Au environment has a lower reactivity than Mo; this enables AuMo to achieve optimal adsorption and dissociation energies for N$_2$. Although DFT has clear quantitative limitations, comparing the relative activation and reaction energies within the same level of theory provides a qualitative measure (demonstrated by the linear trend in $\Delta E_{\text{eff}}^1$ versus $\Delta E_{\text{diss}}$ in Fig. 1C) of how the new catalyst will behave relative to known active catalysts. If N$_2$ dissociation remains as the rate-limiting elementary step for NH$_3$ synthesis on the catalysts being compared, then the calculated $\Delta E_{\text{diss}}$ indicates that the Mo-doped Au surface should exhibit similar activity to the Ru- and Fe-based catalysts. However, the barrier is too large to allow N$_2$ splitting at room temperature on Mo-doped Au. The advantage of Au over pure Fe and Ru is that Au is strongly plasmonic, which can be potentially used to enhance rates of surface reactions.

** Corrections to the ground-state energy curves from many-body wave function methods **

We use density functional embedding theory (DFET) (40) to examine electronic excited states and how they can be accessed to affect reaction kinetics, as well as to correct for the shortcomings of DFT in describing charge-transfer reactions (41–43). DFET is a divide-and-conquer approach in which the reaction center (here, an Au$_{10}$Mo cluster; see fig. S4) is treated using, for example, a many-body multiconfigurational quantum mechanical method while embedded in an effective interaction potential (embedding potential) derived from a periodic DFT slab calculation (40). The embedding potential mimics the mutual interaction of the cluster and its environment (see Methods and fig. S4) (40). This method has been successfully used, for example, to corroborate the enhanced isotope exchange kinetics of H$_2$ and D$_2$ on Au and Al nanoparticles under mild conditions and illumination (15, 16). Here, we use the NEVPT2 (44) to solve for the corrected ground- and electronically excited–state reaction energies using the DFET approach. The complete active space self-consistent field method (CASSCF) (45, 46) was used to generate the reference wave functions. These calculations were done at the augmented triple-$\zeta$ basis set level (see Methods, tables S1 to S3, and fig. S5 for the definition of the basis set and conducted convergence tests). Henceforth, DFET with CASSCF and NEVPT2 will be referred to as emb-CASSCF and emb-NEVPT2, respectively.

Energy curves for two different spin manifolds, S = 0 and 1 (only on the early steps of the reaction), were also calculated. Note that DFT predicts $S\approx 1$ to be the ground-state spin upon N$_2$ approach to the surface, which eventually quenches to $S = 0$ as the N$_2$ adsorbs and dissociates (Fig. 1, B and D). However, emb-NEVPT2 predicts that the S = 0 state is lower energy than S = 1 all along the reaction path (Fig. 1E; see also fig. S6 and Supplementary Text). The reaction energy curves for paths a and b calculated from emb-NEVPT2 are comparable to the predictions of the periodic DFT calculations. However, for path c, the barrier and energy of the reaction markedly increase by ~2 and ~1.5 eV to 3.48 and 1.25 eV, respectively. DFT is known to underestimate dissociation barriers and energies of small molecules on metallic surfaces because of spurious electron overlocalization due to electron self-interaction artifacts, originating from the approximation for the exchange interaction (41–43).

Figure 2 shows the evolution of the orbitals in the complete active space (CAS) used, defined by 12 electrons in 12 orbitals, CAS(12e,12o), composed of six N$_2$ frontier states ($5\sigma$, $1\pi$, $6\sigma^*$, and $2\pi^*$) and six Mo–Au 4d–6s–derived states. As the reaction progresses, the degree of mixing between the molecule and metal orbitals becomes increasingly apparent, which naturally arises from the eventual formation of Mo–N covalent bonds (see also fig. S7). The crossing of N$_2$ 1$\pi$ and 2$\pi^*$ into the virtual and occupied spaces, respectively, and mixing with the Mo 4d states with proper symmetries, demonstrates the N–N bond breaking and Mo–N bond formation. $\pi$ and $\delta$ bonds are shown to form near and at the transition state (s13 to s16). The changes in the residual charges of N$_2$, that is, $-0.62$ e at 13 and $-1.31$ e at 16, accompany and reflect the degree of crossing of the N$_2$ 1$\pi$ and 2$\pi^*$ orbitals (fig. S8).

**Excited-state energy curves**

We calculated several electronically excited states of the species along the MEP to identify the effects of electronic excitation on the kinetics of the reaction. In this section, we first discuss the ideal pathway that leads to barrier reduction and the necessary excitations to access it. We then evaluate the probability of these excitations and approximate their lifetimes. Finally, we discuss the mechanism of interaction between the surface species and the plasmon that leads to the energy transfer from the latter to the former.

We show the calculated emb-NEVPT2 ground- and excited-state curves (up to six excited states) for S = 0 in Fig. 1F. The reference wave functions were obtained from a seven-state state-averaged CASSCF (SA-CASSCF). We note that state-averaging on the CASSCF level led to lower relative energies for the ground states of s17 to s19 (by 0.2 to 1.25 eV) and higher energies for s13 to s16 (by ~0.5 eV). We compare SA-CASSCF/NEVPT2 to the more reliable single-state CASSCF (SS-CASSCF)/NEVPT2 (for predicting the ground-state curve) to find the optimal number of states with energies within the plasmon frequency of Au while also making sure that the ground-state curve of the pertinent region of the reaction coordinate is not severely compromised. The pertinent energies describing the kinetics of the dissociation are from s0 to s17. Within this range, the ground-state curve from the SA-CASSCF/NEVPT2 is nearly equivalent to the SS-CASSCF/NEVPT2 calculations (except for some up or down shifts by ~0.5 eV from s10 to s17; see fig. S9).

The pathways leading to reduced effective barriers involving both ground- and excited-state curves are traced out in Fig. 1F (orange, green,

and blue traces). No energy input is required to adsorb a N$_2$ molecule on the surface; thus, it can follow the ground-state curve (orange trace). The $\eta^1$-N$_2$ configuration is very stable such that energy input is required for desorption or the reorientation of the molecule into the $\eta^2$-N$_2$ configuration. Desorption can be thermally enhanced because the gas-phase N$_2$ is entropically favored: desorption $\Delta S = 1.986$ to 2.071 meV/K $\sim S^0$ of N$_2$(g) for 298 to 700 K ($\Delta S$), corresponding to $-T\Delta S$ of desorption $\approx -0.60$ eV at 298 K, which more than doubles to $-1.45$ eV at 700 K. High temperatures would be unfavorable for dissociation on the surface. Thus, to influence the reorientation without triggering desorption, an electronic excitation of 1.40 eV into the fifth excited state, e5 (Fig. 1F, green vertical arrow), can provide the energy necessary to bring the molecule away from the ground-state energy. The molecule then only has to overcome a modest barrier of 0.52 eV to reorient, coupling through other lower index excited states along the pathway until it crosses the e1 of s9 (a transition state), which can then subsequently decay back into the ground state after evolving into the $\eta^2$ configuration (Fig. 1F, green trace). Beginning at the $\eta^2$ configuration, the large barrier for N–N bond dissociation can be overcome by a combination of two electronic excitations and thermally driven nuclear motion (Fig. 1F, blue traces). Excitation of s10 from the ground (e0) to e5 (1.44 eV; Fig. 1F, blue vertical arrow) will provide enough energy to reach s13 with an effective thermal barrier of only 0.44 eV. The molecule will follow the e5 surface from 10 to 12, at which point it may then easily cross surfaces of lower state indices until it reaches the ground state at s13 (blue trace). s13 may be excited to its e5 (1.41 eV; Fig. 1F, blue vertical arrow) under high intensity illumination or plasmonic field magnification before it decays back to a lower-energy structure, for example, s10. The molecule will seek a pathway to relax at this excited state (e5) of an unstable configuration (s13). A relaxation pathway available is the molecular dissociation, with an effective barrier of only 0.77 eV (to reach the ground state of s16; Fig. 1F, blue trace). Therefore, accounting for all of the steps, the highest thermal barrier for dissociation is 0.77 eV. Reactions with this barrier typically have reaction rates of about $6 \times 10^{-15}$ to $2 \times 10^{-9}$ molecules/s per active site at temperatures ranging from 25° to 300°C (298 to 573 K), derived assuming an Arrhenius rate expression with an approximate prefactor of $k_0 = 3 \times 10^{13} \text{ to } 1.19 \times 10^{15} \text{s}^{-1}$.

Although NH$_3$ production from N$_2$ and H$_2$ is exergonic (spontaneous) under ambient conditions, the reaction is entropically unfavorable, primarily because of the consumption of two gas molecules ($\ell/2$ N$_2$ + $\ell/2$ H$_2$) per NH$_3$. For example, the values of $\Delta S$ for this reaction are $-99.35$, $-110.03$, $-112.71$, and $-114.55$ J/K-mol NH$_3$ at 300, 500, 600, and 700 K, respectively, at 1 atm (4). The increase in temperature and decrease in the entropy of the reaction reduce the spontaneity of the reaction, from $\Delta G = -16.57$ at 300 K to 4.44, 15.75, and 26.94 kJ/mol NH$_3$ at 500, 600, and 700 K (4) at 1 atm. To offset the effect of high temperatures, which are necessary to improve the kinetics of the reaction, and reduced entropy, the total pressure = pN$_2$ + pH$_2$ + pNH$_3$ (with pN$_2$:pH$_2$ = 1:3 and pNH$_3$ = 20 atm) should be 33, 58, and 99 atm at 500, 600, and 700 K, respectively, to achieve at least an equilibrium. These pressures were calculated from $\Delta G = 0 = \Delta G^\circ + RT\ln (pN_2/[pN_2]^{1/2}[pH_2]^{1/2})$, assuming weak dependence of the heat of reaction on pressure (4). These values
illustrate that if the in operando temperature can be lowered from 427°C (700 K), which is the typical operating temperature of the HB process, to even 327°C (600 K), the operating pressure could be significantly reduced from ~100 to ~60 atm. The lowered pressure also improves the mole percent of NH₃ in the gas-phase reactant-product mixture from 20 to 34%. These estimates are not far from the prediction of the most empirically consistent model, the Gillespie-Beattie equation of state (47), which are 19.4 and 30.3% NH₃ at 430°C and 100 bar (98.7 atm) and 330°C and 50 bar (49.3 atm), respectively; see table 2 in the book by Appl (4). We therefore expect that this lower-temperature, plasmonic-based process will also improve the pressure requirements.

Excitation probabilities and excited-state lifetimes
Matching the relevant excitation energies along the pathway is the primary but not the only requirement for LSPRs to catalyze a reaction. The transition probabilities of these excitations must also be probed. To this end, we calculated the oscillator strengths of the excitations, f, which are proportional to the optical absorption cross sections of the transitions (see Methods) (48). f shows the relative “brightness” of an excitation, where the bright allowed transitions have $f = 0.1$ to 1 and forbidden transitions have $f \sim 0$ (48). Figure 3 shows the absorption spectrum for the critical structures (calculated from emb-SACASSCF transition dipole moments and emb-NEVPT2 excitation energies) as well as the transition-state character (shown via electron density difference plots from emb-SACASSCF) of the e:5 states, deemed as the relevant excitations based on their energies and relative oscillator strengths (see fig. S10 for the characters of other excited states). The embedded metal cluster on its own (s:0) has relatively bright absorptions between 1.2 and 1.7 eV, corresponding to the e:2, e:4, and e:5 states. The e:5 state is characterized by an Mo $4d$ (in-plane)–$4d$ (out-of-plane) electronic transition, in which the surface facilitates symmetry-breaking between the Mo $4d$ orbitals. At s:0 (Mo–$\eta^1$-N₂), the aforementioned characteristic excitation now exhibits minor contribution from N₂ (partial electron gain) and is lowered in energy but has a slightly smaller absorption strength than in s:0. From s:10 and up to s:12, the same characteristic excitation is present with similar transition energies (~1.4 V) and oscillator strengths (~0.02). At this state, the increase in electron density (blue) shares the same symmetry as the $\pi$ interaction between Mo and N₂. The aforementioned transition is no longer present at s:13, where (i) the N₂ bond is considerably stretched, (ii) one of the $\pi^*$ orbitals of N₂ has crossed into the occupied space, and (iii) significant charge is already transferred into the molecule (~0.6 e; fig. S8). The e:5 state is instead characterized as a d-d transition from a nonbonding Mo $d$ orbital to a bonding $d$ orbital, with symmetry appropriate for a $\delta$-bond with N₂ $\pi^*$. This electronically excited state has the appropriate electronic redistribution for N₂ to form the $\delta$-bond with Mo, an interaction that emerges from s:14 to s:16 (transition state) (see orbital $\pi^*$–4d $\delta$ in Fig. 2 in s:16). However, this excitation is much fainter with $f = 0.002$, which is an order of magnitude lower than previously described transitions. This is because no significant change in the 4d symmetry is associated with the transition, although it has some Mo $d$–to–N₂ $\pi^*$ character (partial electron gain).

Although it is desirable for the critical excitations to have large $f$, strong absorbers are also bright emitters (fluorescence). The excited state has to persist longer than it takes for the photo-activated reaction to occur. The emission lifetime of an excited state ($\tau$ in s) can be approximated from $f$ according to classical theory via $\tau = 1.4/\sqrt{f}$, where $\sqrt{f}$ is the frequency of maximum absorption in cm⁻¹ (48). Excitations at 1.40 eV (11,300 cm⁻¹) will have $\tau$ of ~$10^{-6}$ s for $f = 0.01$ and ~$10^{-5}$ s for $f = 0.001$. Note that we approximated earlier the rate to be $2 \times 10^6$ molecules/s for a reaction with a barrier of 0.77 eV at 573 K (from [s:13,e:5] to [s:16, e:0]), which therefore requires an excited-state radiative lifetime to be longer than ~0.5 × $10^{-5}$ s so that the reaction can compete with the radiative decay. We note that [s:13,e:5] has an approximate radiative lifetime of ~0.6 × $10^{-5}$ s ($f = 0.002$), which is well within the aforementioned estimate.

Mechanism of plasmon energy transfer to the active site
It has been proposed recently that energetic charge carrier injection (“hot” electrons or holes) into an adsorbed molecule on MNPs is one of the primary mechanisms of plasmon-induced photochemical reactions

![Fig. 3. Oscillator strengths and electron density differences. (Top) Absorption spectrum (oscillator strength, f, versus excitation energy) for select structures along the dissociation trajectory (Fig. 1F), calculated from emb-SACASSCF transition dipole moments and emb-NEVPT2 excitation energies. Excited-state index given at the top of each red vertical line. Note that vertical scale changes across the panels. (Bottom) Real-space electron density difference plots between the fifth excited state and the ground state for s:0, s:5, s:10, s:12, and s:13 from emb-SACASSCF. Red is electron loss, blue is electron gain, and isosurface value is ±0.002 au; the Au₁₄-Mo-embedded cluster model + N₂ are shown (see Fig. 1A for structural legend).](http://advances.sciencemag.org/)
This theory further supposes that a transient negative ion (TNI) state is formed, leading to the rapid turnovers of chemical reactions (10, 11), and even proposes to facilitate SERS (49). However, doubts on the existence of TNIs have been raised (22). Direct charge-transfer excitation from the metal Fermi level ($E_F$) band into the π* of a physically adsorbed N₂ molecule, the so-called 2π resonance state, is experimentally found to require energy of around 4 eV; for example, the 2π state of an adsorbed N₂ on Ni(001) is 4.5 eV above the $E_F$ (50). We also showed with DFT that the 2π* state of N₂ on the AuMo surface is 3.3 eV above the $E_F$, which is already expected to be underestimated by DFT (25). These relative energies provide clear evidence that a hot carrier injection leading to dissociation is unlikely for N₂ at excitation energies within the NIR to visible spectrum (<3 eV) on at least high work function metals. Induced excitations within the surface defect due to plasmons are more likely mechanisms to enhance rates of reactions involving molecules with their lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals too high in energy for TNI states to exist.

The coupling between the LSPR of a plasmonic metal and an adjacent semiconducting material through a radiationless near-field dipole-dipole interaction via resonance energy transfer (Förster mechanism) was derived recently, coined as a plasmon-induced resonance energy transfer (PI-RET) (51). Extending this model to any acceptor on the surface of the MNP, one can obtain an expression for the PI-RET rate, $w_{RET}$, using the methods of previous studies (17, 51, 52) (see the “PI-RET rate” section in the Supplementary Materials for the derivation):

$$w_{RET} = \frac{3\kappa^2 a^d f}{\pi^2 e^2 R^6 A} \left( \frac{\Phi_{sc}}{\Phi_{har}} \right) \left( \frac{e_m - e_d}{e_r} \right)^2 \frac{e_m - e_d}{e_m + 2e_d} \left( \frac{\alpha^2 I_A (\omega) d\omega}{2} \right)$$

where $\kappa$ is an orientation factor between the plasmon and the acceptor transition dipoles and $R$ is the distance between them, $a$ is the MNP radius, $c$ is the speed of light in vacuum, $\omega$ is the angular frequency of the incident light, $\Phi_{sc}$ is the LSPR scattering quantum yield, $e_d$ and $e_r$ are the complex and the real dielectric constants of the surrounding medium, $e_m$ is the complex dielectric constant of the metal, and $f$ and $I_A (\omega)$ are the oscillator strength and the normalized absorption spectrum of the acceptor. $A$ is simply a proportionality constant relating $f$ and the absorption cross section of the acceptor. $\left( \frac{e_m - e_d}{e_m + 2e_d} \right)^2$ in Eq. 1 dictates the field enhancement $\left| E/E_0 \right|^2$ outside the MNP. The electric field enhancements from LSPRs are on the order of $\left| E/E_0 \right|^2 \sim 10^6$ for monomers and $\sim 10^9$ between particles (hot spots) (6, 11, 12, 20) at plasmon resonance peaks (when $e_m \approx -2e_d$ for a spherical MNP), which therefore may facilitate transitions with $f$ of even $\sim 10^{-5}$ to $10^{-2}$. The magnified optical absorption of molecules on the surface of plasmonic MNPs due to electric field enhancements is the foundation of SERS (21, 22). The spectral overlap between the Au plasmon scattering and the surface absorption, defined by $\left( \frac{e_m - e_d}{e_m + 2e_d} \right)^2 \alpha^2 I_A (\omega) d\omega$, can be maximized by using nanorods with aspect ratios between 4 and 5 because they would have broad LSPR peaks centered around 800 to 880 nm (1.40 to 1.5 eV) (18, 19).

Förster theory does not include a radiative term and applies to the weak electronic coupling limit: RET is incoherent and irreversible, that is, the energy transfer is only one way (52). In our case, the explicit quantum mechanical treatment of the mutual electronic effects of the surface and the molecule due to their chemical interaction takes care of the strong electronic coupling between the donor (metal) and acceptor (donant + molecule), which is lacking in the Förster expression. Naturally, we assume that the donant or molecule does not, in any quantitatively meaningful way, electronically affect the host metal Au. Although “lossy” metal dopants, such as Mo, can vary the spectral properties of Au (53), this may be easily accounted for in the variation in the complex dielectric function of the metal $e_m$. In addition, Förster theory assumes that electron transfer does not occur or compete with RET, even at short distances between the acceptor and donor. This is shown to be a valid approximation in our system due to the absence of a clear charge-transfer excited state before the dissociation of N₂ within the excitation energies probed (see Fig. 3 and fig. S8), which leads us to propose an alternative mechanism for energy transfer, such as RET.

The theoretically derived mechanistic picture provided here, where electronic excitations lower the activation barriers of a chemical reaction on an LSPR-active metal, is consistent with empirical evidence of the effect of LSPRs on chemical reactions in the literature. For example, Xiao and co-workers (9) showed that Au-Pd nanalloys could lower the activation barriers of organic cross-coupling reactions by as much as ~50% with illumination: Sonogashira and Hiyama cross-coupling apparent barriers decrease from 217.3 and 150.4 kJ/mol to 126.0 and 50.8 kJ/mol, respectively, on the alloy under visible light illumination (0.45 W/cm²). Kim and co-workers (13) have shown wavelength-dependent lowering of the activation barrier to reduce Fe³⁺ to Fe²⁺ hexacyano complexes in the presence of spherical Au nanoparticles; the barrier is reduced by ~23 kJ/mol with 488-nm light illumination. Zhang and co-workers (14) found improved CO and CH₄ production from CO₂ and H₂ on Rh nanocubes and Au spherical nanoparticles under UV illumination, with the activation barrier reduced from 78.6 (dark) to 50.4 kJ/mol for CH₄ production on Rh and from 55.8 (dark) to 39.5 kJ/mol for CO production on Au.

It is clear from these experiments that certain reactions can have marked responses, whereas others can have modest responses, to LSPRs. It is worth pointing out that if the reactions are activated only by excited charge carriers, LSPRs should indiscriminately lower the barriers of reactions. However, instead, it is becoming clear that the nature of enhancement from LSPRs may depend strongly on the kind of reaction and the interaction of the adsorbate with the catalyst.

CONCLUSIONS

We showed that combining desirable properties of catalytic and plasmonic metals via surface doping of the former in the latter is a promising route to extend the library of reactions that can be plasmonically catalyzed. The advantages of plasmonic photocatalysts over other types of photo-catalysts are their large extinction cross sections and their ability to locally enhance the incident electric field on the surface. The latter may augment the optical absorption of surface species, bringing them into their electronically excited states, and thus facilitate overcoming reaction barriers.

Specifically, we showed that, even if one considers the (generally too low) DFT barriers for N₂ dissociation on flat [1.90 eV (39)] or stepped [0.78 eV (54)] Ru (0001) surfaces to be correct, the emb-NEVPT2 barriers on excited Mo-doped Au (highest barrier = 0.77 eV) suggest that the latter has comparable or even better (with respect to the flat surface) photochemical reactivity than the chemical reactivity of the best-in-class catalyst pure Ru in the dark. Experimentally, a barrier of 103 kJ/mol is measured for Fe, which is the main catalytic component in industrial HB catalysts (4, 55). Having only 74 kJ/mol as the highest barrier on the plasmon-active AuMo catalyst is a significant improvement over a known industrial catalyst. The LSPR response illustrated here shows how one may alter the surface chemistry of Mo-doped Au nanoparticles,
potentially breaking the limitations of catalysts found in the ground state (54).

An NH₃ synthesis catalyst should support H₂ activation, as well as NH bond formation, and NH₃ desorption, in addition to N₂ activation. Plasmon-induced H₂ dissociation on Au has been demonstrated recently (15). We expect that N–H bond formation and NH₃ desorption would be highly favorable, considering that N and H atoms are only weakly adsorbed on the surface of Au in the ground state, although adsorption of N is strengthened by the presence of Mo. A rapid turnover of NH₃ is expected, limited only by the rate at which atomic N is being produced on the surface, which should be controllable by light intensity.

Future iteration of the nanoplasmonic HB catalysts would require improvement in its synthesis for large-scale production. In parallel, research leading to discoveries of catalysts not involving precious metals should be conducted; in this regard, cheaper plasmonic metals such as Cu and Al may be considered. However, the interaction of the dopant should be conducted; in this regard, cheaper plasmonic metals such as Cu and Al may be considered. However, the interaction of the dopant and its efficacy as a catalyst may be different from when hosted by Au and therefore also would warrant detailed theoretical study. The key takeaway, though, is that surface doping is critical for activating these plasmonic metals to maximize the effect of LSPRs in catalysis.

A paradigm shift in how energy is delivered to drive reaction kinetics is required to develop low-temperature, energy-efficient HB processes, for example, from “low-quality” energy in the form of heat to “high-quality” and relatively more freely accessible energy in the form of visible light. Plasmonics can be one such avenue to drive the kinetics of N₂ dissociation, overcoming limitations of thermal (ground-state) catalysts, as well as curtailing the unfavorable entropic effect of temperature on the thermodynamics of NH₃ synthesis.

METHODS

Periodic DFT calculations

Periodic, spin-polarized, Kohn-Sham (KS) DFT calculations with the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof exchange-correlation functional (56) within the projector augmented-wave formalism (57) were performed using Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) version 5.3.5 (58). A plane wave (PW) basis set with a kinetic energy cutoff of 500 eV was used for structure relaxations, in which all atom positions were relaxed until atomic forces were ≤0.01 eV/Å; a cutoff of 660 eV was used for single-point energy and vibrational frequency calculations (for an energy convergence of ≤1 meV/atom for the N₂ molecule). A √21 × √21 five-layer periodic slab was used to model the surface, with one Au atom on one side of the slab substituted with Mo (Au₁₀₄Mo) (25). The in-plane lattice constant was fixed to a = 4.168 Å, which was calculated to be optimal for the fcc Au crystal at this level of theory, and a vacuum of thickness ~14.5 Å normal to the surface was introduced. Brillouin zone sampling was conducted via 14 × 14 × 14 (Γ point–shifted) and 4 × 4 × 1 (Γ point–unshifted) k-point meshes within the Monkhorst-Pack method (59) for the bulk unit cell (four-atom basis cubic unit cell) and periodic slab, respectively. Electronic smearing following the Methfessel-Paxton method (60) at 0.09 eV was used to aid electronic convergence. van der Waals (vdW) interactions were included through Grimme’s semiempirical D3 with Becke-Johnson damping (61) to more adequately describe the interaction of N₂ with the metal surface. Dipole corrections, in potential correction mode (62, 63) along the slab normal, were added to remove spurious dipole field interactions along this direction because of periodic images.

The vibrational modes of the adsorbate (N₂) were calculated using the central difference method with ±0.015 Å atomic displacements from equilibrium. To manage computational cost, only the Au₇Mo fragment of the surface was included in the construction of the Hessian, together with the molecule—suitable for calculating dopant- and adsorbate-derived local vibrational modes (see fig. S2).

The MEP was determined using the CI-NEB (38). In CI-NEB, a force constant of 3 eV/Å² was used for the artificial spring connecting the images. The atomic forces were converged to ≤0.03 eV/Å, whereas the residual atomic forces on the transition state were converged to ≤0.01 eV/Å (similar to the stationary structures). The reaction coordinate, Rₖ, is the length scale defined by cumulative distance between the images along the MEP

$$\text{RC}(M) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_{ij+1} - x_{ij})^2 + (y_{ij+1} - y_{ij})^2 + (z_{ij+1} - z_{ij})^2}$$

RC (M) is the RC at image $M > 0$, $N$ is the total number of atoms per image, and $(x_{ij}, y_{ij}, z_{ij})$ are the coordinates of atom $i$ at image $j$.

Constructing the embedding potential from DFET

A cluster with size Au₉Mo (fig. S4A) was carved out from the slab, with the remaining 94 Au atoms designated as the environment. The embedding potential, $V_{\text{emb}}$, was determined from periodic PW-DFT using a modified VASP version 5.3.3 code via maximization of the extended Wu-Yang functional, $W$ (64). $W$ is a functional of the densities of the full system (represented by $\rho^{\text{ref}}\partial V_{\text{emb}}$, cluster ($\rho^{\text{cl}}$, via its energy $E_{\text{DFT}}^{\text{cl}}$), and the environment ($\rho^{\text{env}}$, via its energy $E_{\text{DFT}}^{\text{env}}$)

$$W = E_{\text{DFT}}^{\text{cl}}(\rho^{\text{cl}}) + E_{\text{DFT}}^{\text{env}}(\rho^{\text{env}}) - \int V_{\text{emb}}(\partial E_{\text{DFT}}^{\text{ref}}/\partial V_{\text{emb}}) \, dr$$

The potential gradient is therefore defined as

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial V_{\text{emb}}} = \frac{\partial E_{\text{DFT}}^{\text{cl}}}{\partial V_{\text{emb}}} + \frac{\partial E_{\text{DFT}}^{\text{env}}}{\partial V_{\text{emb}}} - \frac{\partial E_{\text{DFT}}^{\text{ref}}}{\partial V_{\text{emb}}} \approx \rho^{\text{cl}} + \rho^{\text{env}} - \rho^{\text{ref}}$$

resulting in the recovery of the ground-state slab electron density from the sum of the density of the fragments within DFT (40, 64). The embedded cluster and environment energies were obtained from modified one-electron KS Hamiltonians ($H^*$)

$$H = H^* + V_{\text{emb}}$$

Embedded cluster DFT, CASSCF, and NEVPT2 calculations

Once the optimized $V_{\text{emb}}$ was derived (see fig. S4B), embedded cluster DFT ($E_{\text{DFT}}^{\text{cl}}$) and correlated wave function (CW) ($E_{\text{CASSCF}}^{\text{cl}}$) calculations, for different adsorbate configurations $i$, were calculated using Molpro version 2012.1 (65, 66). The molecular geometries were obtained from the MEP from the previous DFT slab NEB calculations. The internal coordinates of Mo and N₂ were maintained along the reaction coordinate and placed/transplanted onto the fixed Au₉Mo cluster.

All energies and calculated electronic properties reported for the embedded cluster were obtained using Gaussian-type orbital (GTO) basis sets, namely, Dunning’s augmented correlation-consistent polarized valence triple-$\zeta$ (aug-cc-pVTZ) for N (67) and Stuttgart/Cologne
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The oscillator strengths of the electronic transitions (Fig. 3) were
The oscillator strengths of the electronic transitions (Fig. 3) were calculated using the equation

\[ E_{emb,i} = E_{DFT,i}^{lab} + (E_{cW,i}^{vd} - E_{DFT,i}^{vd}) \]

where \( E_{DFT,i}^{lab} \) is the energy of the full system from periodic PW-DFT (fully removing the empirical vdW correction to the energy) obtained from the CI-NEB calculations. Because of the multiconfigurational nature of metals and bond-breaking processes, here, the CW method we chose is the partially contracted NEVPT2 (44), using CAS(12e,12o) SS-CASSCF or seven-state SA-CASSCF to generate the reference wave functions (45, 46). All orbitals are optimized in the CASSCF calculations. The NEVPT2 procedure is essential to include (primarily dynamic) correlation originating from orbitals outside the CAS. The CAS(12e,12o) is composed of the (6e,6o) derived from molecular N2 (namely, the 5s, the doubly degenerate 1\( \pi \) and 2\( \pi^* \), and the 6s**) and the other (6e,6o) from the valence and low-lying virtual (unoccupied) orbitals of the Au(5d)Mo cluster, derived from Mo 5d and Au 6s (Fig. 2 and fig. S7). In NEVPT2, the occupied N 2s and 2p; Mo 4p, 5s, and 4d; and Au 6s and 5d were explicitly correlated, whereas no additional correlation was included from the core N 1s, semicore Au 5s and 5p, and Mo 4s. Convergence of the energies with respect to the active space size is discussed in Supplementary Text, including fig. S6 and table S5.

The residual charges in N2 along the RC (fig. S8) were calculated using the Bader charge partitioning scheme (72). The electron densities of the embedded cluster + N2 were represented on a 180 × 180 × 320 real-space Cartesian grid, with uniform step size of 0.1 bohr, enough to contain the molecule.

The oscillator strengths of the electronic transitions (Fig. 3) were calculated using the equation

\[ f = \frac{2}{3} \Delta E \left( |\mu_x|^2 + |\mu_y|^2 + |\mu_z|^2 \right) \]

where \( \Delta E \) is the excitation energy (calculated from emb-NEVPT2 in atomic units) and \( \mu \) are the transition dipole moments of the excitation (from the reference emb-SA-CASSCF, also in atomic units) calculated with Molpro (48).
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