High performance of a cobalt–nitrogen complex for the reduction and reductive coupling of nitro compounds into amines and their derivatives
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Replacement of precious noble metal catalysts with low-cost, non-noble heterogeneous catalysts for chemoselective reduction and reductive coupling of nitro compounds holds tremendous promise for the clean synthesis of nitrogen-containing chemicals. We report a robust cobalt–nitrogen/carbon (Co–N/C-800-AT) catalyst for the reduction and reductive coupling of nitro compounds into amines and their derivatives. The Co–N/C-800-AT catalyst was prepared by the pyrolysis of cobalt phthalocyanine–silica colloid composites and the subsequent removal of silica template and cobalt nanoparticles. The Co–N/C-800-AT catalyst showed extremely high activity, chemoselectivity, and stability toward the reduction of nitro compounds with H₂, affording full conversion and >97% selectivity in base and ligands.

These processes were carried out in an environmentally friendly manner without base and ligands.

INTRODUCTION

Selective reduction of nitro compounds into amines is one of the most important chemical reactions in synthetic organic chemistry (1, 2). Amines with an annual production of more than 4 million metric tons (3) have been widely used for the production of bulk and fine chemicals, such as dyes, agrochemicals, pharmaceuticals, pesticides, and polymers (4). In the past, a noncatalytic process was used for the reduction of nitro compounds using stoichiometric reducing agents (5), an approach that demonstrated some drawbacks, such as the release of wastes and the high cost of reducing agents. Therefore, considerable attention has shifted to the catalytic reduction of nitro compounds into amines because of the compatibility of environmentally friendly catalytic methods with industrial processes (6, 7).

The catalytic reduction of nitro compounds with hydrogen or hydrogen donors has been mainly performed over noble metal catalysts (8, 9). Unfortunately, most catalysts do not meet the dual requirements of activity and selectivity (10). On the one hand, catalysts with a high selectivity require a high reaction temperature or a high H₂ pressure because of their low intrinsic activity. On the other hand, catalysts with high intrinsic activity suffer from poor chemoselectivity. Thus, modification of metal catalysts with well-chosen additives, such as Pt/C-H₃PO₄-VO(acac)₂ and Pt-Pb/CaCO₃-FeCl₂-nBu₄NCl, has been used to improve the chemoselectivity at the expense of the activity (10). In recent years, some new kinds of noble metal catalysts, such as Au and Pt with specific structures, were observed to be active for the chemoselective hydrogenation of nitroarenes with H₂ (11–13). For example, Wei and co-workers (13) reported that FeOₓ-supported Pt catalysts (Pt/FeOₓ) with activity associated with a single atom or a pseudo–single atom showed high activity and chemoselectivity toward the hydrogenation of nitroarenes at 40°C and under a H₂ pressure of 3 bar in toluene. Besides H₂, some hydrogen donors, such as hydrazine, sodium borohydride, alcohols, and formic acid, were also used for the catalytic transfer hydrogenation (CTH) of nitro compounds (14). A CTH process does not need high pressurized H₂ and elaborate experimental setups, and most reactions were performed over noble metal catalysts with difficult-to-obtain and environmentally unfriendly ligands or base as additives (15, 16).

Despite notable achievements over noble metal catalysts in recent years, there remains significant room for the design of inexpensive and active non–noble metal catalysts for the chemoselective reduction of nitro compounds. In recent years, carbon-supported cobalt or iron oxides from the pyrolysis of metal-phenanthroline complexes were used for the chemoselective hydrogenation of nitroarenes (17, 18) but required relatively demanding conditions, such as long reaction times (12 to 24 hours) and high H₂ pressures (50 bar) in a mixed solvent consisting of water and tetrahydrofuran. Therefore, there is still a great need to develop highly efficient and environmentally benign methods to selectively transform nitro compounds into amines using either H₂ or hydrogen donors. It is also highly desirable to perform the reductive coupling of nitro compounds into other nitrogen-containing derivatives, which avoids the isolation and purification of intermediates.

Recently, catalysts based on nitrogen-doped carbon materials have received worldwide attention, because the incorporation of nitrogen atoms can enhance their chemical, electrical, and functional properties (19, 20). This kind of catalyst can be easily prepared by a one-pot annealing process using suitable precursors containing nitrogen, carbon, and transition metals. Here, cobalt phthalocyanine (fig. S1) was selected as the precursor to prepare mesoporous nitrogen-doped carbon-based cobalt catalysts for the reduction of nitro compounds. The cobalt–nitrogen (Co–Nₓ) complex was identified to be the active site, which was generated by the acid washing of the Co nanoparticles and silica after pyrolysis of the cobalt phthalocyanine/silica composite. The Co–Nₓ/C-800-AT catalyst was active for the chemoselective reduction of nitro compounds with H₂ or other hydrogen donors under mild conditions.
In addition, it was also effective for the one-pot synthesis of imines, N-phenylformamide, and benzimidazole from nitro compounds.

**RESULTS**

**Catalyst preparation**

The catalyst preparation procedure is schematically illustrated in Fig. 1. Silica was used as the hard template to enlarge the surface area and porosity of the catalysts. Typically, Co/N-C-SiO$_2$-800 was generated by the pyrolysis of the cobalt phthalocyanine/silica composite at 800°C under a N$_2$ atmosphere. Then, Co nanoparticles and silica were simultaneously removed with 10 weight % (wt %) HF, and the resulting catalyst was denoted as Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT. For comparison, the Co/N-C-SiO$_2$-800 powder was soaked with NaOH to remove silica to give a catalyst abbreviated as Co/N-C-800-BT.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of the cobalt phthalocyanine/silica composite was performed under a N$_2$ atmosphere (fig. S2). The weight loss before 200°C was attributed to the loss of the physically absorbed water. According to previous work on the TGA behavior of the cobalt phthalocyanine (21, 22), the weight loss between 200°C and 440°C was due to the initial release of axially coordinated molecules with the Co$^{2+}$ center, such as water, followed by the release of nitro groups and the loss of phenyl groups. During this step, the polymerization of the phthalocyanine unit was completed. The decomposition of the cobalt phthalocyanine–like polymer was responsible for the weight loss between 430°C and 630°C. During this step, some of the fragments might form a Co–N$_x$ moiety or a Co–N$_2$ moiety, and some metallic Co at the subnanometer scale might also form (22, 23). At a pyrolysis temperature higher than 650°C, the weight loss was sharper, indicating that Co–N bonds were cleaved seriously, and cobalt nanoparticles were formed, as evidenced from transmission electron microscope (TEM) images. When the pyrolysis temperature was beyond 820°C, the weight loss was further enhanced, likely due to the further breakage of the carbon framework at high reaction temperatures. From the TGA, four representative pyrolysis temperatures (400°C, 600°C, 800°C, and 900°C) were adopted for preparation of the catalysts.

Solid ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectra were used to probe the structural changes of the cobalt phthalocyanine after pyrolysis (fig. S3). The peak intensity of the sample after pyrolysis at 200°C was almost the same as that of the initial cobalt phthalocyanine/silica composite, suggesting that cobalt phthalocyanine was stable at 200°C. At 400°C, the intensity of absorption peak at 650 nm became much weaker, suggesting that some Co–N$_x$ moieties of the phthalocyanine ring decomposed to other fragments, such as Co–N$_2$ moieties. A few Co–N$_x$ moieties still presented at 600°C. The characteristic peak of the Co–N$_4$ moiety disappeared at 800°C, suggesting the complete decomposition of Co–N$_4$ moieties.

Figure S4 shows the TEM images of Co/N-C-SiO$_2$-X samples. There were no Co nanoparticles in the TEM images of Co/N-C-SiO$_2$-400 and Co/N-C-SiO$_2$-600. However, Co nanoparticles were clearly observed in the TEM images of Co/N-C-SiO$_2$-800 and Co/N-C-SiO$_2$-900. The average size of the Co nanoparticles was 17.6 nm (200 particles measured in 20 TEM images) for Co/N-C-SiO$_2$-800 and 28.1 nm for Co/N-C-SiO$_2$-900 (fig. S5), suggesting that a higher pyrolysis temperature resulted in the growth of the Co nanoparticles. As shown in Fig. 2 (A and B), no Co nanoparticles were observed in the TEM images of the Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT and Co–N$_2$/C-900-AT, and pores were clearly observed, suggesting that Co nanoparticles and silica were successfully washed off by 10 wt % HF. The enlarged TEM images (scale, 20 nm) (fig. S6) also revealed that no Co nanoparticles were present in the Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT and Co–N$_2$/C-900-AT catalysts, further confirming that Co nanoparticles were fully washed off by 10 wt % HF. Nitrogen adsorption isotherms of Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT displayed a type IV curve and an H$_1$-type hysteresis loop, characteristic of a mesoporous structure. The average pore size was determined to be 3.6 nm, according to the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda method. The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area and pore volume were determined to be 530 m$^2$/g and 0.52 cm$^3$/g, respectively. Co nanoparticles were observed in Co/N-C-SiO$_2$-800 (Fig. 2C) and Co/N-C-800-BT (Fig. 2D). In addition, the porous structure was also observed in Fig. 2D, suggesting that NaOH can only wash off silica from Co/N-C-SiO$_2$-800. Table S1 summarizes the cobalt weight % of the samples. The weight % of cobalt in...
Co/N-C-800-BT was 12.3%, whereas it was only 0.25% in the Co–N₄/C-800-AT catalyst. This means that about 98 wt % cobalt was presented in the form of metallic Co nanoparticles, which can be washed off by 10 wt % HF. The state of Co in the Co–N₄/C-800-AT catalyst was present in the form of Co–N₄, as will be discussed later. Other researchers have also prepared other kinds of Co–Nₓ/C catalysts for electrochemical reactions (24, 25). The method they used for the preparation of Co–Nₓ/C catalysts was similar to ours (as shown in Fig. 1), using different kinds of precursors, and the weight % of Co in the Co–Nₓ/C catalyst was low (0.14%) after washing off the Co nanoparticles (24, 25).

X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns of the samples were also measured. No XRD peaks of Co nanoparticles were observed in Co/N-C-SiO₂-400 and Co/N-C-SiO₂-600 (fig. S7), which is consistent with the TEM results. XRD patterns of Co/N-C-SiO₂-800 (Fig. 3) and Co/N-C-SiO₂-900 (fig. S6) showed three peaks at 2θ = 44.2°, 51.5°, and 75.9°, corresponding to the (111), (200), and (220) planes of metallic Co nanoparticles [Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards (JCPDS) no. 15-0806], respectively (24). As shown in Fig. 3, XRD peaks of metallic Co nanoparticles were also observed in Co/N-C-800-BT, suggesting that Co nanoparticles remained after the removal of the silica in Co/N-C-SiO₂-800 by NaOH. However, metallic Co peaks and the silica peak at 2θ around 22° disappeared in Co–N₄/C-800-AT and Co–N₄/C-900-AT (Fig. 3), revealing that the treatment of Co/N-C-SiO₂-800 and Co/N-C-SiO₂-900 by HF could simultaneously wash off both Co nanoparticles and silica. It is worth noting that a new and weak peak at 2θ = 26.4° (JCPDS no. 01-0646) emerged in the XRD patterns of Co–N₄/C-800-AT during storage in the air (24, 25), which indicated that the crystallographic defects in the graphitic carbon increased after the removal of silica. The intensity ratio of I_D/I_G in Co–N₄/C-800-AT was higher than that in Co–N₄/C-900-AT, suggesting that there were fewer defects in Co–N₄/C-900-AT. Less nitrogen, which can cause the distortion of the sp² carbon lattice of graphene, was present in Co–N₄/C-900-AT (table S1) (24).

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) experiments were performed to probe the valence state of Co in the three representative catalysts at 800°C (Fig. 5). The Co 2p3/2 peaks with binding energies (BEs) of 780.2 and 780.7 eV were observed for Co/N-C-SiO₂-800 and Co/N-C-800-BT, respectively. The BE of the Co 2p3/2 peak around 780 eV can be assigned to cobalt oxides (29), which was due to the surface oxidation of metallic Co in Co/N-C-SiO₂-800 and Co/N-C-800-BT during storage in the air (29). The Co 2p peak intensity of Co/N-C-800-BT was much stronger than that of Co/N-C-SiO₂-800 because of the removal of silica. However, the Co 2p peak of Co–N₄/C-800-AT was too weak to be visible because of the removal of Co nanoparticles by HF. The higher resolution of the Co 2p spectra of Co–N₄/C-800-AT and Co–N₄/C-900-AT (fig. S8) showed that Co 2p₃/₂ peaks with a BE of around 781.2 eV were observed, characteristic of Co–N₄ (30, 31). Figure S9 shows the high-resolution C 1s XPS spectra of Co/N-C-800-AT and Co–N₄/C-800-AT, which were deconvoluted into four peaks: 284.5 eV (C–C or C=C), 285.1 eV (C–N),

![Fig. 2. TEM images of the samples. (A) Co–N₄/C-800-AT, (B) Co–N₄/C-900-AT, (C) Co/N-C-SiO₂-800, and (D) Co/N-C-800-BT.](http://advances.sciencemag.org/)

![Fig. 3. XRD patterns of the samples. (A) Co/N-C-SiO₂-800, (B) Co/N-C-800-BT, (C) Co–N₄/C-800-AT, and (D) Co–N₄/C-900-AT. a.u., arbitrary units.](http://advances.sciencemag.org/)
Catalyst screening

In a preliminary study, screening of catalysts for the hydrogenation of nitrobenzene with $\text{H}_2$ was studied. As presented in Table 1, the pyrolysis temperature showed a great effect on the catalytic activity of the $\text{Co–N}_x$/C-T-AT catalysts. Co–N$_x$/C-400-AT gave no conversion, and the conversion was only 9% over the Co–N$_x$/C-600-AT catalyst (Table 1, entry 1), suggesting that Co–N$_4$ and Co–N$_2$ moieties in Co–N$_x$/C-400-AT and Co–N$_x$/C-600-AT were not active for nitrobenzene hydrogenation. This was also confirmed by the use of cobalt phthalocyanine (Co–N$_4$ moiety), which gave no conversion (Table 1, entry 2). Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT exhibited the highest catalytic activity with respect to 100% conversion, whereas Co–N$_x$/C-900-AT produced a lower conversion of 70.8% under identical conditions (Table 1, entry 3 versus entry 4). It is worth noting that aniline selectivity was >99% for all cases. As a control experiment, no conversion was observed over the metal-free N-C-800-AT catalyst (Table 1, entry 5), suggesting that Co was the active site for nitrobenzene hydrogenation. A Co–N$_x$ species should be the active site for nitrobenzene hydrogenation from the results in entries 1 to 4. The lower nitrobenzene conversion over Co–N$_x$/C-900-AT as compared with Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT was due to the lower content of Co–N$_x$ when the same amount of catalyst was used (table S1). This means that Co–N$_x$ in the Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT was not stable, and it could decompose at a pyrolysis temperature of more than 800°C. A similar phenomenon was also reported by Zhang and co-workers (19). They also prepared a Co-N-C catalyst for the aerobic oxidative cross-coupling of primary and secondary alcohols to directly produce $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated ketones. They observed that the Co-N-C catalyst at a pyrolysis temperature of 800°C produced $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated ketone with a yield of 71%, whereas the yield decreased to 27% over the same amount of the Co-N-C catalyst at a pyrolysis temperature of 880°C. In addition, Co/N-C-800-AT was also used for this reaction. Co/N-C-800-AT produced a similar nitrobenzene conversion to Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT, but the Co weight content in Co/N-C-800-AT was 48 times higher than that in Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT (Table 1, entry 6 versus entry 7). These results once again revealed that the Co–N$_x$ complex was highly active for the hydrogenation of nitrobenzene, showing much higher activity than Co nanoparticles in the Co/N-C-800-AT catalyst.

Hydrogenation of nitrobenzene with $\text{H}_2$ under various conditions

Nitrobenzene hydrogenation was then performed under different conditions over the Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT catalyst. High conversion (91 to 100%) and high aniline selectivity (>99%) were produced in all tested solvents after 1.5 hours at 110°C and under a $\text{H}_2$ pressure of 3.5 bar (Table 2, entries 1 to 7). Ethanol and water are highly desirable solvents because they are cheap, nontoxic, and environmentally benign. The Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT catalyst even showed high activity at 110°C and under a $\text{H}_2$ pressure of 1 bar, affording 100% conversion and >99% high selectivity after 6 hours (Table 2, entry 8). The high catalytic activity of Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT inspired us to perform the reaction even under milder conditions (40°C and a $\text{H}_2$ pressure of 1 bar). A high conversion of 98.7% and a selectivity of >99% were still obtained within 18 hours (Table 2, entry 9). Although some reports have claimed that the reduction of nitrobenzene could be performed even at room temperature with NaBH$_4$ as the reducing agent (33), no other heterogeneous non-noble metal catalysts have been reported to be highly active toward the hydrogenation of nitrobenzene at a low $\text{H}_2$ pressure of 1 bar and a low temperature of 40°C. Note that the mild reaction conditions (40°C and atmospheric $\text{H}_2$ pressure) enable the use of common glass reactors, demonstrating a promising potential in industrial applications from an economical, environmental, and safety viewpoint. For comparison, the commercially available 5 wt % Pd/C catalyst was also used for the hydrogenation of nitrobenzene at 40°C and under a $\text{H}_2$ pressure of 1 bar. The 5 wt % Pd/C catalysts showed lower catalytic activity with a nitrobenzene conversion of 68% with the same mole of Pd to the Co in 40 mg of Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT (Table 2, entry 10 versus entry 9). These results once again revealed that the Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT catalyst was highly active toward the hydrogenation of nitro compounds.

Mechanism and kinetic study

Two pathways are widely accepted for nitrobenzene hydrogenation (fig. S11) (34). Nitrobenzene-to-aniline conversion occurs via the

---

**Table 1. The results of nitrobenzene hydrogenation by $\text{H}_2$ over different catalysts.** The reaction conditions are as follows: nitrobenzene, 1 mmol; $\text{H}_2$O, 15 ml; temperature, 110°C; $\text{H}_2$ pressure, 3.5 bar; 1.5 hours.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Catalyst amount (mg)</th>
<th>Molar ratio of nitrobenzene to Co</th>
<th>Conversion (%)</th>
<th>Selectivity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Co–N$_x$/C-600-AT</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cobalt phthalocyanine</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Co–N$_x$/C-900-AT</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The reaction time was 0.5 hour.
hydroxyl amine intermediate (direct pathway; fig. S11, path A) or via the azoxybenzene intermediate (indirect pathway; fig. S11, path B). In our reaction, no intermediates were observed by gas chromatography (GC) at 110°C during the reaction process (fig. S12). Thus, we tried to observe the intermediates at a lower reaction temperature. A new and weak peak was observed in the GC spectrum at 60°C and under a H2 pressure of 50 bar (fig. S12), which was identified to be phenylhydroxylamine by comparison with the authentic phenylhydroxylamine and GC–mass spectrometry (MS). As shown in figs. S13 and S14, nitrobenzene conversion gradually increased with an increase in reaction temperature from 30°C to 60°C, whereas the molar percentage of phenylhydroxylamine decreased from 30°C to 40°C and then decreased from 40°C to 60°C. These results indicate that phenylhydroxylamine becomes less stable at higher temperatures. Hydrogenation of azobenzene (a stable intermediate in path B) over the Co–Nx/C-800-AT catalyst did not produce aniline under the reaction conditions for the hydrogenation of nitrobenzene; thus, it indicated that hydrogenation of nitrobenzene over the Co–Nx/C-800-AT catalyst did not proceed via a “condensation way” but instead followed the “direct way” mechanism with phenylhydroxylamine as the intermediate.

A kinetic study was performed at 30°C, 40°C, and 50°C under a H2 pressure of 50 bar. Under this pressure, the H2 concentration can be considered constant (35). Thus, nitrobenzene hydrogenation could be considered as a pseudo–first-order reaction. Figure S15 shows a plot of ln(C/C0) versus time at 30°C, 40°C, and 50°C. The reaction rate constant k was determined to be 0.0040, 0.0059, and 0.012 min⁻¹ for 30°C, 40°C, and 50°C, respectively. According to the Arrhenius plot, the activation energy of nitrobenzene hydrogenation with H2 over the Co–Nx/C-800-AT catalyst was calculated to be 44.8 kJ·mol⁻¹, which was much lower than for that over the noble metal Au/ZrO2 catalyst (67.2 kJ·mol⁻¹) (35).

**Substrate scope**

Besides the high activity of the Co–Nx/C-800-AT catalyst, the chemoselective reduction of nitro compounds is also of great importance. As shown in Table 3, the Co–Nx/C-800-AT catalyst showed high chemoselectivity toward the hydrogenation of substituted nitroarenes in the presence of other reducible groups (halogen, alkene, aldehyde, ketone, ester, and nitrile groups) (Table 3, entries 1 to 11). Moreover, the Co–Nx/C-800-AT catalyst also showed high activity toward nonactivated aliphatic and cyclic nitro compounds (Table 3, entries 12 and 13). In all cases, high conversions (92 to 100%) and high selectivities (≥97%) were produced.

**Transfer hydrogenation and reductively coupling of nitro compounds**

The excellent catalytic performance of Co–Nx/C-800-AT toward nitrobenzene hydrogenation with H2 inspired us to study the CTH of nitrobenzene with readily available hydrogen donors. CO and H2O can serve as a substitute for H2 via water gas shift reaction (CO + H2O↔H2 + CO2) (36). Although CO is cheaper than H2, there were a few examples on the reduction of nitro compounds with CO/H2O (37, 38). Excitingly, the Co–Nx/C-800-AT catalyst was active toward the reduction of nitrobenzene into aniline in H2O under a CO pressure of 5 bar, affording full conversion and a selectivity of >99% after 6 hours at 110°C (Table 4, entry 1).

The reason for the excellent transfer hydrogenation of nitrobenzene with CO in water was that the Co–Nx/C-800-AT catalyst can effectively promote the water gas shift reaction (CO + H2O↔H2 + CO2), and the produced H2 was used in situ for the hydrogenation of nitrobenzene. We also conducted a control experiment on the reduction of nitrobenzene with CO in toluene. Toluene was freshly distilled to remove any water. As expected, no conversion was observed in this case, confirming that the transfer hydrogenation of nitrobenzene with CO/H2O over the Co–Nx/C-800-AT occurred via the water gas shift reaction. The Co–Nx/C-800-AT catalyst even exhibited much higher activity than some noble metal catalysts (38). For example, homogeneous ruthenium bis(arylmino)acenaphthene (Ru/Ar-BIAN) with 2 eq triethylamine (Et3N) produced aniline with a similar yield of 98% under harsh conditions (150°C and a CO pressure of 50 bar; Table 4, entry 2) (38). The naturally abundant (R)-(+) -limonene was studied as a representative hydrogen donor of the hydrocarbon compounds for the CTH of nitrobenzene. The reaction produced excellent results (Table 4, entry 3). There have been no other reports on the use of (R)-(+) -limonene as a hydrogen donor for this reaction.

Alcohol, as one of the most common hydrogen donors, was also used for the CTH of nitrobenzene. We observed that the products were dependent on the type of alcohol. Aniline was produced in high yields (>95%) with secondary alcohols, such as isopropanol and 2-butanol, as the hydrogen donors after 12 hours at 180°C without any additives (Table 4, entries 4 and 5). Some other methods have also been reported for the CTH of nitrobenzene with isopropanol, but a base...
was generally required as additive (15, 39, 40). For example, 1 eq of KOH was required for the CTH of nitroarenes with isopropanol over cobalt(II)-substituted hexagonal mesoporous aluminophosphate molecular sieves (CoHMA) (Table 4, entry 6) (39). Obviously, our method is preferable because no additive was needed.

Imines were the main products via tandem reaction when primary alcohols were used as hydrogen donors (Table 4, entries 7 and 8). Synthesis of imines included the CTH of nitrobenzene to aniline and the subsequent condensation of aniline with the in situ formed aldehydes (fig. S16). However, the CTH of nitrobenzene with secondary alcohols could not generate the corresponding imines, possibly because of the steric hindrance of ketones from the dehydrogenation of secondary alcohols that block the subsequent condensation reaction. Imines are important chemicals with multiple applications, which are commonly synthesized from amines (41, 42). Very few examples of the synthesis of imines via the reductive coupling of nitro compounds with alcohols have been documented (43, 44). For example, Zanardi and co-workers (44) used a homogeneous Ir–Pd bimetallic catalyst with the 1,2,4-trimethyltriazolyldiylidene (ditz) ligand and Ce2(CO3) (1 eq) as the additive for the reductive coupling of nitrobenzene with benzyl alcohol, affording a 76% yield of N-benzylidenaniline after 20 hours at 110°C (Table 4, entry 9). Compared with the method by Zanardi et al. (44), our method is attractive, because a higher N-benzylidenaniline yield of 91.4% was produced over the non-noble metal catalyst without base or ligands.

One of the distinct advantages of the Co–N/C-800-AT catalyst is that it is stable under acidic conditions. Compared with other hydrogen donors, formic acid has received much more interest (45) because it is a renewable product produced from biomass. In previous work, the CTH of nitro compounds with formic acid was mainly performed over noble metal catalysts with base as the additive (46, 47). For example, Fe3O4/Au catalyzed the hydrogenation of nitrobenzene to yield 92% aniline in ethanol with 8 eq of HCOONH4 (Table 4, entry 10) (47). Apparently, the use of non-noble catalysts without base would be attractive. To date, there is only one example of a base-free reduction of nitrobenzene with formic acid over a non-noble metal catalyst (Table 4, entry 10) (48). The homogeneous catalyst Fe(BF4)2·6H2O, together with the tetraphos ligand tris[(2-diphenylphosphino)-ethyl]phosphine (PP3), afforded >99% nitrobenzene conversion and 95% aniline yield in ethanol with 4.5 eq of HCOOH (Table 4, entry 11). Although this method did not use base as additive, the use of the difficult-to-prepare and expensive ligands with 4 mol % homogeneous catalyst resulted in a system through which it is difficult to recycle the catalyst and to purify the product. To our delight, nitrobenzene was quantitatively converted into aniline in water with 3 eq of HCOOH over the Co–N/C-800-AT catalyst after 12 hours at 110°C in water or toluene without any additive (Table 4, entries 12 and 13). Furthermore, this reaction has high atom efficiency because a stoichiometric amount of formic acid (3 eq) was used. Note that no base was required in our method. According to the references, the electron-negative nitrogen atoms should act as a base to capture the H+ from formic acid to generate NH+. Then, it was activated by Co sites to generate the active Co-hydride, which was then used for the transfer hydrogenation of nitrobenzene (49). For example, Wang and co-workers (49) reported that the Pd/TiO2@CN catalyst for the transfer hydrogenation of vanillin with formic acid could produce >99.5% conversion of vanillin after 4 hours at 150°C. However, the Pd/TiO2 catalyst can only provide a very low conversion of 9.7% under the same conditions. These results also confirmed that the nitrogen atom acted as a base to promote the transfer hydrogenation with formic acid. Thus, both the nitrogen atoms and Co sites in the Co–N/C-800-AT were important in the transfer hydrogenation of nitrobenzene with formic acid as the hydrogen donor. Further increasing the amount of formic acid to 12 eq, N-phenylformamide was produced in a high yield of 96.7% via two consecutive steps: the CTH of nitrobenzene to aniline and the subsequent condensation of aniline with formic acid (fig. S17 and Table 4, entry 14). The high selectivity of N-phenylformamide is due to the fact that the carbonyl group was inert. The high efficiency of Co–N/C-800-AT toward the transformation of nitrobenzene into N-phenylformamide inspired us to use o-dinitrobenzene as the starting material to synthesize benzimidazole, which involves the reduction of one nitro group into one formamide group and the reduction of one nitro group into

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>H2 pressure (bar)</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Time (hours)</th>
<th>Conversion (%)</th>
<th>Selectivity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>H2O</td>
<td>Co–N/C-800-AT</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>Co–N/C-800-AT</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CH3CN</td>
<td>Co–N/C-800-AT</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>Co–N/C-800-AT</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Isopropanol</td>
<td>Co–N/C-800-AT</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>Co–N/C-800-AT</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>Co–N/C-800-AT</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8*</td>
<td>H2O</td>
<td>Co–N/C-800-AT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9*</td>
<td>H2O</td>
<td>Co–N/C-800-AT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>98.7</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10†</td>
<td>H2O</td>
<td>Pd/C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Nitrobenzene (0.5 mmol) was used. †The same molar of Pd to Co in 40 mg of Co–N/C-800-AT.
one amino group, as well as the final condensation of the amino group with the formamide group (fig. S18). Benzimidazole was produced in a high yield of 90.4% (Table 4, entry 15).

**Stability of the Co–Nₓ/C-800-AT catalysts**

Finally, the stability of Co–Nₓ/C-800-AT was investigated. Hydrogenation of nitrobenzene by H₂ was used as the model reaction. After the reaction, the Co–Nₓ/C-800-AT catalyst was washed with ethanol and water, respectively. To avoid catalyst weight loss, we recovered the Co–Nₓ/C-800-AT catalyst by centrifugation. The moist catalyst was directly used for the next run under identical conditions. The reactivity was fully restored for up to eight runs (table S2). The reaction solution was analyzed by inductively coupled plasma (ICP)–atomic emission spectroscopy, and the Co content was below the limit. All these results suggested that Co–Nₓ/C-800-AT was highly stable.

**DISCUSSION**

In summary, a highly efficient method has been developed for the reduction and reductive coupling of nitro compounds into amines and their derivatives over a non-noble Co–Nₓ/C-800-AT catalyst. The Co–Nₓ/C-800-AT catalyst was generated by a practical and scalable two-step method via the pyrolysis of a cobalt phthalocyanine/silica composite and the subsequent leaching of Co nanoparticles and silica by 10 wt % HF. As revealed by different physical characterization techniques, the pyrolysis temperature markedly affected the structure of the catalyst.

### Table 3. Chemoselective hydrogenation of different nitro compounds.

The reaction conditions are as follows: substrate, 1 mmol; Co–Nₓ/C-800-AT catalyst, 40 mg; H₂O, 15 ml; H₂ pressure, 3.5 bar; 1.5 hours.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Conversion (%)</th>
<th>Selectivity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MeO-</td>
<td>MeO-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cl-</td>
<td>Cl-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F-</td>
<td>F-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>OHC-</td>
<td>OHC-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. The results of transfer hydrogenation and reductive coupling of nitrobenzene. Reaction was performed under a N$_2$ pressure of 20 bar except entry 1. In all cases, nitrobenzene (1 mmol) and the Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT (40 mg) catalyst were used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Hydrogen donors</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Additive</th>
<th>Temperature ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>Time (hours)</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Conversion (%)</th>
<th>Selectivity (%)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CO/H$_2$O (5 bars)</td>
<td>Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{NH}_2$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CO/H$_2$O (50 bars)</td>
<td>Ru/Ar-BIAN</td>
<td>Et$_2$N</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{NH}_2$</td>
<td>Yield (91%)</td>
<td>(36)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(R)-(+) Limonene</td>
<td>Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{NH}_2$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2-Butanol</td>
<td>Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{NH}_2$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Isopropanol</td>
<td>Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{NH}_2$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Isopropanol</td>
<td>CoHMA</td>
<td>1 eq of KOH</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{NH}_2$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>(39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>n-BuOH</td>
<td>Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>$\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{N}^{\text{Ph}}$</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Benzyl alcohol</td>
<td>Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{N}^{\text{Ph}}$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Benzyl alcohol</td>
<td>Homogeneous Ir-Pd catalyst</td>
<td>Ditz ligand</td>
<td>1 eq of Ce$_2$CO$_3$</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{N}^{\text{Ph}}$</td>
<td>Yield (76%)</td>
<td>(44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>HCOONH$_2$ (8 eq)</td>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$/Au</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{NH}_2$</td>
<td>Yield (94%)</td>
<td>(47)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>HCOOH (4.5 eq)</td>
<td>Fe(BF$_4$)$_2$</td>
<td>PP$_3$</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{NH}_2$</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>(48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12$^*$</td>
<td>HCOOH (3 eq)</td>
<td>Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{NH}_2$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13$^*$</td>
<td>HCOOH (3 eq)</td>
<td>Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{NH}_2$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14$^*$</td>
<td>HCOOH (12 eq)</td>
<td>Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{NH}_2$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15$^{*\dagger}$</td>
<td>HCOOH (15 eq)</td>
<td>Co–N$_x$/C-800-AT</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{NH}_2$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^*$ H$_2$O was used as the solvent. $^*$ Toluene was used as the solvent. $^\dagger$ o-Dinitrobenzene (1 mmol) was used as the substrate.
Co–N/C-X-AT catalysts, and a Co–N complex was identified to be an active site in Co–N/C-800-AT and Co–N/C-900-AT. Co–N/C-800-AT exhibited an extremely high catalytic activity toward the reduction of nitro compounds. Under mild conditions (40°C and a H₂ pressure of 1 bar), full nitrobenzene conversion and high aniline selectivity (>99%) were achieved in water. Co–N/C-800-AT also showed high catalytic activity and chemoselectivity to substituted nitroarenes with other reducible groups and nonactive aliphatic and cyclic nitro compounds. In addition, Co–N/C-800-AT also exhibited high catalytic activity toward the transfer hydrogenation of nitro compounds with some hydrogen donors without base or ligands as additives. Some significant nitrogen-containing compounds, including imines, formamidine, and benzimidazole, were also produced from nitrobenzene via tandem reactions. The Co–N/C-800-AT catalyst exhibited excellent stability. We consider that, after acid treatment, the Co–N site was embedded in a C–N composite and was stabilized by nitrogen atoms. Thus, the nonprecious metal catalyst Co–N/C-800-AT is a promising potential alternative to noble metal catalysts for the industrial synthesis of amines and their derivatives from nitro compounds because of the high activity, high selectivity, high stability, low cost, and environmental benignity of the reaction. Furthermore, there is room to enlarge the scope of the Co–N/C catalyst for the green synthesis of a broad spectrum of fine chemicals.

For the reactions in organic solvents, the internal standard (4-chlorotoluene) was added into the reaction mixture and then the reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate to a certain volume. Then, the reaction mixture was analyzed by GC after filtration.

**Transfer hydrogenation and reductive coupling of nitro compounds**

For alcohols or hydrocarbons as hydrogen donors, they were also used as solvents. The procedure was almost the same as described above, but the reactor was purged with a N₂ pressure of 10 bar. For formic acid as the hydrogen donor, the reaction was performed in water or toluene under a N₂ pressure of 10 bar. For CO/H₂O as the hydrogen donor, the reaction was performed in water under a CO pressure of 5 bar.
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