Combining monolayers of different two-dimensional semiconductors into heterostructures creates new phenomena and device possibilities. Understanding and exploiting these phenomena hinge on knowing the electronic structure and the properties of interlayer excitations. We determine the key unknown parameters in MoSe2/WSe2 heterobilayers by using rational device design and submicrometer angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (μ-ARPES) in combination with photoluminescence. We find that the bands in the K-point valleys are weakly hybridized, with a valence band offset of 300 meV, implying type II band alignment. We deduce that the binding energy of interlayer excitons is more than 200 meV, an order of magnitude higher than that in analogous GaAs structures. Hybridization strongly modifies the bands at K, but the valence band edge remains at the K points. We also find that the spectrum of a rotationally aligned heterobilayer reflects a mixture of commensurate and incommensurate domains. These results directly answer many outstanding questions about the electronic nature of MoSe2/WSe2 heterobilayers and demonstrate a practical approach for high spectral resolution in ARPES of device-scale structures.

INTRODUCTION

A variety of van der Waals heterostructures have recently attracted attention, including graphene/hBN (hexagonal boron nitride) for its unusual electronic structure (1), graphene/TMD (transition metal dichalcogenide) (2) and TMD/TMD for efficient photocurrent generation (3–5), and graphene/hBN/TMD for light-emitting diodes (6). In semiconducting TMD heterobilayers, ultrafast charge transfer (7) and formation of interlayer excitons with the electron and hole in opposite layers (8) have been observed. Such heterobilayers are also predicted to host rich valley physics (9), and, promisingly, valley polarization of the interlayer excitons has been seen (10) in aligned (small twist angle) heterobilayers of WSe2 and MoSe2, two isostructural semiconductors that are closely lattice-matched (11).

Although optical and transport studies have made rapid progress, there are still many open questions that cannot be addressed by these techniques alone, including the following: Does a semiconductor heterobilayer have a direct bandgap at the K points? To what extent do the orbitals hybridize? Can one regard the bands at K as simply being those from isolated monolayers? What are the band offsets that govern the nature and binding energy of interlayer excitons? Are the layers in the heterobilayer commensurate? Angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) has the potential to answer these questions. It has been used extensively to determine two-dimensional (2D) band structures in large-area van der Waals structures (12–18). However, 2D semiconductor heterostructures are currently limited to a few micrometers in size, necessitating the use of μ-ARPES techniques (19, 20).

Here, by introducing a sample design that affords an order of magnitude higher spectral resolution in μ-ARPES (<50 meV) than in previous studies, we have been able to answer all of the above questions for the canonical MoSe2/WSe2 system.

RESULTS

Approach and sample design

To illustrate our approach and demonstrate its effectiveness, we first studied the effect of hybridization between monolayers of WSe2. The optical image (Fig. 1A) shows an exfoliated WSe2 flake that naturally has monolayer (1L), bilayer (2L), and multilayer (bulk) regions; their boundaries are indicated by red dashed lines. Figure 1B is a schematic cross section. The flake is partly capped by a graphene monolayer (G), outlined by a black dashed line, which is essential for the sample to be annealed at 400°C in high vacuum to remove surface contamination without degrading the TMD beneath it. It rests on a thin graphite flake exfoliated directly onto a p-doped silicon chip that serves as an atomically flat conducting substrate (fig. S1). Contamination that is trapped between the layers during transfer collects in blisters, which consoli date upon annealing, leaving the remainder of the interfaces atomically clean (21). The sample is located by scanning photoemission microscopy (SPM) using an approximately 1-μm beam spot at 74 eV photon energy (see Materials and Methods).

Figure 1C shows momentum-integrated spectra taken at points in each region of the WSe2 flake. The highest intensity peak shifts downward monotonically in energy as the number of layers increases. A SPM map of the peak energy versus location (Fig. 1D) therefore shows contrast between the 1L, 2L, and bulk regions. All spectra were highly consistent within each region, with no spatial variations that would signal fixed charges from contamination or in the substrate, and no drift due to charging resulting from photoemission was detected. From momentum-resolved energy slices, we could determine the orientation of the WSe2 flake, graphene cap, and graphite support (fig. S2). Figure 1E shows a momentum slice through the graphene K point in the 1L region. The Dirac point energy E_D coincides with the Fermi level E_F (red dotted line) to within the measurement accuracy of <50 meV, implying minimal charge transfer between WSe2 and graphene or doping of other origin. This, in turn, implies that there is no significant density
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of defect states in the gap of the WSe$_2$. Figure 1F shows the second derivative of a momentum slice along $\Gamma$–K(WSe$_2$) in the 1L region. The valence band of the capping graphene is marked by a white dotted curve. It hybridizes with the WSe$_2$ bands, producing avoided crossings (white arrows) similar to those seen in graphene on MoS$_2$ (18). These features are $>3$ eV below $E_F$, and the important WSe$_2$ bands nearer $E_F$ (22) are not affected.

Figure 1G presents $\Gamma$–K slices showing the important features within 4 eV of the Fermi level for the 1L, 2L, and bulk WSe$_2$ regions, along with their second derivatives. All features of the upper bands are well resolved. The spectra are consistent with expectations based on the literature (23), and density functional theory (DFT, overlaid red dashed lines) reproduces the upper valence band well, with no adjustable parameters other than an energy offset chosen to match the uppermost measured band at $\Gamma$. The bands near K are almost unchanged from monolayer to bulk (22, 24) because of their in-plane orbital character (W $5d_{xy}$ and $5d_{x^2-y^2}$), and in the monolayer (23, 25), the valence band edge is at K. On the other hand, there are strong hybridization effects on the bands near $\Gamma$ because of their out-of-plane orbital character (Se 4$p_z$ and W $5d_z^2$). In the bilayer and the bulk, the valence band splits at $\Gamma$ with a higher-mass band 0.25 eV below that in the monolayer and a lower-mass band that is 0.50 eV higher. In the bilayer, the valence band edge is still at K, whereas in the bulk, it moves to $\Gamma$.

**MoSe$_2$/WSe$_2$ heterostructures**

We now turn to the central object of our study, semiconductor heterobilayers. Figure 2A is an optical image of a sample with a MoSe$_2$ monolayer (green dashed line) partially overlapping a WSe$_2$ monolayer (red dashed line), forming a heterobilayer region (H) (blue dashed line). The monolayers were aligned during transfer by identifying the crystal axes using polarization-resolved second-harmonic generation (fig. S3) (26–28). As before, we included a protecting graphene

---

**Fig. 1.** Bands and hybridization in graphene-encapsulated WSe$_2$ measured by $\mu$-ARPES. (A) Optical image and (B) schematic cross section of an exfoliated WSe$_2$ flake with monolayer (1L), bilayer (2L), and bulk regions partially capped with monolayer graphene (G) and supported by a graphite flake on a doped silicon substrate. (C) Angle-integrated spectra from each region in (A). (D) Map of the energy of peak emission, showing contrast between 1L, 2L, and bulk regions. (E) Momentum slice through the graphene K point, showing that $E_F$ is at the Dirac point. (F) Momentum slice along $\Gamma$–K (WSe$_2$) in the 1L region. The intensity is twice-differentiated with respect to energy. Avoided crossings between the graphene valence band (white dotted line) and the monolayer WSe$_2$ bands are indicated by white arrows. (G) Momentum slice of unprocessed (top) and twice-differentiated ARPES (bottom) along $\Gamma$–K (WSe$_2$) in the 1L (left), 2L (middle), and bulk (right) regions. Below is the intensity twice-differentiated with respect to energy with overlaid DFT calculation (red dashed lines).
cap and a graphite support. Figure 2B shows angle-integrated photoemission spectra from one point in each region. The largest peak is ~200 meV lower in the MoSe2 monolayer than in the WSe2 monolayer, whereas in the H region, there are two peaks that are shifted relative to the monolayer peaks. As a result, a map of the energy where the intensity is highest versus position (Fig. 2C) shows contrast between monolayer and H regions. In constant-energy slices, the K points of the two monolayers coincide in momentum space (fig. S4), confirming a twist angle of less than 1° and consistent with lattice constants differing by <1%.

The variation in band structure across the heterojunction is seen in the Γ-K momentum slices in Fig. 2 (D to F) for 1L MoSe2, 1L WSe2, and the heterobilayer, respectively. The upper valence bands in the monolayer regions are again well matched by DFT (green and red dashed lines). The spin-orbit splitting at K is much smaller in the MoSe2 than in the WSe2, and the valence band edge is substantially lower. In the heterobilayer, the bands near K are very similar to the bands in the monolayers, implying weak interlayer hybridization near K, as was the case for the WSe2 homobilayer. On the other hand, the bands at Γ are substantially different from those in the monolayers, implying significant hybridization, again as in the WSe2 homobilayer. Nevertheless, the valence band edge remains at K. This is important for the electrical and optical properties.

Interestingly, we clearly see three bands within 0.5 Å⁻¹ of Γ, not just the two that would be expected from homogeneous hybridization of one band from each monolayer. We note, however, that the third band resembles the upper band in the WSe2 homobilayer (Fig. 1G), in which the layers are perfectly commensurate, having the bulk 2H stacking. We also recall that when monolayers with mismatched lattice constants are stacked, elastic energy considerations will ensure that any commensurate domains have a finite size. This has been demonstrated for graphene on hBN (29). For zero twist angle, the scale of the domains is $\delta a/a$, where $a$ is the lattice constant and $\delta a$ is the difference. Here, this scale is ~100 nm, which is less than the x-ray spot size. The spectrum of the heterobilayer could thus be interpreted as a superposition of spectra from a mixture of incommensurate domains in which hybridization is weak and commensurate domains in which hybridization is similar to that in the homobilayer.

In support of this interpretation, DFT simulations of the commensurate heterobilayer reproduce the uppermost band at Γ (blue lines) (Fig. 2F) and the slightly downward shifted lower band. Adding the hybridized bands of the isolated MoSe2 and WSe2 monolayers (green dashed lines) to the heterobilayer spectrum, however, results in enhanced intensity at Γ and lower intensity at K. Thus, the heterobilayer spectrum is a superposition of spectra from a mixture of incommensurate domains in which hybridization is weak and commensurate domains in which hybridization is similar to that in the homobilayer.

In support of this interpretation, DFT simulations of the commensurate heterobilayer reproduce the uppermost band at Γ (blue lines) (Fig. 2F) and the slightly downward shifted lower band. Adding the hybridized bands of the isolated MoSe2 and WSe2 monolayers (green dashed lines) to the heterobilayer spectrum, however, results in enhanced intensity at Γ and lower intensity at K. Thus, the heterobilayer spectrum is a superposition of spectra from a mixture of incommensurate domains in which hybridization is weak and commensurate domains in which hybridization is similar to that in the homobilayer.

Fig. 2. Bands in a 2D heterostructure. (A) Optical image showing monolayer MoSe2 and WSe2 sheets, which overlap, with the MoSe2 on top, in an aligned heterobilayer region (H). Their boundaries are indicated with color-coded dotted lines. (B) Angle-integrated spectra in each of the three regions. (C) Map of the energy where the intensity is highest versus position. (D to F) Momentum slices along Γ−K in the three regions, (top) unprocessed and (bottom) twice-differentiated, with cartoons of the structures above. The superposed dashed colored lines are DFT calculations for the MoSe2 monolayer (green), the WSe2 monolayer (red), and the commensurate heterobilayer (blue). The graphene valence band is indicated by a white dotted line. The white dashes in the lower panel of (F) indicate the valence band offset. The white dashed lines in the upper panels of (D) to (F) indicate the valence band offset in the isolated MoSe2 and WSe2 monolayers and hence the valence band offset in the aligned heterobilayer (H). (G) A momentum slice near Γ in another heterobilayer intentionally misaligned by about 30°. Here, only two bands are seen, indicating that the third band near Γ in the aligned heterobilayer (F) arises from incommensurate domains.
and red lines, respectively) reproduces the three apparent bands in H fairly closely. The remaining small discrepancy can be accounted for by shifts on the order of 100 meV in the incommensurate case, roughly independent of twist angle (30), as predicted by linear-scaling DFT (fig. S5) (31). Additionally, in an intentionally misaligned (by ∼30°) MoSe2/WSe2 heterobilayer, where no commensuration is expected, we saw only two bands near Γ, as illustrated in Fig. 2G and fig. S6. The band shifts in the twisted heterobilayer are well matched by DFT predictions for incommensurate layers (fig. S7). Furthermore, in a sample with an aligned bilayer of MoSe2 on a monolayer of WSe2, we observed four bands at Γ rather than three (fig. S8). The combined evidence that aligned heterobilayers are composed of mixtures of incommensurate and commensurate domains is therefore compelling.

The values of key parameters extracted from the μ-ARPES measurements are summarized in Fig. 3. They were consistent across multiple samples and showed no dependence on the orientation of the graphene cap or graphite substrate. The spin-orbit splitting ΔSO at K is 0.49 ± 0.03 eV in WSe2 and 0.24 ± 0.03 eV in MoSe2, in agreement with the literature (23), as are the effective masses of holes at Γ and K. In the WSe2 monolayer, we find EK − EF = 0.50 ± 0.03 eV, consistent with scanning tunneling spectroscopy results (32), and in the MoSe2 monolayer, we find EK − EF = 0.44 ± 0.03 eV. We also record here the valence band width D, which is useful for comparison with band structure calculations (23). As is well known, in both monolayer species, the valence band edge is at K, whereas in the bulk, it is at Γ. In the heterobilayer, we find that the valence band edge is also at K and is higher than the maximum at Γ by 0.14 ± 0.03 eV. We measured a valence band offset (VBO) between the WSe2 and MoSe2 monolayers of ΔVBO = 0.30 ± 0.03 eV. Because the bands at Γ in H (Fig. 2F) align well with those in the separate monolayers, we infer that this value is an intrinsic parameter of the heterojunction and that any charge transfer between the layers has negligible effect on the measurement.

Because we cannot probe the conduction band and the single-particle gaps have not been established incontrovertibly, we show the conduction band edges at K (red dashed line) and Q (blue dashed line) calculated using DFT. Although DFT underestimates these energies, the predictions of variations within the family of materials and across the Brillouin zone are more reliable (23, 24). The conduction band edge in H is predicted to remain at the K point, which, together with our measurements, implies that the band gap in H is direct.

**Interlayer exciton binding energy**

We can gain important insights into exciton binding by combining these results with optical measurements. Figure 4A shows a photoluminescence spectrum from an aligned WSe2/MoSe2 heterobilayer sample at room temperature. Below it is a plot of the peak positions for 13 similar samples. There are three peaks, whose origins are indicated schematically in Fig. 4B. XM and XW are the interlayer excitons formed by an electron and a hole in bands from the same layer, either MoSe2 or WSe2, respectively. Their energies hω(XM) and hω(XW) are almost coincident with the corresponding valley excitons in the isolated monolayers, consistent with the observation that the band-edge states near the K points barely hybridize and implying that the binding energy of interlayer excitons in one layer is insensitive to the presence of the other layer. The third peak is the interlayer exciton X1. The small (~2%) variation of hω(X1) between samples could be due to variations in substrate doping or twist angle.

According to Fig. 4B, the energy difference between the intralayer and interlayer excitons has two contributions: the difference in their binding energies δE = E(XM) − E(XW) and the valence band offset, such that hω(XM) = hω(XW) + δE. The uniformity of hω(XM) is consistent with ΔVBO being an invariant parameter of the heterojunction. Hence, by combining optical and ARPES measurements made at the same temperature, we can deduce the magnitude of δE = hω(X1) − hω(XM) = ΔVBO. Averaging over the samples, we get hω(XM) − hω(X1) = 0.22 ± 0.02 eV, at 300 K. At 105 K, it is slightly larger, by about 0.03 eV (see section S7). Then, using ΔVBO = 0.30 ± 0.03 eV from above gives δE = 0.05 ± 0.04 eV. That X1 is more weakly bound than XM is not surprising because the electron and hole in different layers are, on average, further apart. The reported values of δE for similar monolayers range from −0.3 to 0.7 eV (33–40), with a value of 0.55 eV for MoSe2 (33). We deduce that the interlayer binding energy E(X1) = E(XM) − δE is at least −0.2 eV. This is an order of magnitude larger than the binding energy of spatially indirect excitons in GaAs/AlGaAs double quantum wells.

**DISCUSSION**

The results described above establish the key electronic parameters of MoSe2/WSe2 heterobilayers. The hybridization effects at Γ provide the first evidence for commensurate domains in such heterostructures, suggesting the possibility of band engineering by layering similar to that discussed in the context of graphene on hBN. Confirmation of this explanation will, however, require further research, such as higher-resolution ARPES measurements showing the absence of hybridization of bands from the spatially separated domains. The observations that the valence band edge remains at the K point and that the band alignment is type II are both significant for electronic and optoelectronic applications.
Electron doping is required to probe the conduction band of insulators by ARPES. Our samples are undoped, but the sample design offers the possibility of gate doping in situ in the ARPES chamber. In cases where the bands of the graphene cap may obscure features near the Fermi energy, the graphene can be replaced with monolayer hBN, which is equally effective but harder to work with.

It is clear that the technique of μ-ARPES combined with careful sample design provides invaluable information for realizing the potential of 2D semiconductor heterostructures. It will enable the local electronic structure and chemical potential to be determined in all types of other 2D materials and devices.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Samples were fabricated by exfoliation and dry transfer, as detailed in figs. S1 and S2. μ-ARPES was performed at the Spectromicroscopy beamline of the Elettra light source, with linearly polarized radiation focused to an approximately 0.6-μm-diameter spot by a Schwarzschild objective (fig. S1) and incident at 45° with respect to the sample. The energy and momentum resolution of the hemispherical electron analyzer were ~50 meV and ~0.03 Å, respectively. SPEM maps were acquired over the energy range of the fixed detector (~3.5 eV at the pass energy used), integrating over its angular range of ~15° (at 70 eV, this is ~1.1 Å⁻¹). Samples were annealed at up to 700 K for a total of 1 to 2 hours in ultrahigh vacuum before measurement to remove adsorbates that accumulated during exposure to the atmosphere. In some cases, repeating this anneal modestly improved the resolution. The sample temperature during measurements was 110 K.

Photoluminescence measurements were performed using ~30 μW of 532- or 632.8-nm continuous-wave laser excitation in reflection geometry, with the signal collected by a spectrometer and a Si charge-coupled device. Measurements described above were performed in an ambient environment, and additional low-temperature measurements (fig. S9) were completed in vacuum in a closed-cycle cryostat.

The Quantum ESPRESSO plane-wave DFT package (42) was used for calculating individual materials and aligned heterostructures (Figs. 1 to 3), including the spin-orbit interaction (43). For simulations involving twisted heterostructures, the ONEWETEP linear-scaling DFT code (31) was used. Further details are given in sections S3 and S7.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/3/2/e1601832/DC1
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