A polyaromatic nanocapsule as a sucrose receptor in water
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Selective recognition of saccharides by artificial receptors in water is a challenging goal due to their strong hydrophilicities and complex molecular structures with subtle regio- and stereochemical differences. We report the selective and efficient encapsulation of d-sucrose within a coordination-driven molecular capsule from natural saccharide mixtures in water (~100% selectivity, >85% yield, and ~103 M−1 binding constant). Unlike previous artificial receptors and natural receptors that rely on multiple hydrogen-bonding interactions, theoretical calculations and control experiments indicate that the observed unique selectivity arises from multiple CH–π interactions between the sucrose hydrocarbon backbone and the shape-complementary polyaromatic cavity (~1 nm in diameter) of the capsule.

INTRODUCTION

Hydrogen bonds are a ubiquitous and indispensable tool in the selective binding of guest substrates by enzymes and biological receptors (1). Even in water, where hydrophilic natural compounds such as saccharides are fully solvated by water molecules through extensive hydrogen bonds (1–3), the protein surfaces and active sites precisely discriminate subtle stereo- and regiochemical differences in the complex structures (Fig. 1A) (4–6). The Davis group demonstrated that isophthalamide-based organic cages can efficiently accommodate monosaccharides such as D-glucose (binding constant: \( K_a = up to 190 \text{ M}^{-1} \)) (7) and disaccharides such as D-cellobiose (\( K_a = up to 3300 \text{ M}^{-1} \)) (8, 9) in water through hydrogen bonds and CH–π interactions. Similar cooperative interactions (10), reversible covalent bonds (11), coordinative interactions (12), and ion-dipole interactions (13) were also used for the recognition of natural saccharides in water. However, strict discrimination of saccharides in water remains an extremely hard task for synthetic molecular receptors (14–16). To further develop artificial receptors as novel sensing devices (17) and to provide mechanistic insights into biological recognition events such as taste (18–20), here we demonstrate a new recognition motif using a molecular cavity enclosed by polyaromatic frameworks (Fig. 1B) that exclusively bind d-sucrose in water. D-Sucrose is one of the most common natural compounds in our daily life, yet the relatively large and bulky structure (a ~1 nm length and ~300 Å3 volume) prevents it from full encapsulation by traditional covalent hosts (7–16). On the other hand, coordination-driven molecular cages and capsules (21–25) are available in a much larger range of cavity sizes and shapes, suitable for large guest substrates. However, because of the lack of effective bonding motifs, the recognition and binding of saccharides within coordination host compounds remain very rare, even in organic media (26, 27).

The encapsulation of polyaromatic fullerene guests by saccharide-based molecular hosts, that is, cyclodextrin dimers, in water has been reported by several groups (28–30). The intriguing host-guest complexes prompted us to invert the relationship and use a polyaromatic-shelled host (31, 32) for the selective recognition of saccharide guests. We used coordination-driven molecular capsule 1, which has a spherical cavity (~1 nm in diameter and ~580 Å3 in volume) surrounded by polyaromatic anthracene panels (Fig. 1, C and D) (33). Although the host capability of 1 toward various hydrophobic compounds (for example, adamantanes, pyrenes, and fullerene \( C_{60} \)) is well known (33–36), the potential for binding...
highly hydrophilic biomolecules in the hydrophobic cavity of 1 was rather unexpected. Nevertheless, we report here that nanocapsule 1 can effectively encapsulate d-sucrose (2a; \( K_a \geq 1100 \text{ M}^{-1} \)) from mixtures of 2a and other natural disaccharides in water with perfect selectivity. Theoretical host-guest calculations and control binding experiments with d-glucose derivatives 3a to 3c (Fig. 1E) indicate that the observed unique selectivity stems from multiple CH-π interactions between the hydrocarbon framework of 2a and the shape-complementary polyaromatic cavity of 1.

**RESULTS**

**Encapsulation of monosaccharides**

We initially examined host-guest interactions between nanocapsule 1 and natural monosaccharides, such as d-glucose (3a), d-fructose (3d), and d-mannose, in water. For example, when a mixture of 1 (0.39 \( \mu \text{mol} \)) and slight excess 3a (2.0 \( \mu \text{mol} \)) was stirred in D_2O (0.5 ml) at 60°C for 30 min (scheme S1), neither new peaks nor peak shifts (expected for host-guest interactions) were observed in the proton nuclear magnetic resonance (\(^1\text{H NMR}) and electrospray ionization–time-of-flight (ESI-TOF) mass spectrometry (MS) spectra of the resultant solution (Fig. 2, A and B). No interactions were also observed for the other monosaccharides (figs. S1 and S2). These results are explicable by usual hydrophilic and hydrophobic properties. The highly hydrophilic saccharides preferentially exist in the aqueous phase rather than the hydrophobic cavity of 1.

In contrast, one molecule of pentamethylated α-d-glucose 3b was quantitatively encapsulated within capsule 1 under the same conditions (Fig. 2A, right, and scheme S2) (37), although 3b is very soluble in water (>50 mM). The 1:1 host-guest complex 1·3b exhibited \(^1\text{H NMR) signals derived from the methyl groups of encapsulated 3b ranging from −0.45 to 1.03 parts per million (ppm) (Fig. 2C, left, and figs. S3 and S4). These signals are significantly shifted upfield (\( \Delta \delta_{\text{max}} = −3.86 \text{ ppm} \)), compared with free 3b in D_2O, because of the shielding effects of the nearby anthracene rings. Close contact between 1 (protons H\text{H}_{1,2}) and 3b (CH\text{3 groups}) in the cavity was confirmed by one-dimensional (1D) nuclear Overhauser effect spectroscopy (NOESY) NMR studies (fig. S5). The noncovalent host-guest 1·3b complex is highly stable in water; we estimated the binding constant at \( >10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \) from \(^1\text{H NMR) and MS analyses under high-dilution conditions (5.0 \( \mu \text{M); figs. S6 and S7}) (37). The ESI-TOF MS spectrum only displayed prominent peaks from the intact host-guest structure [for example, mass-to-charge ratios (m/z) of 1995.4 for \([1·3b−2\text{NO}_3]^{−}\), 1309.6 for \([1·3b−3\text{NO}_3]^{−}\), and 966.7 for \([1·3b−4\text{NO}_3]^{−}\); Fig. 2C, right, and fig. S8]. The optimized structure of 1·3b indicates that the four CH\text{3 groups} of 3b are in close proximity (−3.6 Å) to the anthracene panels of 1 (fig. S9).

The strong host-guest interactions observed between 1 and 3b are mainly caused by hydrophobic CH-π (polyaromatic) interactions in the confined cavity (38–41). Pentamethylated α-d-glucose 3c was also bound by capsule 1 in 81% yield (figs. S1C and S2C). These unusual binding affinities prompted us to further examine host-guest interactions between the polyaromatic capsule and natural disaccharides.

**Encapsulation of disaccharides**

We next investigated aqueous binding for common disaccharides, d-sucrose, d-lactose, d-maltose, and d-trehalose (scheme S3), and thereby, efficient encapsulation by capsule 1 was observed for only d-sucrose (2a). Upon mixing 1 (0.39 \( \mu \text{mol} \)) with 2a (2.0 \( \mu \text{mol} \)) in D_2O (0.5 ml) for 30 min at 60°C, 1:1 host-guest complex 1·2a was formed in 86% yield (Fig. 3A, right, and figs. S10 and S11). In the \(^1\text{H NMR) spectrum, all signals for the host and guest were assigned by 2D NMR studies (figs. 3B and figs. S12 to S15). The methine signals H\text{A,E-G-L} and methylene signals H\text{E, I, J, K} of encapsulated 2a were found in the range of −1.14 to 1.29 ppm due to aromatic shielding (\( \Delta \delta_{\text{max}} = −4.64 \text{ ppm} \)). Broadening of the anthracene signals H\text{c,c} of 1 (at 6.5 to 7.2 ppm) suggests restricted motion of the polyaromatic panels upon encapsulation of the relatively large guest 2a. The number of the host proton signals of 1·2a is the same as that of empty 1, indicating full inclusion of 2a in the capsule cavity. The \(^1\text{H diffusion-ordered spectroscopy (DOSY) NMR spectrum revealed the presence of a single host-guest species (Fig. 3C and fig. S16). A 1:1 host-guest composition was unambiguously confirmed by the ESI-TOF MS analysis, which showed prominent peaks at m/z values of 2041.7, 1340.5, and 989.9 assignable to \([1·2a−n\text{NO}_3]^{−}\) species (\( n = 2, 3, \) and 4, respectively; fig. 3E and fig. S17). Host-guest interactions were undetected for 1 and the other disaccharides in water (fig. S18). Furthermore, the formation of the ternary complex 1·(3a+d3d) was not observed upon combination of 1 and an equimolar mixture of 3a and d-fructose (3d) (5 eq each), which are components of 2a, even under various conditions (fig. 3A, left, and D).

To elucidate detailed host-guest interactions, we estimated theoretical binding free energies of 1·2a and the related host-guest complexes in water by comparing the solvation free energies of the saccharide guests and intermolecular host-guest interactions (42). The binding energy of 1·2a is lower than that of host-guest complexes such as 1·(d-trehalose) and 1·(d-lactose) (−10.2 and −37.2 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), respectively) and higher than that of 1·3b (11.0 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) (table S1). These results are consistent with the \(^1\text{H NMR)–binding experiments.}

In the optimized structure (Fig. 3F and figs. S19 and S20), encapsulated 2a adopts a spherical conformation in the spherical cavity of 1. The conformation is supported by the NOESY NMR analysis, where correlation signals are observed between the pyranose and furanose moieties (H\text{2-H1} and H\text{4-H5}) of 2a (fig. S13). The three CH\text{2 groups} of 2a are in close contact (<3.8 Å) with the polyaromatic panels of 1 in the optimized
structure (Fig. 3F). Thermodynamic parameters for the host-guest interactions of 1⊃2a in water were determined by a van’t Hoff plot (Fig. 3G) using temperature-dependent 1H NMR analysis (fig. S21 and table S2). The obtained positive value of entropy (8.00 cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹) and negative value of enthalpy (−1.90 kcal mol⁻¹) indicate that the encapsulation process is driven mainly by enthalpic stabilization. The binding constant was also calculated to be 1170 ± 120 M⁻¹ at 25°C (fig. S22 and table S3).

Selective recognition of D-sucrose
Nanocapsule 1 is a working sucrose receptor and selectively binds D-sucrose even from mixtures of natural disaccharides in water. To illustrate this instance, the 1⊃2a complex exclusively formed from a D₂O solution containing 1 and a mixture of 2a and D-trehalose (2b) (5 eq each) for 30 min at 60°C (Fig. 4A). The upfield region 1H NMR spectrum of the resultant solution displayed only peaks when corresponding to encapsulated 2a (Fig. 4, B and C). The host-guest complex could be isolated as a pale yellow solid (in 80% yield; Fig. 4D and fig. S23) from the aqueous solution by salting out with a grain of KNO₃. The sucrose-bound capsule 1⊃2a was selectively obtained from all competitive binding experiments with 2a and other disaccharides [that is, D-lactose (2c), D-maltose (2d), D-cellobiose (2e), and D-lactulose (2f); Fig. 4, E and F], as revealed by 1H NMR analysis (fig. S24). On the basis of the present experimental and theoretical studies, we postulate that the observed strict discrimination stems from an effective steric match and multiple CH-π interactions between the
hydrocarbon backbone of sucrose and the polyaromatic interior surface of capsule 1.

Note that the artificial cavity of capsule 1 could quantitatively and strongly bind artificial sugar substitutes such as sacralose (4a) and aspartame (4b) in water (Fig. 4, E and F, figs. S25 to S27, and scheme S4) (42, 43). The competitive encapsulation experiments revealed the binding preference in the order of 4a > 4b >>> 2a (figs. S28 and S29, and schemes S5 and S6). The binding constants of 1 toward 4a and 4b were estimated to be 24,200 and 13,000 M−1, respectively, by 1H NMR studies (fig. S27). The observed order is the same as the degree of their sweetness toward people. Artificial sugar substitutes 4a and 4b are approximately 600 and 200 times sweeter than 2a, respectively (42). Because the size and shape of 4a are comparable to those of 2a, the lower hydrophilicity of 4a, which has three hydrophobic chloro groups, as compared with that of 2a would enhance its affinity toward the hydrophobic cavity of 1.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we described the selective encapsulation of D-sucrose in water from natural disaccharide mixtures within a nonfunctionalized polyaromatic cavity of a coordination-driven molecular capsule. Unlike previous synthetic molecular receptors, which rely on multiple hydrogen bonding, covalent bonding, coordinative, or ion-dipole interaction sites, the present capsule binds D-sucrose with perfect selectivity through a combination of shape-complementary and specific CH–π (polyaromatic ring) interactions. These results expand the versatility and utility of artificial polyaromatic nanospaces for the selective recognition and isolation of complex biomolecules in water.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Chemicals**

Capsule 1 (35) and saccharide 3c (44) were synthesized according to previously reported procedures in the literature. Solvents and reagents were purchased from TCI Co. Ltd., Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd., Kanto Chemical Co. Inc., Sigma-Aldrich Co., and Cambridge Isotope Laboratories Inc.

**General**

The NMR spectra were obtained using Bruker AVANCE III 400 (400 MHz) and ASCEND-500 (500 MHz). The 1H NMR and 2D NMR spectra were measured with tetramethylsilane as the internal standard. The ESI-TOF MS data were obtained using Bruker microTOF II. The Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded using JASCO FT/IR-4200.

**Formation of 1⊃2a**

Pt capsule 1 (1.5 mg, 0.39 μmol) and d-sucrose (2a; 0.7 mg, 2.0 μmol) were added to a glass test tube containing D2O (0.5 ml). The mixture was stirred for 30 min at 60°C. The formation of 1:1 host-guest complex 1⊃2a was confirmed by NMR and ESI-TOF MS analyses (86% yield based on 1). Thermodynamic parameters of the encapsulation of 2a by capsule 1 were estimated by temperature-dependent 1H NMR analysis. The binding constants (K_b = [1⊃2a]/[1][2a]) were determined on the basis of the integral ratios of the host-guest proton signals (fig. S22 and table S3). The thermodynamic parameters (ΔH and ΔS) were calculated from ΔG values obtained at 298 to 338 K (Fig. 3G, fig. S21, and table S2) (45).

1H NMR (500 MHz, D2O, room temperature): δ −1.14 (t, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H, 2a), −0.75 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H, 2a), −0.67 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1H, 2a), −0.32 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1H, 2a), 0.04 to 0.09 (m, 2H, 2a), 0.22 (m, 1H, 2a), 0.34 to 0.45 (m, 2H, 2a), 0.62 (t, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H, 2a), 0.76 (d, J = 14 Hz, 1H, 2a), 1.29 (m, 2H, 2a), 2.43 to 2.48 (s, 2H, 1H), 3.07 (m, 16H, 1), 3.40 (s, 12H, 1), 3.88 to 4.20 (2H, 1H), 4.47 (m, 4H, 1), 4.52 (2H, 1H), 6.33 (s, 4H, 1H), 6.45 (br, 8H, 1H), 6.73 (br, 8H, 1H), 7.01 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 8H, 1), 7.15 (br, 8H, 1), 7.53 (dd, J = 9.0, 7.0 Hz, 8H, 1), 7.71 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 8H, 1), 7.77 (br, 8H, 1), 8.09 to 8.27 (m, 16H, 1), 8.32 (dd, J = 8.0, 5.5 Hz, 8H, 1), 8.54 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 8H, 1), 9.21 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 8H, 1). 1H NMR (500 MHz, D2O, 60°C): δ −1.12 (t, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H, 2a), −0.73 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H, 2a), −0.66 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1H, 2a), −0.33 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1H, 2a), 0.02 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H, 2a), 0.09 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1H, 2a), 0.19 (m, 1H, 2a), 0.29 to 0.43 (m, 3H, 2a), 0.58 (t, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H, 2a), 0.73 (d, J = 14 Hz, 1H, 2a), 1.27 (t, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H, 2a), 1.30 (s, 1H, 2a), 2.45 to 2.49 (s, 2H, 1H), 3.08 (m, 16H, 1), 4.57 to 4.58 (m, 8H, 1), 6.15 to 6.26 (s, 4H, 1), 6.34 to 6.45 (m, 8H, 1), 6.56 to 6.66 (br, 8H, 1), 6.52 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 8H, 1), 7.05 to 7.21 (br, 8H, 1), 7.48 (dd, J = 9.0, 7.0 Hz, 8H, 1), 7.65 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 8H, 1), 7.74 (d, J = 9.0, 7.0 Hz, 8H, 1), 7.95 to 8.04 (m, 8H, 1), 8.30 (dd, J = 9.5, 5.5 Hz, 8H, 1), 8.45 to 8.52 (m, 8H, 1), 9.16 to 9.20 (m, 8H, 1). 1H DOSY NMR (500 MHz, D2O, 25°C): D = 1.48 × 10−10 m2 s−1. FT-IR (KBr, cm−1): 2926, 2883, 2828, 2632, 1368, 1384, 1357, 1245, 1195, 1105, 1061, 935, 841, 782, 768, 706, 671, 639, 617. ESI-TOF MS (H2O, room temperature): m/z 2041.7 [1⊃2a − 2NO3−]2+; 1340.5 [1⊃2a − 3NO3−]3+; 989.9 [1⊃2a − 4NO3−]4+.

**Selective encapsulation of 2a by 1 from mixed disaccharides**

Pt capsule 1 (1.5 mg, 0.39 μmol), d-sucrose (2a; 0.7 mg, 2.0 μmol), and d-trehalose (2b; 0.7 mg, 2.0 μmol) were added to a glass test tube containing D2O (0.5 ml). The mixture was stirred for 30 min at 60°C. The selective formation of 1:1 host-guest complex 1⊃2a was confirmed by 1H NMR analysis. When a grain of KNO3 was added to the aqueous solution, a yellow precipitate of 1⊃2a was generated. The 1H NMR spectrum of the collected yellow solid (1.3 mg) in D2O showed that the compound 1⊃2a was synthesized according to the charge equilibration method (44).

**Theoretical calculation of host-guest complexes**

The universal force field (UFF) model (46) was used for intramolecular potential models and nonelectrostatic interactions, unless otherwise specified. The atomic charges of molecular capsule 1 (R = -OCH3) were determined by the charge equilibration method (47) implemented in the FORCITE module at the geometry of the crystal structure, whereas the atomic charges of saccharides [that is, d-sucrose (2a), d-trehalose (2b), d-lactose (2c), and pentamethylated α-d-glucopyranose (3b)] were taken from the GLYCAM06 force field (48). The geometry optimizations of all the molecules were performed with the FORCITE module of Materials Studio and the Sander module of an Amber14 suite (49). The 10-ns-long annealing simulations of the hydroxy groups on the saccharides from 1000 to 10 K were performed to optimize their orientations before the full-geometry optimizations with the Sander module. The final optimized structures of the molecular capsule and the saccharides were obtained by performing the geometry optimizations implemented in the FORCITE program (fig. S19). Relative binding energies were evaluated with gas-phase binding energies and solvation energies of the saccharides in aqueous solutions on the assumption that differences in solvation energies between the host-guest complexes with the different saccharides are negligible. Given that
The capsular hosts isolated the sugars from bulk water environment, and conformations of the host frameworks in contact with the bulk water molecules were not greatly altered upon the encapsulations, the solvation energies of the host-guest complexes with the different saccharides can be expected to be almost identical. First, the gas-phase binding energies were calculated with the UFF model. Then, the solvation free energies, ΔG_solv, were calculated by the finite-difference linearized Poisson-Boltzmann method for electrostatic contributions and the solvent-accessible surface area model for nonpolar contributions (50), both of which were implemented in the Amber14 suite. We used default values except for a grid spacing of 0.1 Å and the atomic radii of the modified Bondi ones (51). The molecular dynamics simulation was performed with the modified pmemd module in the Amber14 suite (49), where the intramolecular geometries were fixed at their optimized structures and the capsule and saccharides were treated as a rigid body (52). We used the cubic unit cell of the 44.31 Å edge length, which contained capsule 1 (R = -OCH₃), 2a, and 2723 TIP3P (transferable intermolecular potential with 3 points) waters (fig. S20).
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