Backside absorbing layer microscopy: Watching graphene chemistry
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The rapid rise of two-dimensional nanomaterials implies the development of new versatile, high-resolution visualization and placement techniques. For example, a single graphene layer becomes observable on Si/SiO2 substrates by reflected light under optical microscopy because of interference effects when the thickness of silicon oxide is optimized. However, differentiating monolayers from bilayers remains challenging, and advanced techniques, such as Raman mapping, atomic force microscopy (AFM), or scanning electron microscopy (SEM) are more suitable to observe graphene monolayers. The first two techniques are slow, and the third is operated in vacuum; hence, in all cases, real-time experiments including notably chemical modifications are not accessible. The development of optical microscopy techniques that combine the speed, large area, and high contrast of SEM with the topological information of AFM is therefore highly desirable. We introduce a new widefield optical microscopy technique based on the use of previously unknown antireflection and absorbing (ARA) layers that yield ultrahigh contrast reflection imaging of monolayers. The BALM (backside absorbing layer microscopy) technique can achieve the subnanometer-scale vertical resolution, large area, and real-time imaging. Moreover, the inverted optical microscope geometry allows its easy implementation and combination with other techniques. We notably demonstrate the potentiality of BALM by in operando imaging chemical modifications of graphene oxide. The technique can be applied to the deposition, observation, and modification of any nanometer-thick materials.

INTRODUCTION
Graphene and other two-dimensional (2D) materials offer a wide variety of potential applications ranging from low-consumption electronics/ optoelectronics and spintronics (1–6) to materials for energy and catalysis (7–11) or biological applications (12–15). The rapid development of these materials sheds light on the importance of the visualization techniques, such as electron microscopies, scanning probe techniques, or Raman mapping. Early on, it was demonstrated that graphene could be observed on Si/SiO2 by optical microscopy for particular thicknesses of SiO2 (16, 17). This effect is due to antireflection (AR) interference properties created by the substrate. In the simplest case, the AR property of a coated surface is based on the destructive interference between the light reflected by the two surfaces of a properly chosen layer (nature and thickness).

Graphene oxide (GO) is the oxidized form of graphene produced by the oxidation and exfoliation of graphite. Whereas a single layer of graphene absorbs 2.3% of the incident light (18), a monolayer of GO exhibits a much weaker light absorption that makes it almost impossible to distinguish on Si/SiO2. A few years ago, Jung and co-workers optimized the AR properties of a substrate by depositing silicon nitride layers from 61 to 72 nm on silicon. They were able to directly observe the GO flakes using confocal microscopy at different excitation wavelengths and obtain higher contrast than for graphene on Si/SiO2 (19).

Besides conventional AR layers made of transparent materials (19, 20), AR coatings (ARCs) incorporating absorbing materials (called absorbing ARCs) were introduced when AR properties and light absorption or attenuation were needed (21–23). These coatings can be either settled on opaque reflective substrates or made of multilayer stacks of absorbing materials and transparent AR layers, and, in all cases, they are used in the “frontside” configuration (that is, the coated surface facing the observer with the light going from the low-index to the high index media—for example, air to glass) (21, 24–28). However, absorbing ARCs exhibit an asymmetric behavior: They do not have the same reflectivity depending on which side they are lighted. ARCs made of single layers of absorbing materials [AR and absorbing (ARA) layers] deposited on transparent surface were completely ignored because AR conditions cannot be reached with these materials when they are used in frontside configuration as conventional AR layers. As we demonstrate in the Supplementary Materials, AR conditions can be reached only when the absorbing materials are lighted from the higher index medium. In their application to contrast enhancement, this constraint corresponds to the inverted geometry of a microscope, with the ARA layer deposited on the backside (with respect to the incident light) of a transparent window (29). A decisive advantage of this geometry is that the half-space opposite to the microscope, as delimited by the ARA window, is totally empty, hence free for manipulating and probing the sample. Another key asset of using an ARA layer is that it can be conductive, semiconducting, or insulating, which opens the route to new possibilities for direct imaging of electrical, electro-optical, electrochemical, or photochemical phenomena.

Here, we report on the discovery of a new family of single AR layers made of highly absorbing materials and their use as contrast layer in a widefield microscopy technique. We named this technique the backside absorbing layer microscopy (BALM) (see schematic representation in Fig. 1A). We demonstrate that this technique allows imaging of 2D materials, such as GO and MoS2 easily, both in air and water, and with extremely high resolution. In addition, it also provides chemical contrast. Notably, we are able to clearly differentiate the GO flakes from the reduced GO (r-GO) flakes, even though they only differ by the density of oxygen functions. Conversely to atomic force microscopy (AFM) or scanning electron microscopy (SEM) techniques, it permits monitoring of surface reactions in situ. As examples, we followed the deposition of
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RESULTS

When made of strongly absorbing materials, the ARA layers are formally defined by the two equations (see the Supplementary Materials for the complete demonstration)

\[ n_1^2 - k_1^2 = n_0 n_3 \]  
\[ e_1 = \frac{\lambda (n_0 - n_3)}{4\pi n_1 k_1} \]

where \( n_1 - k_1 \) is the complex refractive index of the ARA layer, \( e_1 \) is its thickness, \( n_0 \) and \( n_1 \) are the refractive indices of the incident and emergent materials, respectively, and \( \lambda \) is the wavelength of light.

These equations correspond to perfect background extinction, and hence maximum contrast for any material trace added on their (back) surface. Using such layers, picometer sensitivity could be attained. How- ever, in practice, the chemical nature of the layer material is often constrained by the index condition which can only be approached, at best, by playing on the working wavelength \( \lambda \). This is the case with the ARA layers used in this study, which are gold layers with a thickness \( e_1 \) of 5 nm. We call these layers near-ARA layers. Note that optimal values of \( e_1 \) from Eq. 2 are ca. 3.1 nm in water and 8.7 nm in air (with \( n_0 = 1.514 \) (glass), \( n_3 = 1.334 \) (water) or 1.000 (air), \( n_1 - k_1 = 1.3 - 1.8j \) (gold), and \( \lambda = 470 \text{ nm} \)). Although such contrast layers can be further improved, the 5-nm-thick near-ARA layers already appear as a very good compromise for graphene and GO imaging in both air and water.

Figure 1A gives a schematic representation of BALM; the objects under study (GO or other materials) represented by the black square are deposited directly on the near-ARA layer (yellow layer) and lighted from the back. Figure 1B shows the representation of the three configurations exhibiting AR properties: (case i) a conventional AR layer (quarter-wave layer); (case ii) a weakly absorbing AR layer lighted from air; and (case iii) a near-ARA layer lighted from glass, which is the high index medium. BALM corresponds to the latter configuration. Figure 1 (C to F) displays several examples of optical images obtained for GO monolayers deposited from water/SDBS (sodium dodecylbenzene sulfonate) solution (30) or chemical vapor deposition–grown MoS_2 monolayers transferred on the near-ARA window. In Fig. 1C, corresponding to GO in air, the image profile shows that the variation of intensity is quite linear with the number of layers. Figure 1D presents a 3D image of GO flakes laid on the surface and imaged in water. The contrast enhancement offered by near-ARA layers allows us to easily distinguish monolayers from bilayers and few layers in different media (either air or water) and identify the number of layers at first sight. In addition, the technique permits an easy visualization of wrinkles, holes, and edge defects. Among the wide variety of 2D materials, GO is the most challenging to image because of its low absorption; however, the technique is not limited to graphene. Figure 1 (E and F) shows the images of MoS_2 and its assembly, with GO, into van der Waals heterostructures. Note that because of the better visibility of MoS_2, the dynamic range of the image is more important than for GO flakes alone; thus, the contrast of GO flakes in such mixed samples appears attenuated.

BALM is not specific to 2D nanomaterials, and every nanometer-thick material deposited on the ARA surface will appear with a very strong contrast because it will break the low reflectivity of the substrate. To illustrate this, we monitored the electrochemical deposition of copper on a BALM substrate by chronoamperometry, starting from a solution of CuSO_4 (10^{-2} M) at pH 2 (31). The schematic representation of the BALM-coupled electrochemical setup and the real-time visualization of copper deposition are given in fig. S1. This example, which is very different from 2D materials, illustrates the broadness of fields and applications covered by the technique. It also provides an example of the direct combination of BALM with another technique (here, electrochemistry).

To compare BALM with classical imaging techniques, we deposited the GO flakes on 5-nm Au near-ARA layers and imaged it using BALM, AFM, and SEM (fig. S2). BALM and AFM images show similar sensitivity to the presence of defects and wrinkles. Using AFM, the thickness of the GO flakes is estimated to be 1.3 nm; this value can be used to correlate the light intensity to the thickness and number of layers of GO. At that stage, the BALM technique already combines the information of AFM and the large scale and velocity of SEM with the simplicity and the cost of optical microscopy.

Taking advantage of the exceptional sensitivity of the technique, we show, hereafter, that it permits to observe tiny chemical modifications of GO. As a first example, we show how it can easily differentiate GO from r-GO. It is well established that GO can be reduced thermally or chemically to give r-GO (32). The removal of the oxygen species in GO results in a change of optical transmission (from transparent to slightly absorbing, up to 2.3% of the white light when approaching a complete reduction into graphene) and thickness (from ~1.5 to ~1.2 nm as it is typically measured by AFM). For this experiment, Cr (2 nm)/Au (5 nm) near-ARA layers were fabricated; chromium was first deposited on the glass substrate to improve the thermal and mechanical resistance of the gold film. Cr/Au near-ARA layers were first annealed in vacuum (P = 5 × 10^{-6} mbar) at 350°C for 6 hours, and then the GO flakes were deposited, reduced by thermal treatment (350°C for 1 hour), and imaged (Fig. 2A and fig. S3). Then, we deposited new GO flakes on the same surface and compared the images before and after this second deposition. Some regions show the superposition of r-GO and GO (Fig. 2, B and C, and fig. S3). The morphology of r-GO did not change compared to that of GO, indicating that the thermal reduction does not affect the integrity of the flakes. However, a large variation of reflected light intensity was observed. r-GO has a darker aspect than GO, as shown both in the images and the intensity profile in Fig. 2D. This observation is attributed to the difference of optical properties between r-GO and GO (33), although attenuated by the change of thickness, which has clearly an opposite effect (r-GO being thinner and darker than GO). The observed difference reveals that the technique is presently more sensitive to the refractive index than to the thickness, thus adding chemical sensitivity to the assets of the technique.

Numerical simulations based on the recursive Airy-Zernike formulae were performed using fixed values of \( n \) and \( e \) from the study by Jung et al. (19) for the refractive indices and thicknesses of GO and r-GO (see the Supplementary Materials for details). Figure S4 shows the contrast and intensity change of various stacks of GO and r-GO as a function of gold thickness. The reflectivity, averaged over angles from 0° to 30°, presents a minimum with respect to gold thickness. The Michelson contrast of one layer of GO on gold ARA is about 20% and shows a nearly linear variation with the number of layers. The simulations permitted to correctly
reproduce the hierarchy of intensity observed in Figs. 1 and 2 for multilayers of GO and r-GO.

The previous experiments were static, thus not fully benefiting from the possibilities that offer a widefield optical imaging technique to study reactions in real time. We now turn to the functionalization of r-GO with oleic acid–coated Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles. Here, we were able to seamlessly visualize the 2D flakes before and after the adsorption of nanoparticles and again after desorption by rinsing with toluene (Fig. 3). To this end, 10 µl of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles (with an average diameter of 5 nm) dispersed in toluene was deposited on the substrate. Immediately after the deposition of the drop, the objects were not visible because of the presence of the thin layer of the high-index solvent that strongly affects the images (fig. S5).

After drying the surface, the r-GO flakes became observable again, and the presence of nanoparticles was observed. They accumulated and aggregated along the wrinkles of r-GO (Fig. 3B), because of either preferential absorption or evaporation. Numerical enlargement of the image in Fig. 3B, as displayed in Fig. 3D, suggests that the few nanoparticles seen in a defect-free part of the flake are individual particles that are observable despite their very small size. After washing with a drop of toluene and drying, the nanoparticles were removed (Fig. 3C and fig. S5). Although the solvent index in this experiment is not suited for in situ monitoring of adsorption/desorption kinetics, this example shows that BALM permits to probe local interactions between nanoparticles and r-GO flakes, which is of high importance for the development of new catalytic and energy conversion devices.
Finally, we present an even more challenging experiment in which we monitored the adsorption of small organic molecules. GO flakes were deposited on a gold BALM substrate, and an area containing mono-, bi-, and trilayers, composed of folded and/or superposed GO flakes, was chosen. A drop of deionized water was deposited; the picture taken in pure water constitutes the reference of intensity. Then, a drop of positively charged pyrene [trimethyl-(2-oxo-2-pyrene-1-yl-ethyl)]-ammonium bromide, $M = 382.29 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$] (34) dissolved in water was added and we immediately started to record pictures (one every 6 s) to monitor the evolution of the light intensity induced by pyrene adsorption. The intensity was extracted from each image from the red channel below the molecular monolayer limit. Such dependence demonstrates the adsorption and intercalation of pyrene on and in between the GO flakes. Figure 4H shows that such experiment allows extracting the characteristic time $\tau$ of the adsorption reaction. Although $\tau$ is $\sim 77.1 \text{ s (±3.8)}$ and $80.6 \text{ s (±5.0)}$ for bi- and trilayer GO, it increases to $108.1 \text{ s (±8.2)}$ for monolayers. For the bare gold substrate, the determination of $\tau$ is more sensitive to the fitting errors because the intensity evolution is much slower. Yet, the as-fitted value of $177.8 \text{ s (±35.7)}$ is definitely much higher than for GO. We stipulate that because the pyrene derivative is positively charged, it adsorbs faster on the negatively charged GO flakes than on the neutral gold surface. The charges on monolayer GO would be intermediate because of the contact with the gold substrate. At a longer time scale, the intensity evolution cannot be fitted by a simple exponential decay function. The more complex dynamic is then probably due to the competition between adsorption and desorption time constants. The analysis of this regime requires further experiments and is beyond the scope of this study. At this stage, this experiment highlights the fact that the BALM technique allows the study of the dynamics of chemical reactions in a solvent, with full optical lateral resolution and sensitivity well below the molecular monolayer limit.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we report a new high-resolution and very sensitive technique of microscopy called BALM, which is based on the use of AR layers made of absorbing materials. Only near-AR conditions (satisfying the thickness condition but not the index) were reached, but we already obtained an extreme sensitivity in the observation of various nanomaterials. As an example, BALM was used for imaging the reduction and the in situ functionalization of GO and r-GO. Besides the ability of BALM to visualize the 5-nm-diameter nanoparticles, we were able to follow the adsorption kinetics of a pyrene derivative on GO. This experiment also reveals the potential of BALM for the determination of affinity constants that will open new opportunities for sensing applications.

The technique is very simple to implement; it only requires the presence of an AR layer made of absorbing material and its lighting from the
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Furthermore, ARA and near-ARA layers can be made of most conductive physical or chemical manipulation, or with other local probing techniques. A high index medium (here, glass). The inverted microscope geometry makes the probe’s path towards the sample almost parallel to the backside illumination.

**Fig. 4. Adsorption kinetics of a pyrene derivative on GO.** (A to D) Evolution of intensity upon trimethyl-(2-oxo-2-pyrene-1-yl-ethyl)-ammonium bromide adsorption and intercalation [extracted from each image from the red channel on different areas of the gold surface and of the mono-, bi-, and trilayers as a function of time (black dots) and fit of the curves using an exponential decay function (red lines)]. (E) Raw RGB image of the GO flakes and areas used to extract the plotted data. ([F to H] Plot of the fit parameters of the exponential decay function $Y_n(t) = Y_n^{(0)} + A_n[1 - \exp(-t/\tau_n)]$. Red lines in (F) and (G) are linear fits.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Graphite powder was purchased from Sinocarbon Materials Technology Co. GO was synthesized via the Hummers’ method (35) and exfoliated following the procedure previously described (30). GO was deposited directly on the BALM substrate from the water solution or using the so-called bubble deposition method for samples imaged in air (30). Au (5 nm) and Cr/Au (2/5 nm) near-ARA layers were received from WATCH LIVE SAS (Lyon, France) or fabricated in the laboratory. Trimethyl-(2-oxo-2-pyrene-1-yl-ethyl)-ammonium bromide was prepared according to the procedure by Nakashima et al. (34). GO and t-GO were imaged using a Zeiss Axiovert 40 microscope equipped with a 63× Plan Apo oil immersion objective (numerical aperture of 1.40) and a Zeiss AxioCam HRC. AFM images were performed using a Dimension 3100 from Bruker (Digital Instruments); SEM images were performed using a Hitachi S4500 microscope. Chronoamperometry was carried out using a Volta-Lab PGZ 301 potentiostat, in a three-electrode electrochemical cell developed for BALM under atmospheric conditions. The gold near-ARA layer was used as working electrode, a gold wire was used as counter electrode, and the Ag/AgCl electrode was used as the reference electrode.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/3/5/e1601724/DC1
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