INTRODUCTION

Amino acids are important ingredients of life that would have been delivered to Earth by extraterrestrial sources, such as comets and meteorites (1). Amino acids are found in aqueously altered carbonaceous chondrites in good part in the form of derivatives and/or precursors that release amino acids after acid hydrolysis (2). Most of the organic carbon (>70 weight %) in carbonaceous chondrites exists in the form of solvent insoluble organic matter (IOM) with complex macromolecular structures (3). However, the origins of organic matter in meteorites are still subject to debate. Complex macromolecular organic matter can be produced by either photolysis of interstellar ices (4) or aqueous chemistry in planetesimals (5). A classic view of the origin of amino acids in meteorites is that they were formed during parent body aqueous alteration by the Strecker reaction from aldehydes, ammonia, and HCN, but the Strecker reaction can only produce α-amino acids, which represent only a fraction of the amino acids in carbonaceous chondrites (3). Alternative amino acid synthesis scenarios are ultraviolet (UV) photolysis (6, 7), cosmic ray bombardment of interstellar ices (8), and Fischer-Tropsch type (FTT) syntheses in the solar nebula and/or parent bodies (9). Spark discharge experiments on CH₄, N₂, and H₂O with traces of NH₃ have also produced relative abundances of amino acids similar to those in the Murchison meteorite, although energy sources for meteorite parent bodies may include high-energy irradiation, shock waves, and other sources (10). Interstellar photochemistry may well explain the formation of refractory organic matter in comets, including potential cometary materials, such as anhydrous chondritic interplanetary dust particles and unicarbonaceous micrometeorites (11, 12). On the other hand, the principal source of Earth’s volatiles, inferred from hydrogen and nitrogen isotopic compositions, was probably aqueously altered carbonaceous chondrites (13), where aqueous alteration played a critical role in the inventory and variety of organic matter (14). To understand the final state of organics in planetesimals before their delivery to the early Earth, we focused on the synthesis of amino acids during aqueous alteration, which ubiquitously occurred on the parent bodies of carbonaceous chondrites that contain abundant organics (15). Here, we demonstrate one-pot synthesis of a complex suite of amino acids simultaneously with IOM via hydrothermal experiments simulating the aqueous processing as it occurred in planetesimals.

Cody et al. (5) proposed that condensation of formaldehyde in the presence of liquid water could yield insoluble organic solids similar to those found in chondritic meteorites through the formose reaction and subsequent condensation and carbonization. Further studies have shown that ammonia can be incorporated into this reaction, which could then produce organic solids containing imidazole, pyridine, and pyrrole structures (16). Some previous studies showed that the hydrothermal reaction of formaldehyde and ammonia could produce amino acids (17, 18), but these reaction products were only α-amino acids.

We conducted hydrothermal experiments isothermally at 90°C up to 250°C for 72 hours with a starting solution containing formaldehyde, glycolaldehyde (the simplest condensate of formaldehyde), ammonia, and water with a molar ratio of C/N/H₂O (7.2:0.72:100) and some Ca(OH)₂ (0.36), following our previous method of organic solid synthesis (16). This starting composition was selected on the basis of the assumption that organic matter in carbonaceous chondrites and comets was formed from a common precursor material that originated in the outer solar system and/or the interstellar medium (5, 19, 20); thus, the original volatile composition of carbonaceous chondrite parent bodies was close to that of comets, which typically contain H₂CO/NH₃/H₂O (0.4 to 4:0.5 to 1.5:100) (including short-period and Oort cloud comets) (21). Glycolaldehyde has also been detected in the comet C/2014 Q2 (Lovejoy) with a concentration of 0.016% relative to H₂O (22).

RESULTS

We studied the amino acid products of our experiments by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and the 150°C experiment...
additionally by ultraperformance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection/quadrupole time-of-flight hybrid mass spectrometry (UPLC-FD/QToF-MS). We also performed control experiments under the same experimental conditions but without adding ammonia. Only trace amounts of amino acids (up to 5 μM) were detected after acid hydrolysis in the control experiments, and the amino acid concentrations are shown as blank-corrected values listed in Table 1. The major amino acids obtained after acid hydrolysis were glycine, alanine, β-alanine, and α- and γ-aminobutyric acid (ABA) (~30 to 1080 μM each in the 150°C samples) (Figs. 1 and 2). Small amounts of β-ABA, α- and β-aminoisobutyric acid (AIB), and glutamic acid were also found (~4 to 30 μM each in the 150°C samples). We assessed the degree of contamination by considering the relative abundances of the D- and L-enantiomers of each amino acid [including protein amino acids (aspartic acid, glutamic acid, serine, alanine, and valine) and a non-protein amino acid (β-ABA)] in the experimental product for the 150°C run by UPLC-FD/QToF-MS (Table 1 and Fig. 1), because amino acids produced abiotically yield an equal abundance between enantiomers.

Table 1. Amino acid abundance. Summary of the average amino acid abundance (micromolar) of the blank-corrected 6 M HCl acid-hydrolyzed reaction product solution from the hydrothermal experiment containing ammonia, formaldehyde, and glycolaldehyde heated at each temperature for 72 hours and analyzed by HPLC and UPLC-FD/QToF-MS. Quantification of the amino acids included background-level corrections using a control sample treated under the same experimental conditions without the presence of ammonia. The associated errors are SDs. The amino acid solutions were derivatized by o-phthaldialdehyde (OPA)/N-acetyl-L-cysteine (NAC) derivatization (15 min for UPLC/QToF-MS and in-line for HPLC) and identified by comparison to the retention time of the amino acid standard run on the same day. The abundance (micromolar) of each amino acid was acquired by the peak area integration of the corresponding representative mass/charge ratio (m/z).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak no.</th>
<th>Amino acid</th>
<th>Concentration in micromolar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HPLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90°C 150°C 150°C 2nd 150°C free 150°C 2nd (free) 200°C 250°C 150°C 3rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>D-Asp</td>
<td>n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. &lt;3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>L-Asp</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>L-Glu</td>
<td>9 ± 3.4 n.d. 8–14 12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>D-Glu</td>
<td>11.8 ± 0.6 n.d. 2.5 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>D-Thr</td>
<td>&lt;0.1 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>L-Thr</td>
<td>&lt;0.1 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Gly</td>
<td>249 331 278 31 22 272–308 53.0 344.1 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>β-Ala</td>
<td>199 171 187 40 30 38–42 n.d. 218.9 ± 6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>D-Ala</td>
<td>635.8 ± 13 n.d. 2.5 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>L-Ala</td>
<td>444.8 ± 11.6 n.d. 2.5 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>γ-ABA</td>
<td>53 ± 9.9 n.d. 2 132–143 133.3 133.3 143.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>D, L-ABA</td>
<td>13.1 ± 0.3 n.d. 2.5 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>L-β-ABA</td>
<td>13.4 ± 0 n.d. 2.5 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>D-β-ABA</td>
<td>13.4 ± 0 n.d. 2.5 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>L-β-ABA</td>
<td>13.4 ± 0 n.d. 2.5 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>D, L-ABA</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.1 n.d. 2.5 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1115 1141 1142 319 235 1313–1521 199 1792</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the acid-hydrolyzed fraction, alanine was the dominant product at were released via acid hydrolysis through breaking the amide bonds. were produced during hydrothermal treatment and that amino acids for example, one of the simplest glycine precursors could be hydantoin) peak numbers correspond to the amino acids listed in Table 1. arb. units, arbitrary units. 

The amino acid abundances are much higher in the acid-hydrolyzed products after acid hydrolysis. The yields without acid hydrolysis are also shown whereas only L-α-amino acids are used to form proteins in the biosphere. Our result suggests that valine is present only as L-enantiomer (L-homochirality) and thus is likely to be derived from biological contaminants but that the other amino acids are experimental products, as indicated by their racemic enantiomeric ratios (D/L ≈ 1).

The amino acid abundances are much higher in the acid-hydrolyzed fraction than in the nonhydrolyzed fraction (“free” amino acids; Table 1 and Fig. 2), which suggests that most of the amino acid experimental products are present as the bound form. In the case of glycine, especially, the yield was increased by over 10 times after hydrolysis. This suggests that amino acid precursor molecules containing amides (–CO–NH–; for example, one of the simplest glycine precursors could be hydantoin) were produced during hydrothermal treatment and that amino acids were released via acid hydrolysis through breaking the amide bonds. In the acid-hydrolyzed fraction, alanine was the dominant product at 90°, 150°, and 200°C, followed by glycine and β-alanine (Fig. 2). At 250°C, γ-ABA was the most abundant amino acid product; however, the total amino acid yields (~190 μM) were much lower than that of the other experiments (>1000 μM). This observation is consistent with our previous results (16), indicating that most water-soluble molecules condensed into large macromolecular insoluble materials at high temperatures and that alkyl chains in the products increased as temperature increased. Abundant γ-ABA produced in the 250°C experiment can thus be explained by its higher thermodynamic stability, as compared to alanine, β-alanine, and α-ABA (23). The amino acid synthesis pathway involved in this hydrothermal experiment does not include other α-preferred syntheses, such as the Strecker reactions, because there is no systematic preference for α-amino acids (Fig. 2). FTT reactions were suggested to explain the presence of small, straight-chain, N-terminal (n-α-amino) amino acids in the thermally altered meteorites (24, 25). The FTT reactions are catalytic processes that produce hydrocarbons from CO and H2 in the presence of catalysts and thus are not involved in our hydrothermal experiments.

DISCUSSION

The aequously altered chondritic parent bodies were initially composed of icy dust containing silicates, and the ices mainly contained H2O, CO, and CO2 with some formaldehyde and ammonia (21). Decay of radioactive nuclides (most likely 26Al) and/or the occurrence of transient events, such as impact shocks (26), could have produced heat that melted the ices contained in the planetesimals to produce liquid water (27). The conditions of the aqueous alteration have been estimated to be 0° to 80°C (pH 6 to 12) for CM2 chondrites, 20° to 150°C (pH 7 to 10) for CI1 chondrites, ~120°C for CM1 chondrites, 50° to 150°C for CR chondrites, 0° to 340°C for CO and CV chondrites, and up to 260°C for ordinary chondrites (15), although these temperatures are rather uncertain. These warm and slightly alkaline conditions are preferable for the formose reaction to occur (28), and further condensation and carbonization produced complex macromolecular organic solids (5, 16). As shown in the present study, intermediate soluble components produced during these reactions can be the precursors of amino acids extracted by acid hydrolysis.

The yield of amino acids after acid hydrolysis of our experimental products, ~20 μg of glycine relative to 20 μg of organic solids (16), is consistent with that in the Murchison meteorite, where yields of glycine and IOM are 7 μg/g and 20 μg/g, respectively (29). The free amino acid abundances in the 150°C samples are 21 to 28%, and these values are somewhat less than the 38 to 64% observed in CI-CM-CR chondrites (30). The total amino acid abundances (after acid hydrolysis) vary among different meteoritic classes and petrologic types, roughly CR3 > CM2 > CI1 > CM1 > CR1, whereas CR2 shows significant variation. For example, the total amino acid abundances were 4.3 to 6.8 parts per million (ppm) for CI1, 0.66 to 0.71 ppm for CM1, and 5.8 to 21 ppm for CM2, and, for CRs, 0.9 to 1.6 ppm for GRO 95577 (CR1), 4.8 ppm for Renazzo (CR2), 180 to 320 ppm for EET 92042 (CR2), 249 ppm for GRA 95229 (CR2), 81 ppm for QUE 99177 (CR3), and 3.8 ppm for Shisir 033 (CR) (30–33). Thus, extended aqueous alteration (petrologic type 1) might have partially destroyed amino acids. The high amino acid concentration in the CR2 and CR3 chondrites that are considered by some workers to be the most primitive carbonaceous chondrites (19, 33) indicates that aqueous alteration is not the only amino acid synthetic pathway. UV/cosmic ray irradiation of interstellar ice could also produce the amino acids commonly found in meteorites (6–8).
Meanwhile, chondrites that have experienced even mild thermal metamorphism have lower amino acid concentrations; for example, amino acid concentrations after acid hydrolysis are 0.26 to 2.4 ppm in CO3 chondrites (24, 25), 0.7 to 6.1 ppm in CV3 chondrites (24), 1.1 to 3.3 ppm in LL3 ordinary chondrites (25), and 2.7 to 3.2 nmol/g (roughly 0.3 ppm) in CI-like chondrites that have experienced short-term heating (34, 35). The parent bodies of these meteorites have probably undergone heating at temperatures up to 700°C (36). The lower yields of amino acids in these chondrites are consistent with the experimental results in the 250°C experiment that produced significantly lower concentrations of amino acids than the experiments, which were heated to a lesser extent. It is commonly held that, although aqueous alteration can produce amino acids, and thermal alteration can also produce some amino acids, the combination of both processes can have a destructive effect. However, our experiments indicate that this reaction involving both aqueous and heating (up to 250°C) processes can also produce some amino acids. Higher temperatures are more favorable for the synthesis of some amino acids, such as γ-ABA.

Figure 3 compares the relative abundances of each amino acid normalized with respect to glycine on a molar basis in the reaction products and those extracted from the most pertinent groups of chondritic meteorites by acid hydrolysis (24, 25, 29–33, 37–41). There are no significant differences in the amino acid contents of the 90° to 200°C experimental products, suggesting that the reaction temperature in this range does not significantly affect the amino acid distribution. The amino acid distributions in the reaction products at 90° to 200°C are similar to those of the aseously altered CI-CM-CR chondrites except for a significantly lower α-ABA abundance (Fig. 3A). In addition, in CI chondrites, the abundance of β-alanine is higher, and that of α-ABA is lower, than those of the reaction products and CM and CR chondrites (Fig. 3A). Heating duration, starting materials, pH, and catalytic effects of inorganic phases may be responsible for the small variations in the amino acids in CI-CM-CR meteorites, as well as some contributions from preexisting amino acid species produced by interstellar chemistry.

On the other hand, the relative abundances of γ-ABA to glycine from the thermally metamorphosed carbonaceous chondrites [for example, CO3 and CV3 (24, 25, 31)] are somewhat higher than that from the experimental products, specifically at lower temperatures (Fig. 3B). This observation is consistent with the increasing γ-ABA abundances with increasing experimental temperatures. However, the abundance of α-ABA from these chondrites is lower than that from the experimental products. This is likely because non-α-amino acids are thermodynamically more stable than the α-amino acids, that is, γ-ABA > β-alanine > α-ABA (23). Meanwhile, ordinary chondrites [Bishunpur (LL3.15) and Chainpur (LL3.4) (25)] have relative abundances comparable to the experimental products (Fig. 3B). The relative abundance of α-ABA in the 150°C experimental product falls between CO, CV, and ordinary chondrites, which can again be explained by the thermodynamic stability of α-ABA, and thus, it can only be found in the meteorites that are heated to a lesser extent.

Note that the wide variations of amino acid abundances in meteorites are likely influenced by the complex chemical reactions that occurred on the parent asteroids, as well as earlier in the solar nebula, and still earlier in the precursor interstellar molecular cloud. For the thermally metamorphosed chondrites, there could be some contributions from α-ω-amino acids produced by FTT reactions in their parent bodies (24).

1-enantiomeric excesses in meteoritic amino acids (42) are not explained in our experiments. However, adsorption on asymmetric mineral surfaces and their catalytic effects could have produced enantiomeric excesses during aqueous alteration within the parent asteroids, with possible contributions from UV circularly polarized light interactions with precursor molecules in the interstellar medium (43, 44). Amino acids produced via the one-pot aqueous chemistry should be further processed by other mechanisms to produce the chiral asymmetry observed for meteoritic amino acids.

High δD values [up to 3600 per mil (%)] reported in meteoritic amino acids (45) can be explained by considering that the starting molecules...
(H₂CO and NH₃) with high D/H ratios originated from low-temperature interstellar chemistry. Interstellar formaldehyde was reported to have high D/H ratios; for example, the [HDCO]/[H₂CO] abundance ratio in molecular clouds is 0.01 to 0.03 (46), and the [D₂CO]/[H₂CO] abundance ratio in star-forming regions in interstellar medium is 0.02 to 0.4 (47). These values are sufficiently high, as compared to δD = 3600‰ (D/H ~ 0.0007), even if some hydrogen has exchanged with D-poor water in parent bodies. The 13C values of individual amino acids from the Murchison meteorite range from +4.9 to +52.8‰, and this variability can be interpreted to indicate that the synthetic histories of amino acids may have been diverse, even within groups of compounds with very similar functional group composition (48). The α-amino acids show a clear decreasing trend in their 13C abundance with increasing carbon chain length, suggesting kinetically controlled syntheses of higher homologs from lower ones, although β-amino acids show the opposite trend (48). We expect that formose reactions based on the addition of carbon in our experiments follow the former trend, and thus, we postulate that the experimental products should exhibit 13C/12C fractionation in individual amino acids. A future study is needed to validate this hypothesis.

The hydrothermal reactions of formaldehyde, glycolaldehyde, and ammonia led to the production of α-, β-, and γ-amino acids, in addition to previously reported IOM-like organic solids (16). It is also reported that alkylpyridines were produced by the reaction of aldehydes and ammonia through aldol condensation and Chichibabin-type synthesis on simulated meteorite parent bodies under alkaline conditions (49). The one-pot aqueous chemistry in planetesimals, starting from ubiquitous simple molecules, is thus a plausible scenario to explain the wide variety of organic matter found in the aqueously altered chondrites.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Hydrothermal experiments

The hydrothermal experiments were conducted following the method for the organic solid synthesis reported previously (16, 50); the protocol was also based on previous work by Cody et al. (5) and Ricardo et al. (51). The starting solution contained paraformaldehyde at 2 mmol (60 mg), glycolaldehyde at 1 mmol (60 mg), Ca(OH)₂ (15 mg; given the low solubility in water, Ca(OH)₂ exists largely as a solid), 4.9 M ammonium hydroxide (81 μl providing an N/C atomic ratio of 0.1), and pure water (1 ml). Paraformaldehyde was obtained from Alfa Aesar, and glycolaldehyde (dimer) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Calcium hydroxide [Ca(OH)₂] and ammonium hydroxide were obtained from Wako Pure Chemical. Paraformaldehyde hydrolyzed very rapidly at high pH to yield pure formaldehyde. Ca(OH)₂ was added to make the starting solution with a pH in the range of 11.5 to 11.7, and Ca(OH)₂ also acted as a catalyst of the formose reaction (5, 28, 51). Each solution charged into glass tubes that were flame-sealed and then heated isothermally at 90°, 150°, 200°, and 250°C for 72 hours in an oven (ETTAS HTO-450S). After heating, the residues were separated by centrifugation. Water used in this synthetic experiment and the following analytical procedures was purified with a Millipore Milli-Q system.

Amino acid analysis with HPLC

The liquid phases of the reaction products were then acid-hydrolyzed with 6 M HCl [12 M HCl (0.5 ml) was added to a 0.5-ml sample] at 110°C for 24 hours to convert them into their corresponding amino acids. Following the acid hydrolysis, the sample was dried using centrifugal drying at 60°C for approximately 9 hours. The hydrolyzed fraction was dissolved in 0.1 M HCl (2 ml) and was applied to a column packed with cation-exchange resin (Bio-Rad AG 50W-X8 Resin, 200 to 400 meshes, hydrogen form). The resin (~2 to 3 ml) was pre-washed with 1 M HCl and water (20 ml each) and 1 M NaOH and water (20 ml each), followed by conditioning with 1 M HCl and water (20 ml each). A 1-ml aliquot of 0.1 M HCl sample solution was induced to the column after filtration with DISMIC-3CP (0.45 μm) and rinsed with 0.1 M HCl (1 ml) and water (20 ml). The solution containing amino acids was then eluted with 10% NH₃ aqueous solution (15 ml) and water (20 ml). The NH₃ eluate was dried using centrifugal drying at 60°C for approximately 5 hours. The dried sample was dissolved in 0.5 ml of water. A summary of the analytical procedures is shown in fig. S1. All glassware was baked in air at 500°C for at least 3 hours before use in all experiments.

Ten-microliter or 20-μl aliquot of the sample solution was then analyzed using an HPLC system, which was set up for amino acid analyses. The HPLC system was equipped with a system controller (Shimadzu SCL-10A), HPLC pumps (Shimadzu LC-20AD and LC-10ATvp), a polystyrene-type ion exchange column [Shimadzu Shimpack ISC-07/S1504Na; 4.0 mm (inner diameter) × 15 cm; particle diameter, 7 μm] in a column heater (Sugai U-620 type 50) maintained at 55°C, and a fluorescence detector (Shimadzu RF-20Axs) with a 358-nm excitation wavelength and a 450-nm emission wavelength. A post-column derivatization was used with the solution of OPA (0.104 g/liter), NAC (0.65 g/liter), Na₂CO₃ (40.7 g/liter), H₂BO₃ (13.5 g/liter), K₂SO₄ (18.8 g/liter), and polyoxyethylene lauryl ether (0.2 g/liter). Gradient elution was performed using a Shimadzu amino acid mobile phase kit (Na type), with the gradients as follows: (A) 0.2 M sodium citrate, ethanol, and perchloric acid (pH 3.20); (B) 0.6 M sodium citrate, boric acid, and sodium hydroxide (pH 10.0); and (C) 0.2 M sodium hydroxide (for conditioning purposes). The flow rate of the carrier was 0.300 ml/min with (A) and (B) gradients shown in fig. S2. All samples were filtrated with DISMIC-3CP 0.45-μm membrane filters before analysis.

Commercial amino acid standard solutions (Wako Amino Acids Mixture Standard Solution, Type B and Type AN-2; table S1) were used for peak identifications. To prepare standard solutions for LC-FD/ToF-MS analysis, individual amino acid standards were dissolved in water and combined to create an amino acid mixture standard solution (containing 1 μM each of D,L-aspartic acid, D,L-glutamic acid, D,L-serine, D,L-threonine, glycine, β-alanine, D,L-alanine, D,L-α-ABA, D,L-β-ABA, γ-ABA, α-AIB, D,L-β-AIB, D,L-isovaline, D,L-valine, ε-aminocaproic acid, D,L-isoleucine, and D,L-leucine).

Amino acid analysis with UPLC/MS

Ten microliters of the 10-fold diluted hydrothermal experimental product of the 150°C experiment and the associated control sample were treated with the same preparation protocol (acid hydrolysis and desalting), as outlined in the previous section. The dried, desalted samples were redissolved in 100 μl of water. Twenty microliters of the samples was derivatized with OPA/NAC fluorescent derivatization immediately before analysis, as previously described (39). The amino acid abundances and distributions were measured by UPLC-FD/TOF-MS at NASA Johnson Space Center (JSC) using a Waters ACQUITY UPLC and a Waters ACQUITY fluorescence detector connected to a Waters Xevo G2-QuadTOF. Twenty-five microliters of the derivatized samples was separated using a Waters BEH C18 column (2.1 × 50 mm; 1.7-μm particle size), followed by a second Waters BEH
phenyl column (2.1 × 150 mm; 1.7-μm particle size). Chromatographic conditions were as follows: column temperature, 30°C; flow rate, 150 μl/min; solvent A [50 mM ammonium formate and 8% methanol (pH 8.0)]; solvent B (methanol); gradient time (%B): 0 min (0), 35 min (55), and 45 min (100). The electrospray and mass spectrometer conditions have been described in detail by Glavin et al. (39). Amino acids were identified by comparison to known standards using the detected masses at the expected chromatographic retention times.
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