Bioinspired bright noniridescent photonic melanin supraballs
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Structural colors enable the creation of a spectrum of nonfading colors without pigments, potentially replacing toxic metal oxides and conjugated organic pigments. However, significant challenges remain to achieve the contrast needed for a complete gamut of colors and a scalable process for industrial application. We demonstrate a feasible solution for producing structural colors inspired by bird feathers. We have designed core-shell nanoparticles using high–refractive index (RI) (~1.74) melanin cores and low-RI (~1.45) silica shells. The design of these nanoparticles was guided by finite-difference time-domain simulations. These nanoparticles were self-assembled using a one-pot reverse emulsion process, which resulted in bright and noniridescent supraballs. With the combination of only two ingredients, synthetic melanin and silica, we can generate a full spectrum of colors. These supraballs could be directly added to paints, plastics, and coatings and also used as ultraviolet-resistant inks or cosmetics.

INTRODUCTION
In the colorful world in which we live, colors are significant not only for aesthetics and pleasure but also for communication, signaling, and security. Colors are produced through either absorption of light by molecules (pigmentary colors) or scattering of light by nanostructures (structural colors) (1). Structural colors are superior to pigmentary colors in many ways, because of their tunability, resistance to (photo or chemical) bleaching, and reduced dependence on toxic materials. Many recent studies have demonstrated the use of self-assembly to produce photonic crystals that generate colors across the visible spectrum (2). However, we still face significant challenges. Many traditional structural colors are iridescent and thus are not useful for wide-angle displays. Recent examples of noniridescent structural colors lack sufficient color saturation in the absence of absorbing materials (carbon black, gold nanoparticles, or black polyprrole) to reduce incoherent scattering (3–7). Core-shell nanoparticles with a shell refractive index (RI) similar to water have been used to tune the spacing between cores to achieve optimal scattering for noniridescent colors, but only in solution (8, 9). Although both bottom-up and top-down methods have been widely used (10–12), there is a demand for a scalable process for mass production of structural colors.

Nature provides many spectacular examples of structural colors, such as green-winged teal (Anas crecca) wing feathers that use hexagonal nonclose-packed melanosomes (13) and wild turkey (Meleagris gallopavo) feathers with hollow, high-RI contrast melanosomes that brighten feather colors (Fig. 1A) (14, 15). These examples inspire the design of core-shell synthetic melanin nanoparticles (CS-SMNPs) described here, for the production of bright structural colors. Further driven by the demand for scalable production of structural colors, we have developed a facile one-pot reverse emulsion process to assemble CS-SMNPs into bright and noniridescent photonic supraballs. The use of melanin as the core material can increase the brightness and saturation of supraballs because of its unique combination of high RI and broadband absorption of light. In addition, melanin is biocompatible and can dissipate almost 90% of the ultraviolet (UV) radiation into heat within a nanosecond (16, 17), making those melanin-based supraballs suitable for cosmetics or UV-resistant inks.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
To design an optimal core-shell morphology for producing colors, we first used the FDTD (finite-difference time-domain) method to calculate the theoretical reflectance spectra (normal incidence) from the (111) plane of the most common photonic crystal with a face-centered cubic (FCC) packing composed of core-shell nanoparticles and homogeneous nanoparticles (see the Supplementary Materials for details). Relative to the lattice of homogeneous nanoparticles, the lattice of core-shell nanoparticles with high-RI cores and low-RI shells shows the maximum intensity at a similar wavelength but has higher reflectance (Fig. 1B). In contrast, the reverse core-shell structure consisting of low-RI cores and high-RI shells has a much lower reflectance than the lattice of homogeneous nanoparticles. These findings are consistent with previously reported photonic bandgap calculations (18). By varying the ratio of core-to-total radius, we find the highest reflectance (~130% relative to homogeneous nanoparticles) for high-RI core/low-RI shell structure when the core radius is ~60% of the radius of the whole core-shell nanoparticle. The lowest reflectance (~52% relative to homogeneous nanoparticles) for core-shell nanoparticles with low-RI cores is obtained when the core radius is ~80% of the whole core-shell nanoparticle (Fig. 1C). On the basis of these results, we designed nanoparticles with high-RI cores and low-RI shells to obtain higher reflectance and brighter colors.

We chose synthetic melanin as the core material because it has an unusual combination of high RI (~1.74) and broadband absorption in the visible spectral region that reduces incoherent scattering and thereby enhances color purity (19). We used silica (RI, ~1.45) as the...
low-RI shell and used a sol-gel reaction to coat it onto synthetic melanin cores, producing CS-SMNPs (Fig. 2A). We used synthetic melanin cores with diameters from 120 to 160 nm and tuned the coated shell thickness from 36 to 66 nm by adjusting the reaction time and sol-gel precursor concentration (table S1). In Fig. 2B, the core diameter of 160 ± 7 nm was kept constant, and the shell thickness was changed from 0 to 66 nm (for example, core diameter/shell thickness values of 160/0, 160/36, and 160/66 nm). By serving an analogous role to keratin in teal feathers, the shell helps to control the spacing between melanin nanoparticles.
We used a simple water-in-oil reverse emulsion template method to assemble CS-SMNPs into micrometer-sized supraballs (51 ± 14 μm) (Fig. 2C) (20, 21). No surfactant molecules were used to stabilize the emulsion, and the transient stable emulsion droplets were formed upon shear mixing. The oil phase 1-octanol absorbed small amounts of water (20) and helped to reduce the amount of water in the aqueous phase containing CS-SMNPs. This process slowly removed the water and helped packing of CS-SMNPs into well-ordered supraballs. These supraballs produce a full spectrum of colors depending on the sizes of CS-SMNPs (Fig. 2D). This one-pot process is carried out at room temperature without additional posttreatment to remove water, and the supraballs can be easily separated by centrifugation. This process has a clear advantage over other emulsion-like processes used to produce colorful supraballs that require microwaves or heat to remove water (22–24). In contrast to microfluidic approaches, the reverse emulsion method is also easily scalable to produce larger quantities of supraball particles (5, 9, 25).

We investigated supraballs consisting of four types of nanoparticles. Under the stereomicroscope (mostly collecting scattering light), supraballs made of CS-SMNPs (160/36 and 160/66 nm) show highly visible olive and red colors, whereas supraballs made of 160/0-nm CS-SMNPs appear almost black (Fig. 3A). As a control, supraballs made of pure silica nanoparticles (224 ± 16 nm) display whitish cyan color. The reflectance spectra for individual supraballs contain one dominant peak in the visible spectral range located at ~430, ~540, and ~660 nm for supraballs composed of 160/0-, 160/36-, and 160/66-nm CS-SMNPs, respectively (Fig. 3B). The small variation in the curves from 12 different sizes of supraballs suggests that supraball size has no obvious influence on color. The reflectance intensity of a single supraball increases with the thickness of the silica shell via reduced absorption by CS-SMNPs (fig. S1). The reflectance spectrum for silica particles has a dominant peak near 465 nm and is superimposed by a high-intensity, broad background signal that leads to a whitish color. This broad background is due to higher incoherent scattering (24). The increase in light absorption and reduction in incoherent scattering of CS-SMNPs produce more saturated colors that are visible to the naked eye. In addition, these colors are noniridescent, with clear advantages in applications such as wide-angle photonic inks (Fig. 3C and movie S1).

We used electron microscopy to investigate the mechanistic basis of these colors. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) results show that supraballs are spherical and composed of close-packed nanoparticles (Fig. 4A). High-resolution SEM images and two-dimensional fast Fourier transform power spectra reveal that the nanoparticles are quasi-ordered on the supraball outer surfaces (Fig. 4B). The quasi-ordered packing helps to reduce the iridescence observed in well-ordered crystalline supraballs (26). The spherical geometry of supraballs also leads to noniridescent colors (5). Cross-sectional TEM images show that supraballs are solid and filled with close-packed nanoparticles (Fig. 4C and fig. S2). This solid morphology likely prevents the supraballs from collapsing.

We compared our empirical results with theoretical predictions of colors of melanin-based supraballs using FDTD simulations. We modeled a flat FCC photonic crystal consisting of six layers and calculated the normal reflectance from the (111) crystal plane without considering the curvature of the supraball surface. To consider the absorption of melanin, we used the RI and extinction coefficient of SMNPs.
reported in our previous publication (fig. S3A) (19). Incorporation of absorption terms in the simulation does not shift the peak position but only reduces the reflectance intensity to a different extent, depending on the volume ratio of melanin cores (fig. S3B). The calculated spectra for the supraballs contain a maximum peak position at ~440, ~550, and ~670 nm for 160/0-, 160/36-, and 160/66-nm CS-SMNPs, respectively (Fig. 3D). These predictions are in close agreement with the experimental measurements shown in Fig. 3B. The simulated spectra appear narrower than the experimental measurements because we use a flat perfect photonic crystal in our calculations, which is a simplified model for the structure we have in our experiments. However, the agreement with the maximum peak position indicates that this simple model is able to capture the origin of colors of these supraball structures.

Analogous to tuning pigmentary colors by mixing two types of pigments, we used the same reverse emulsion process to assemble CS-SMNPs with binary sizes (same core diameter but different shell thicknesses) into supraballs. Mixing pure SMNPs and CS-SMNPs with different shell thicknesses at a mass ratio of 1:1 resulted in a purple color similar to those produced by pure SMNP supraballs (Fig. 5, A and B, and fig. S4A, spectra). Both the SEM images of supraball outer surfaces and cross-sectional TEM images of supraballs demonstrate that only pure SMNPs segregate to the surfaces after mixing with CS-SMNPs (Fig. 5, A and B). However, mixing two sizes of CS-SMNPs with a 1:1 ratio by mass results in an orange color (Fig. 5C and fig. S4B), and nanoparticles of both sizes of CS-SMNPs are randomly mixed at the surface and in the bulk (fig. S5). The differences in blending and segregation of nanoparticles can be explained by the higher affinity of melanin than silica to the oil-water interface. Therefore, the addition of different ratios of CS-SMNPs enables us to tune colors without synthesizing new CS-SMNPs of different shell thicknesses (Fig. 5D and fig. S6, spectra).

To understand the color blending effect, we used the inverse of normalized transport mean free path \( A = (k_{0}d)^{-1} \) to calculate the scattering intensity of supraballs made of 160/36- and 160/66-nm CS-SMNPs (details are provided in the Supplementary Materials) (27, 28). Compared with the model that assumes only independent scattering (simple summation of Mie scattering), the scattering model based on short-range order not only better captures the features of measured spectra but also predicts the color change with the variation in the mixing ratio of binary CS-SMNPs (fig. S7). Although the model considering the short-range order cannot precisely predict the reflectance peak positions, it suggests that the interference effect from the short-range order is critical for the color production in supraballs made of mixed CS-SMNPs.

**CONCLUSION**

Inspired synergistically by nonclose packing of melanosomes in teal feathers and hollow melanosomes in turkey feathers, as well as theoretical FDTD modeling, we have designed CS-SMNPs that can self-assemble into micrometer-sized colorful supraballs through a one-pot, scalable reverse emulsion process. This control of spacing leads to supraballs with tunable colors across the entire visible spectrum. The structure of high-RI cores and low-RI shells increases reflectance to produce brighter colors. The use of melanin is critical to the success of this strategy because it provides the required RI contrast between the cores and the shells and the broad absorption that helps to enhance the color saturation by absorbing incoherent scattering. In addition to all the optical merits of using CS-SMNPs, the reverse emulsion method to fabricate supraballs is simple, fast, and easily scalable. Similar to mixing pigmentary colors, one can match a desired color by simply mixing binary CS-SMNPs. Therefore, this novel
two-component strategy, melanin and silica, has the potential to revolutionize the use of structural colors in place of toxic organic- and metal-based pigments.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Characterization of nanostructures in bird feathers**

We obtained iridescent wild turkey (*M. gallopavo*) breast feathers and green-winged teal (*A. crecca*) wing feathers from the University of Akron collection. We followed our previous protocol to prepare barbule cross sections for TEM (29). Briefly, we dehydrated cut feathers using 100% ethanol and infiltrated them with 15, 50, 70, and 100% EMbed 812 resin (Electron Microscopy Sciences) every 24 hours. Next, we placed EMbed 812 resin and samples into block molds and cured them at 60°C overnight. We trimmed the blocks and cut 80-nm-thick sections on a microtome (Leica UC6, Leica Microsystems GmbH). Sections were placed onto copper grids for TEM imaging (JEM-1230, JEOL Ltd.).

**Synthesis and characterizations of CS-SMNPs**

We first synthesized pure SMNPs by oxidative polymerization of dopamine molecules (Sigma-Aldrich) under base environment, following the procedure described in our previous work (19), and then deposited a silica shell (SiO2) on the surface of SMNPs via the modified Stöber method (30). Typically, SMNPs (3.25 mg) were first dispersed in a mixture of 5-ml 2-propanol and 0.88-ml deionized water using an ultrasonic method followed by magnetic stirring. Then, 125 μl of ammonia solution (NH4OH; 28 to 30%) was added and stirred for 10 min. We controlled the amount of tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) and hydrolysis reaction time to regulate the SiO2 shell thickness (see details in table S1). Finally, CS-SMNPs were collected by centrifuge, washed three times with deionized water, and redispersed in deionized water for use in supraball preparation.

To examine the core-shell morphology and particle distributions, we drop-casted CS-SMNPs onto a carbon-coated copper grid for TEM (JEM-1230, JEOL Ltd.). We measured around 40 CS-SMNPs using ImageJ to obtain particle size and SD. We also measured the UV-visible (UV-vis) absorption of aqueous solutions of CS-SMNPs and solid silica particles using a UV-1800 UV-vis spectrometer (Shimadzu Corporation).

**Supraball preparation**

Typically, a solution of 30-μl aqueous CS-SMNPs with a concentration of 30 mg/ml was added to 1-ml anhydrous 1-octanol (Sigma-Aldrich). The water-in-oil emulsion formed rapidly using a digital vortex (Genie 2, Scientific Industries) at a shaking speed of 1600 rpm for 2 min. The shaking speed was then reduced to 1000 rpm for 3 min when supraballs were formed upon shrinking of the aqueous droplets, with water dissolving into the oil phase (20). After supraball sediments settled down, we removed most of the supernatant (0.9 ml) to concentrate the supraballs. Colorful supraballs were obtained by removing the 1-octanol at 60°C. In this method, it was also important to make the...
glass vials hydrophobic so that aqueous droplets did not adhere to them and break upon contact. We coated an octadecyltrimethoxysilane (OTS) self-assembled monolayer (SAM) onto glass vials following a modified protocol (31). We added 2–volume % OTS toluene solution into dry and clean glass vials and degassed for 15 min before tightly closing the cap. After 16 hours at room temperature, we rinsed the vials three times with toluene and ethanol. Finally, the vials were annealed at 120°C under vacuum for 2 hours. To quantify whether OTS SAM was successfully grown onto glass vial, we put a clean glass slide inside the vial during the OTS growth and measured the contact angle of the glass slide (water contact angle, 112° ± 0.6°; fig. S8).

Supraball characterization

The dried supraballs were imaged under a Leica M80 stereo microscope (Leica Microsystems), and we used high-density Teflon tape (TaegaTech) as a white balance. The microscope contained light-emitting diode lights as the source and was connected to a Leica DMC 4500 camera. The reflectance spectrum of individual supraballs was measured using a CRAIC AX10 UV–vis–near-infrared microspectrophotometer (CRAIC Technologies Inc.), with a 75-W xenon short-arc lamp (Ushio UXL75XE) as a light source. We averaged spectra from 12 supraballs and calculated the SD using pavo package in R programming software (32). To investigate whether the colors of supraballs are angle-independent, we deposited thick films of supraballs and measured the scattering spectra from different angles using an AvaSpec spectrometer, with a xenon light source (Avantes Inc.) attached to a custom-built goniometer (Fig. 3C).

The nanostructure of supraball surfaces was characterized using a field-emission SEM (JEOL-7401, JEOL Ltd.). To investigate the inner structure of supraballs, we dispersed powders of supraballs into EMBed 812 resin in block molds and cured them at 60°C for 16 hours. The hard blocks were trimmed to a sharp trapezoidal tip using a Leica EM microtome for TEM.
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