DRUG DEVELOPMENT

Identification and prioritization of novel anti-*Wolbachia* chemotypes from screening a 10,000-compound diversity library

Kelly L. Johnston,[1]* Darren A. N. Cook,[1]* Neil G. Berry,[2]* W. David Hong,[2]* Rachel H. Clare,[1] Megan Goddard,[1] Louise Ford,[1] Gemma L. Nixon,[2] Paul M. O'Neill,[2] Stephen A. Ward,[1] Mark J. Taylor[1]†

Lymphatic filariasis and onchocerciasis are two important neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) that cause severe disability. Control efforts are hindered by the lack of a safe macrofilaricidal drug. Targeting the *Wolbachia* bacterial endosymbionts in these parasites with doxycycline leads to a macrofilaricidal outcome, but protracted treatment regimens and contraindications restrict its widespread implementation. The Anti-*Wolbachia* consortium aims to develop improved anti-*Wolbachia* drugs to overcome these barriers. We describe the first screening of a large, diverse compound library against *Wolbachia*. This whole-organism screen, streamlined to reduce bottlenecks, produced a hit rate of 0.5%. Chemoinformatic analysis of the top 50 hits led to the identification of six structurally diverse chemotypes, the disclosure of which could offer interesting avenues of investigation to other researchers active in this field. An example of hit-to-lead optimization is described to further demonstrate the potential of developing these high-quality hit series as safe, efficacious, and selective anti-*Wolbachia* macrofilaricides.

INTRODUCTION

The *Wolbachia* endosymbiont of filarial nematodes is a validated chemotherapeutic target for the treatment of lymphatic filariasis (*1–7*) and onchocerciasis (*8–11*). These debilitating neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) affect more than 150 million people, and although control programs have made great strides in reducing prevalence in endemic countries (*12, 13*), progress toward elimination is hampered by the lack of a safe drug capable of killing adult worms (*14, 15*). Targeting the *Wolbachia* bacteria within filarial nematodes using antibiotics offers the desired macrofilaricidal activity because the nematodes rely on their endosymbionts for their development, fecundity, and survival (*16*). Furthermore, an anti-*Wolbachia* mode of action provides an excellent safety profile due to the protracted death of adult worms. Such a mechanism has no effect on the *Wolbachia*-negative *Loa loa* parasites that can cause serious adverse events in some coinfected individuals treated with the standard anti-filarial drug ivermectin (*17*). Doxycycline has been proven effective as an anti-*Wolbachia* macrofilaricidal agent, but its long course of treatment (4 to 6 weeks) and contraindications in pregnancy and young children restrict its widespread use. The Anti-*Wolbachia* (A·WOL) consortium was formed with the aim of finding a new anti-*Wolbachia* treatment with a shorter treatment duration (ideally less than 7 days) and utility in pregnant women and children (*18, 19*).

The A·WOL consortium has a drug discovery and development pipeline that has been refined over time to optimize the translation of compounds from preclinical models to the clinic (*18*). The initial step in the pipeline was to screen compounds using a cell-based screen incorporating a *Wolbachia*-infected *Aedes albopictus* cell line [C6/36 (*w*AlbB)]. This validated screen was used to screen the complete human pharmacopoeia (*20*) and a variety of focused antimicrobial libraries (*18*). Although hits have been discovered from these screens, some of which have progressed further down the pipeline, the diversity in the chemical space covered thus far has been fairly limited. To explore greater chemical diversity, with the aim of driving the discovery of novel compounds with activity against *Wolbachia*, we chemoinformatically selected 10,000 compounds from the BioFocus SoftFocus small-molecule libraries. The rationale and successes of the SoftFocus screening approach have been described elsewhere (*21*), whereas hits from these libraries against malaria (*22–28*) and tuberculosis (*29*) have been identified and published previously. Here, we describe the first large diverse screen to be conducted against a validated chemotherapeutic target of filarial nematodes and report on six structurally diverse active chemotypes, one of which was optimized to provide a quality lead compound with a potential for further refinement toward a clinical candidate.

RESULTS

Assay development

To optimize our existing validated screening assay (*20*) for increasing throughput and capacity, we made a number of adaptations that are detailed in Supplementary Materials and Methods. Briefly, all aspects of the screening workflow were scrutinized in terms of scalability by conducting pilot experiments using a small selection of BioFocus compound plates. The main improvements made were to the cell toxicity readout and the quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) reagents, both of which reduced the screening time without affecting assay robustness. All amendments to the published protocol were validated using the analysis of *Z* and *Z'* statistical parameters, and the subsequent primary screening of the library was completed in less than 1 year, an order of magnitude increase in our screening efficiency.

Hits from primary screen

The 10,000 compounds from the BioFocus SoftFocus libraries were screened against *Wolbachia* using the validated A·WOL cell-based assay (Fig. 1). We incubated C6/36 (*w*AlbB) cells with compounds for 9 days and performed qPCR on a *Wolbachia* single copy gene to analyze reductions in *Wolbachia* load. Doxycycline and vehicle [dimethyl sulfoxide

[1]Research Centre for Drugs and Diagnostics, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Pembroke Place, Liverpool L3 5QA, UK. [2]Department of Chemistry, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZD, UK.
*These authors contributed equally to this work.
†Corresponding author. Email: mark.taylor@lstmed.ac.uk

Fig. 1. Primary screening workflow. The graphics and text demonstrate a typical screening run involving a batch of four compound plates (216 compounds), with each plate representing one color. Compounds, as well as vehicle (DMSO) and doxycycline controls (shown as hatched areas), were used in triplicate. Following a 9-day incubation, plates were scored for cytotoxicity using microscopy, followed by cell lysis and DNA extraction. qPCR targeting the 16S rRNA gene of *Wolbachia* was conducted, and data were subjected to quality control using Z′ statistical analysis. *Wolbachia* 16S log reductions ("log drop") were calculated per compound using in-plate DMSO controls, and those that were considered hits moved into confirmation screening. A more detailed description of this workflow is presented in Supplementary Materials and Methods.

(DMSO)] were used as internal controls on each plate and direct cellular toxicity was assessed through the scoring of confluence using microscopy (Fig. 1). Hits were defined as those compounds that reduced the *Wolbachia* 16S copy number by 1 log or greater (≥1 log drop), without evidence of cytotoxicity to the *A. albopictus* cell line (a reduction in cell confluence of <50%, as scored by microscopy). These stringent criteria were implemented to best optimize our ability to triage hits for follow-up, given the size of the library and the throughput of our assay. Initial screening using a concentration of 2.5 μg/ml resulted in 174 primary hits (Fig. 2). Overall, the proportion of compounds producing direct toxicity to the cell line was 3.6%. Hits were rescreened at the same concentration, resulting in 112 reconfirmed hits (confirmation rate of 64%).

Hit validation
Of the 112 confirmed hits, 71 were available to buy as dry stocks and to be used in the validation process. As the original compound plates were provided as 10-mg/ml stocks, the final molar concentrations used in the primary screen fell within the range of 3.97 to 14.75 μM, with a mean of 6.65 μM and a median of 6.68 μM. We conducted the validation testing using a standard concentration of 5 μM on two separate occasions. Toxicity was quantified on replicate plates using the CellTiter-Glo assay. Hits were confirmed as those compounds that reduced the *Wolbachia* 16S copy number by 0.5 log or greater while maintaining a CellTiter-Glo readout of 70% or greater than the vehicle-treated control wells. In this way, 50 hits were identified and progressed into chemoinformatic analysis (Fig. 2).

Chemoinformatic analysis
Chemoinformatic techniques can assist the drug discovery process by viewing, organizing, and understanding relationships between measured biological data and molecular properties (30) to make quicker, more accurate decisions. Using these chemoinformatics techniques, the 50 validated hits were profiled using the parameters listed in table S1 to identify the optimal chemotypes to enter a hit-to-lead phase. An ideal chemotype chosen for hit-to-lead development would be potent, display some initial structure-activity relationship (SAR) and

Fig. 2. Screening cascade from primary screening to identification of lead series. Circles show the numbers of compounds resulting from each step in the pipeline (shown in boxes).

Fig. 3. Chemical space depiction of the 50 validated hits. The first two principle components (PC1 and PC2) together account for 36.9% of the overall variance of the chemistry of these 50 compounds using molecular fingerprints (PathFp). The six distinct chemotypes show clustering in chemical space. The size of each data point is proportional to the total score for that compound (maximum score, 30).

ideally be lead/drug-like in pharmacokinetic, physiochemical, and structural parameters. Full details of the filtering procedure are included in Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Cluster analysis

Cluster analysis on the 50 hit compounds using molecular fingerprints (31) and their representation within chemical space using principal component analysis (PCA) (32) revealed six main clusters, with the other compounds being identified as singletons (Fig. 3). This clustering in chemical space was confirmed by inspection of the maximal common substructure of the clustered hits.

Following approaches from the analysis performed on the outputs from the antimalarial high-throughput screening completed

by GlaxoSmithKline (33), we have used a procedure to assign a "selection score" to each compound, with the maximum score being 30. We aimed to identify the best compounds and series, and to this end, we focused on compound potency, lipophilicity, number of compounds in the cluster, and molecular weight (see Supplementary Materials and Methods for details on how the scores were assigned to each compound's properties). This analysis revealed that the six clusters identified all contained multiple compounds above our score threshold of 15 (Fig. 3).

The chemically distinct clusters displayed an initial SAR (that is, they were not singletons) and had micromolar to nanomolar potency and acceptable lipophilicity with low molecular weights. The six hit series are summarized in Table 1 and include Thienopyrimidines

Table 1. Cluster analysis of the 50 hits together with the core structures of the six clusters identified.

Cluster no., name and generic structure	Number of active/inactive compounds	Average log drop of actives ± SD
1. Thienopyrimidine	11/143	0.73 ± 0.41
2. Imidazo[4,5-c]pyridine	5/202	0.89 ± 0.40
3. Oxazepinone	7/329	0.96 ± 0.46
4. Imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine	6/849	0.94 ± 0.35
5. Pyrrolopyridine	14/371	0.57 ± 0.29
6. Oxazole imidazolidinone	2/126	1.50 ± 0.04

(series 1), Imidazo[4,5-c]pyridines (series 2), Oxazepinones (series 3), Imidazo[1,2-a]pyridines (series 4), Pyrrolopyridines (series 5), and Oxazole imidazolidinones (series 6). Pareto analysis was performed to identify compound clusters with the best overall profile (34), considering the compounds' potency, in silico toxicity, and ADME (absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion) properties. Pareto optimization allows simultaneous optimization of many properties (for example,

biological and physiochemical) through multiparameter optimization (35). This approach allows trade-offs between sometimes competing objectives to be made, resulting in a better compound profile overall. This approach is in contrast to historical drug discovery that focused on potency and led to many late-stage failures due to poor ADMET (absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion, and toxicity) and/or physiochemical properties (36). Our analysis ranked all six series equally highly, giving us

confidence in the quality of the hit series for subsequent lead optimization (see Supplementary Materials and Methods).

During the screening and analysis of this compound set, we were in the process of developing a high-content imaging (Operetta, PerkinElmer) assay (37). This assay enables dose-response studies to be conducted and median effective concentration (EC_{50}) values to be estimated (data not obtainable using the qPCR technique). We used this Operetta-based assay to titrate the activity of selected hits and generate EC_{50} values. The EC_{50} range produced by the six hit chemotypes was 112 to 5681 nM (Table 2). At the same time, some of the selected hits were also assessed for their drug metabolism and pharmacokinetic (DMPK) properties, including lipophilicity, aqueous solubility, and metabolic stability in vitro.

In the Thienopyrimidine series (series 1), representative compound 1 has good potency, low logD, and a molecular weight of 358. The metabolic stability is poor, but this could be rectified by the manipulation of metabolically susceptible groups within the starting point (dimethoxy ring system and benzylic linker). An additional attractive feature of this template is the modular nature of the chemistry for template syntheses, where simple S_NAr reactions of 2,4-dichloro thienopyridine with suitable aromatic and aliphatic amines can be used to provide an array of diversely functionalized analogs.

The Imidazo[4,5-c]pyridine series representative compound 2 had all-around excellent physicochemical properties, metabolic stability, and acceptable solubility. With the lowest molecular weight of the entire series, this molecule looks attractive for hit-to-lead optimization focused on potency. Chemistry for the synthesis of analogs in this series is straightforward, allowing manipulation of the 2- and 4- positions of the imidazo[4,5-c]pyridine core. In the Oxazepinone series, representative compound 3 has good potency and physicochemical properties. Metabolic stability appears to be the key parameter for optimization

in this hit series, and although the logD was measured at 2.8, the overall solubility of this representative was poor (2 μM).

The most potent hit 4, an imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine, has a selection score of 26 and, as seen for series representative 3, has weak metabolic stability. Improving the metabolic stability will be the primary hit optimization challenge for this series. In silico prediction of metabolic weak points in this hit compound identified the A- and D-rings and the benzylic linkers, so medicinal chemistry focused on mitigation of oxidative attack at these positions should be considered. In the remaining two hit series, although the pyrrolopyridine analog 5 and oxazole imidazolidinone 6 had similar selection scores, series 5 was considered to be superior based on microsomal stability, measured solubility, and low logD. As for the other series, modular chemistry elaboration of 5 is possible through S_NAr reactions (of an appropriate 6-chloro analog) for diversifying the left-hand side of the hit molecule (6-position of the pyrrolopyridine core) and through the Suzuki reaction for elaboration of the right-hand side (2-position of the pyrrolopyridine core).

Hit to lead
Given the potency and synthetic tractability of series 1 and the identification of the metabolic weak spots, we initiated medicinal chemistry focused on the optimization of the DMPK properties (while maintaining or improving the anti-Wolbachia activity) to establish early leads for further development. Upon inspection of molecule 1, the electron-rich nature of the dimethoxy aromatic ring, the benzylic linker and the 6-position of the thieno[3,2-d]pyrimidine-2,4-diamine were considered as potential points of P450-mediated oxidative metabolism. In addition to addressing the metabolic stability of this hit, it was also considered necessary to reduce the lipophilicity and the molecular weight in the hit-to-lead optimization phase. Through iterative rounds of medicinal chemistry optimization, we were able to show that by incorporating a

Table 2. Measured EC_{50} value and DMPK properties for representatives from six identified hit clusters. MW, molecular weight.

Representative structure						
BioFocus code	790_4020_0354	729_2047_0052_0263	853_6001_0174	310_5632_5837_8158_0236	809_2679_5837_0109	894_7990_4021
Chemical class/series number	Thienopyrimidine (1)	Imidazo[4,5-c]pyridine (2)	Oxazepinone (3)	Imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine (4)	Pyrrolopyridine (5)	Oxazole imidazolidinone (6)
M. W. (g/mol)	358.5	292.4	407.5	448.5	347.4	458.4
EC_{50} ± SD (nM)	328 ± 55	847 ± 12	670 ± 9	112 ± 19	353 ± 77	5681 ± 756
$LogD_{7.4}$	3.7	4.1	2.8	4.1	3.2	3.9
Solubility (μM)*	113	83	2	11	408	4
Measured human* microsome clearance (μl/min/mg)	112	17.5	98	300	61	90
Rat hepatocytes clearance* (μl/min/1×10^6 cells)	300	21	102	300	21	109
Selection score†	27	24	26	26	25	24

*Acceptable and desired ranges of measured parameters: EC_{50}, <1000 nM (acceptable) and <100 nM (desired); $logD_{7.4}$, <5 (acceptable) and <3 (desired); aqueous solubility, >20 μM (acceptable) and >50 μM (desired); human microsome and rat hepatocytes clearance, <70 μl min^{-1} mg^{-1} and <70 μl min^{-1} per 1 × 10^6 cells (acceptable) and <20 μl min^{-1} mg^{-1} and <20 μl min^{-1} per 1 × 10^6 cells (desired). †Note that selection score was based solely on potency, logP, the number of compounds in cluster, and molecular weight, as discussed in the main text.

Screening hit
Molecular weight = 359 g/mol
$\text{Log}D_{7.4}$ = 3.7
Anti-*Wolbachia* EC_{50} = 328 nM
Aq. solubility = 113 µM
H. Mic. Cl. = 112 µl/min/mg
R. Hep. Cl. >300 µl/min/1×10⁶ cells

AWB124
Molecular weight = 366 g/mol
$\text{Log}D_{7.4}$ = 4.5
Anti-*Wolbachia* EC_{50} = 29 nM
Aq. solubility = 3.7 µM
H. Mic. Cl. = 70 µl/min/mg
R. Hep. Cl. = 76 µl/min/1×10⁶ cells
Cytotoxicity (HepG2) IC_{50} = 55 µM

AWG117
Molecular weight = 310 g/mol
$\text{Log}D_{7.4}$ = 2.6
Anti-*Wolbachia* EC_{50} = 47 nM
Aq. solubility = 86 µM
H. Mic. Cl. = 15 µl/min/mg
R. Hep. Cl. = 32 µl/min/1×10⁶ cells
Cytotoxicity (THP-1) IC_{50} > 50 µM

Fig. 4. Progression of series 1 thienopyrimidine from screening hit to early lead.

strong electron-withdrawing group in the 4-position of the benzylic side chain, we could significantly improve the anti-*Wolbachia* activity and metabolic stability. **AWB124** was established as an early lead in the Thienopyrimidine series, with relatively low molecular weight, excellent potency, significantly improved DMPK properties, and good safety window (Fig. 4). Further optimization was focused on the trifluorophenyl ring side chain of **AWB124** and modification/truncation of the side chain at the 2-position of the thienopyrimidine ring. We found that the 2-position *N*-isopropylamine is not essential for anti-*Wolbachia* activity, and by conversion of the trifluorophenyl ring into the corresponding pyridyl ring system, the resulting molecule **AWG117** was obtained. **AWG117** expressed nanomolar anti-*Wolbachia* activity, had significantly lower lipophilicity ($\text{log}D_{7.4}$ = 2.6) compared to **AWB124** ($\text{log}D_{7.4}$ = 4.5), and had much improved microsomal stability and aqueous solubility. Cytotoxicity evaluation in THP-1 cells indicated low cytotoxicity with a very good in vitro therapeutic index. This lead compound is now primed for additional lead optimization toward a candidate molecule.

DISCUSSION

Here, we describe the first large-scale diversity screen against *Wolbachia*, a validated target of the filarial nematodes responsible for the NTDs lymphatic filariasis and onchocerciasis. We identified and validated 50 hits that could be clustered into six unique chemotypes with the potential for onward drug development. This is the first diversity screen ever performed against these NTDs and one that has already delivered chemical novelty and diversity into what is currently a relatively narrow and mechanistically restricted drug portfolio.

The drug discovery landscape for helminth diseases in general has been moribund for a long time, with most drugs for nematode infec-

tions of humans coming via the much less neglected veterinary market (*38*). There is an urgent need for an effective macrofilaricide to treat lymphatic filariasis and onchocerciasis, and proof-of-concept clinical trials (*1–11*) have demonstrated that targeting *Wolbachia* provides this action with an excellent safety profile. The success of the A·WOL approach has been validated because compounds discovered as active in the primary cell-based screen (*20*) have progressed through the A·WOL screening funnel and a selection (including minocycline, rifampicin, and the tylosin analog TylAMac) have been either tested in clinical trials (*39*, *40*) or selected for clinical candidate development (www.dndi.org/ diseases-projects/portfolio/macro-filaricide-2/). These repurposed anti-infective compounds were sourced either from currently registered drugs on the market (*20*) or from focused anti-infective libraries provided by pharmaceutical companies working on drug discovery programs for other pathogens (*18*, *19*). Therefore, the six structurally diverse chemotypes described here represent the first foray into the unknown for A·WOL drug discovery and, hence, offer exciting avenues of drug discovery research.

We have conducted the first large-scale diverse screening campaign against *Wolbachia* and validated 50 hits. A range of molecular properties were assessed to see whether they correlated with delivering the one log drop in bacterial load desired of a hit compound. Analysis of molecular weight, CLogP, number of rotatable bonds, number of hydrogen bond donors and acceptors, and polar surface area for both the 9950 inactive molecules and the 50 validated hits demonstrated that there is no clear trend for any of these molecular parameters in determining biological activity (fig. S1), thereby underscoring the benefits of examining diverse compound sets, with a broad range of molecular characteristics, against phenotype in the search for novel active compounds.

Through chemoinformatic and cluster analysis, six novel chemotypes were identified and assessed for various drug-related properties in silico. In addition, the most potent representatives determined by

their measured EC_{50} values from each series were also assessed for their DMPK properties in vitro to evaluate their suitability for further development in the pipeline. We have demonstrated the tractability of one of the series by performing hit-to-lead optimization through the use of well-considered medicinal chemistry optimization strategies focused on enhancing metabolic stability.

Although the top hits exemplified in Table 2 are not presented in any previously published literature, the core of five series (series 1 to 5) belongs to a group of privileged pharmacophores that can target multiple biologically significant proteins, which are relevant to a range of diseases. Thienopyrimidines (series 1), imidazo[4,5-c]pyridines (series 2), oxazepinones (series 3), and pyrrolopyridines (series 5) have been identified as inhibitors of a range of kinases (41–43) or modulators of various heterotrimeric guanine nucleotide–binding protein–coupled receptor (GPCR) proteins (44, 45) in the literature. In addition, noticeably, thienopyrimidines (series 1) have been reported to have antimalarial activities (46), and the oxazepinone core in series 3 and the imidazo[1,2-a] pyridine core in series 4 have appeared in the experimental drugs TAK-475 (squalene synthase inhibitor) (47) and Q203 (antituberculosis agent) (48), respectively. However, the substituent patterns of the hit series identified in this campaign are distinctly different from any known molecules, and we do not speculate that the protein targets of these anti-Wolbachia hits are related to any of those reported in the literature. Oxazole imidazolidinones (series 6) have very limited representation in the literature and can be considered as a completely novel chemotype for anti-infective activity.

All the available evidence indicates that the six novel chemotypes presented here have great potential to be developed further as anti-Wolbachia macrofilaricidal drugs for the treatment of lymphatic filariasis and onchocerciasis. The disclosure of these starting points should also enable other researchers active in this field to initiate their own medicinal chemistry optimization programs akin to those seen in the malaria and tuberculosis drug discovery fields (49, 50).

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Compounds
The collection of 10,000 compounds was provided by BioFocus. Although most of the compounds present were derived from the SoftFocus libraries, some came from ThemePair (approximately 900), FieldFocus (approximately 1000), and other (approximately 1200) libraries. Overall, the collection encompassed kinase, ion channel, GPCR, protease, protein-protein interaction, helix mimetic, and nucleoside-targeted libraries. Compounds were provided as DMSO stocks in 96-well compound storage plates at a concentration of 10 mg ml^{-1} and were stored at −20°C until use. Dry stocks of hits were purchased from eMolecules.

The synthesis of **AWB124** and **AWG117** are described in Supplementary Material and Methods and schemes S1 and S2, and the chemical characterization of both compounds is listed below. N^2-isopropyl-N^4-(2-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)thieno[3,2-d]pyrimidine-2,4-diamine (**AWB124**): ^{1}H nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ [parts per million (ppm)] 7.68 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (d, J = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (d, J = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (br. s, 1H), 5.01 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 4.79 (br. s, 1H), 4.20 to 4.06 (m, 1H), 1.18 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 6H). ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm) 160.87, 157.68, 137.84, 132.58, 131.40, 131.02, 130.02, 128.52, 127.75, 126.39, 124.96, 124.29, 106.24, 43.39, 41.42, 23.44. High-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) (Cl)

$C_{17}H_{18}F_3N_4S$ [M+H]$^+$ 367.1196. For elemental (CHN) analysis, $C_{17}H_{17}F_3N_4S$ required the following: C, 55.73%; H, 4.68%; N, 15.29%; found C, 55.64%; H, 4.71%; N, 15.33%.

N-((2-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-3-yl)methyl)thieno[3,2-d]pyrimidin-4-amine (**AWG117**): ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm) 8.58 (s, 1H), 8.54 (d, J = 4.3 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (dd, J = 10.1, 5.0 Hz, 2H), 5.41 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 5.05 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm) 160.21, 159.91, 156.94, 154.91, 147.89, 138.88, 133.22, 131.37, 126.64, 125.53, 123.96, 115.26, 40.17. HRMS (ES+) $C_{130}F_3N_4S$ [M+H]$^+$ 311.0575. For elemental (CHN) analysis, $C_{13}H_9F_3N_4S$ required the following: C, 50.32%; H, 2.92%; N 18.06%; found C, 50.41%; H, 2.88%; N, 18.10%.

In vitro Wolbachia cell-based screening assay
An *A. albopictus* cell line C6/36 (American Type Culture Collection number CRL-1660) stably infected with *Wolbachia pipientis* wAlbB [C6/36 (wAlbB)] was routinely cultured in Leibovitz's L-15 medium containing 2 mM L-glutamine, 1% nonessential amino acids, 2% tryptose phosphate broth (Sigma-Aldrich), and 5% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (Cambrex Bio Science) at 26°C (51). A C6/36 (wAlbB) cell-based assay developed to screen drugs/compounds active against *Wolbachia* in vitro was used as previously described (52), with a few modifications. Briefly, 24 hours before use, compound plates were thawed for at least 2 hours at room temperature, with rocking. Plates were centrifuged briefly (less than 30 s) to remove drops from the underside of the seal. Daughter plates were prepared from the master plates by transferring 10 μl into new plates, and these were stored at 4°C until the following day. C6/36 (wAlbB) cells, subcultured 24 hours previously, were seeded at 10,000 cells per well in 96-well flat-bottom sterile culture plates. Compounds from the daughter plates were diluted to the appropriate working concentration in culture medium and added to test wells, and cells were cultured in a total volume of 200 μl at 26°C for 9 days. The final concentration of each compound used was 2.5 μg ml^{-1}. Vehicle (DMSO)–treated medium and doxycycline at a concentration of 8 μM were used as negative and positive controls, respectively, and were included on each test plate. Compounds and controls were added in triplicate within plates (that is, compounds from one plate were spread over three reciprocal cell plates, with 18 compounds per plate). At the end of the screening assay, cytotoxicity was scored using microscopy (medium toxicity was determined as approximately 50% or greater reduction in cell confluence in comparison to the DMSO control, and high toxicity was determined as approximately 90% or greater reduction in confluence), and samples were collected by washing adherent cells once in sterile Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (Sigma-Aldrich) and adding 150 μl of Wizard SV Lysis Buffer (Promega) for genomic DNA (gDNA) extraction.

DNA isolation and qPCR
gDNA was extracted from C6/36 (wAlbB) cell lysates using the Wizard SV 96 Genomic DNA Purification System (Promega), according to the manufacturer's instructions, and eluted in 100 μl of water. Quantification of the ribosomal gene *W. pipientis* 16S was performed as previously described (53), with modifications. Briefly, qPCR was performed on a C1000 thermocycler with a CFX384 Real-Time System (Bio-Rad Laboratories Ltd) under the following conditions: 95°C for 3 min, 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 s, and 55°C for 30 s. qPCRs were performed in 10 μl of SsoFast EvaGreen (Bio-Rad) reactions containing 2 μl of gDNA, 0.4 μM concentration of each primer in 1× SsoFast EvaGreen Supermix (Bio-Rad). Quantification was calculated by reference to a linear standard curve

of $\log_{10}$-diluted (5×10^6 to 5×10^0) full-length amplicons synthesized as single-stranded oligonucleotides (Sigma-Genosys).

Quality control

The quality of individual assays was assessed by calculating Z and Z' statistical parameters using the data generated by control wells (54). Data from assays that did not achieve Z' factors of 0.4 or above were rejected, and the compounds were retested. A typical primary screening run is shown in Fig. 1 and described in further detail in Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Hit confirmation

Compounds that reduced the *Wolbachia* 16S copy number by 1 log or greater were cherry-picked from the daughter plates and tested again to confirm the activity. Those that again achieved a log reduction in *Wolbachia* 16S copy numbers of 1 log or greater were considered confirmed hits.

Validation with dry stocks

Compounds were purchased from eMolecules and dissolved in DMSO before use. Working dilutions were prepared using appropriate molar concentrations, and cell-based assays, with a qPCR readout, were conducted using the methods described above. Each compound was tested at a standard concentration of 5 μM. Duplicate plates were prepared and used to quantify cytotoxicity in a CellTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (Promega), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The level of cytotoxicity for each compound was determined by comparing the CellTiter-Glo luminescence readout against the vehicle-treated control wells, with compounds that reduced the percentage luminescence by 30% or greater being classed as cytotoxic.

Selected hits were set up in dose response using a recently validated higher-throughput screen developed by A·WOL (37). While using the same cell line, this assay uses a high-content imaging platform (Operetta, PerkinElmer) to quantify the percentage of cells infected with *Wolbachia* after treatment. Using the methods described by Clare *et al.* (37), selected compounds were incubated with cells in a dose range from 5 to 19.5 nM to generate dose-response curves and EC_{50} values.

Chemoinformatics

Compounds were provided as SMILES (simplified molecular-input line-entry system) strings, and ADMET predictions were made using StarDrop (55). Molecular properties and Pareto analysis were calculated using BIOVIA Pipeline Pilot (56). Molecular fingerprints and PCA were performed using DataWarrior (31). The PathFp descriptor encodes all distinct linear strands of up to seven atoms within a molecule. The PathFp descriptor is related to the folded Daylight fingerprint (57).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/3/9/eaao1551/DC1

Supplementary Materials and Methods

fig. S1. Box plots of the molecular properties of the 10,000 compounds screened and whether they were validated hits (≥1 log drop).

table S1. Properties assessed to identify chemotypes for medicinal chemistry optimization.

scheme S1. Synthetic route for **AWB124**.

scheme S2. Synthetic route for **AWG117**.

References (58–68)

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. A. Y. Debrah, S. Mand, Y. Marfo-Debrekyei, L. Batsa, A. Albers, S. Specht, U. Klarmann, K. Pfarr, O. Adjei, A. Hoerauf, Macrofilaricidal activity in *Wuchereria bancrofti* after 2 weeks treatment with a combination of rifampicin plus doxycycline. *J. Parasitol. Res.* **2011**, 201617 (2011).

2. A. Y. Debrah, S. Mand, Y. Marfo-Debrekyei, L. Batsa, K. Pfarr, M. Buttner, O. Adjei, D. Buttner, A. Hoerauf, Macrofilaricidal effect of 4 weeks of treatment with doxycycline on *Wuchereria bancrofti*. *Trop. Med. Int. Health* **12**, 1433–1441 (2007).

3. A. Y. Debrah, S. Mand, S. Specht, Y. Marfo-Debrekyei, L. Batsa, K. Pfarr, J. Larbi, B. Lawson, M. Taylor, O. Adjei, A. Hoerauf, Doxycycline reduces plasma VEGF-C/sVEGFR-3 and improves pathology in lymphatic filariasis. *PLOS Pathog.* **2**, e92 (2006).

4. P. Gayen, A. Nayak, P. Saini, N. Mukherjee, S. Maitra, P. Sarkar, S. P. Sinha Babu, A double-blind controlled field trial of doxycycline and albendazole in combination for the treatment of bancroftian filariasis in India. *Acta Trop.* **125**, 150–156 (2013).

5. S. Mand, K. Pfarr, P. K. Sahoo, A. K. Satapathy, S. Specht, U. Klarmann, A. Y. Debrah, B. Ravindran, A. Hoerauf, Macrofilaricidal activity and amelioration of lymphatic pathology in bancroftian filariasis after 3 weeks of doxycycline followed by single-dose diethylcarbamazine. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **81**, 702–711 (2009).

6. T. Supali, Y. Djuardi, K. M. Pfarr, H. Wibowo, M. J. Taylor, A. Hoerauf, J. J. Houwing-Duistermaat, M. Yazdanbakhsh, E. Sartono, Doxycycline treatment of *Brugia malayi*—infected persons reduces microfilaremia and adverse reactions after diethylcarbamazine and albendazole treatment. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **46**, 1385–1393 (2008).

7. M. J. Taylor, W. H. Makunde, H. F. McGarry, J. D. Turner, S. Mand, A. Hoerauf, Macrofilaricidal activity after doxycycline treatment of *Wuchereria bancrofti*: A double-blind, randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* **365**, 2116–2121 (2005).

8. A. Y. Debrah, S. Specht, U. Klarmann-Schulz, L. Batsa, S. Mand, Y. Marfo-Debrekyei, R. Fimmers, B. Dubben, A. Kwarteng, M. Osei-Atweneboana, D. Boakye, A. Ricchiuto, M. Büttner, O. Adjei, C. D. Mackenzie, A. Hoerauf, Doxycycline leads to sterility and enhanced killing of female *Onchocerca volvulus* worms in an area with persistent microfilaridermia after repeated ivermectin treatment: A randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **61**, 517–526 (2015).

9. A. Hoerauf, S. Specht, M. Büttner, K. Pfarr, S. Mand, R. Fimmers, Y. Marfo-Debrekyei, P. Konadu, A. Y. Debrah, C. Bandi, N. Brattig, A. Albers, J. Larbi, L. Batsa, M. J. Taylor, O. Adjei, D. W. Büttner, *Wolbachia* endobacteria depletion by doxycycline as antifilarial therapy has macrofilaricidal activity in onchocerciasis: A randomized placebo-controlled study. *Med. Microbiol. Immunol.* **197**, 295–311 (2008).

10. A. Hoerauf, S. Specht, Y. Marfo-Debrekyei, M. Büttner, A. Y. Debrah, S. Mand, L. Batsa, N. Brattig, P. Konadu, C. Bandi, R. Fimmers, O. Adjei, D. W. Büttner, Efficacy of 5-week doxycycline treatment on adult *Onchocerca volvulus*. *Parasitol. Res.* **104**, 437–447 (2009).

11. J. D. Turner, N. Tendongfor, M. Esum, K. L. Johnston, R. S. Langley, L. Ford, B. Faragher, S. Specht, S. Mand, A. Hoerauf, P. Enyong, S. Wanji, M. J. Taylor, Macrofilaricidal activity after doxycycline only treatment of *Onchocerca volvulus* in an area of *Loa loa* co-endemicity: A randomized controlled trial. *PLOS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **4**, e660 (2010).

12. A. H. Tekle, H. G. Zouré, M. Noma, M. Boussinesq, L. E. Coffeng, W. A. Stolk, J. H. F Remme, Progress towards onchocerciasis elimination in the participating countries of the African Programme for Onchocerciasis Control: Epidemiological evaluation results. *Infect. Dis. Poverty* **5**, 66 (2016).

13. WHO, 489 Global programme to eliminate lymphatic filariasis: Progress report, 2014. *Wkly. Epidemiol. Rec.* **90**, 489–504 (2015).

14. M. J. Bockarie, R. M. Deb, Elimination of lymphatic filariasis: Do we have the drugs to complete the job? *Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis.* **23**, 617–620 (2010).

15. C. D. Mackenzie, M. M. Homeida, A. D. Hopkins, J. C. Lawrence, Elimination of onchocerciasis from Africa: Possible? *Trends Parasitol.* **28**, 16–22 (2012).

16. M. J. Taylor, C. Bandi, A. Hoerauf, *Wolbachia* bacterial endosymbionts of filarial nematodes. *Adv. Parasitol.* **60**, 245–284 (2005).

17. M. J. Taylor, A. Hoerauf, M. Bockarie, Lymphatic filariasis and onchocerciasis. *Lancet* **376**, 1175–1185 (2010).

18. K. L. Johnston, L. Ford, M. J. Taylor, Overcoming the challenges of drug discovery for neglected tropical diseases: The A WOL experience. *J. Biomol. Screen.* **19**, 335–343 (2014).

19. M. J. Taylor, A. Hoerauf, S. Townson, B. E. Slatko, S. A. Ward, Anti-*Wolbachia* drug discovery and development: Safe macrofilaricides for onchocerciasis and lymphatic filariasis. *Parasitology* **141**, 119–127 (2014).

20. K. L. Johnston, L. Ford, I. Umareddy, S. Townson, S. Specht, K. Pfarr, A. Hoerauf, R. Altmeyer, M. J. Taylor, Repurposing of approved drugs from the human pharmacopoeia to target *Wolbachia* endosymbionts of onchocerciasis and lymphatic filariasis. *Int. J. Parasitol. Drugs Drug Resist.* **4**, 278–286 (2014).

21. D. W. Sheppard, M. J. Lipkin, C. J. Harris, C. Catana, P. F. W. Stouten, Strategies for small molecule library design. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* **20**, 3314–3322 (2014).

22. D. González Cabrera, F. Douelle, T. S. Feng, A. T. Nchinda, Y. Younis, K. L. White, Q. Wu, E. Ryan, J. N. Burrows, D. Waterson, M. J. Witty, S. Wittlin, S. A. Charman, K. Chibale, Novel orally active antimalarial thiazoles. *J. Med. Chem.* **54**, 7713–7719 (2011).

23. D. González Cabrera, C. Le Manach, F. Douelle, Y. Younis, T.-S. Feng, T. Paquet, A. T. Nchinda, L. J. Street, D. Taylor, C. de Kock, L. Wiesner, S. Duffy, K. L. White, K. M. Zabiulla, Y. Sambandan, S. Bashyam, D. Waterson, M. J. Witty, S. A. Charman, V. M. Avery, S. Wittlin, K. Chibale, 2,4-Diaminothienopyrimidines as orally active antimalarial agents. *J. Med. Chem.* **57**, 1014–1022 (2014).

24. C. Le Manach, D. González Cabrera, F. Douelle, A. T. Nchinda, Y. Younis, D. Taylor, L. Wiesner, K. L. White, E. Ryan, C. March, S. Duffy, V. M. Avery, D. Waterson, M. J. Witty, S. Wittlin, S. A. Charman, L. J. Street, K. Chibale, Medicinal chemistry optimization of antiplasmodial imidazopyridazine hits from high throughput screening of a SoftFocus kinase library: Part 1. *J. Med. Chem.* **57**, 2789–2798 (2014).

25. C. Le Manach, T. Paquet, D. González Cabrera, Y. Younis, D. Taylor, L. Wiesner, N. Lawrence, S. Schwager, D. Waterson, M. J. Witty, S. Wittlin, L. J. Street, K. Chibale, Medicinal chemistry optimization of antiplasmodial imidazopyridazine hits from high throughput screening of a softfocus kinase library: Part 2. *J. Med. Chem.* **57**, 8839–8848 (2014).

26. T. Paquet, R. Gordon, D. Waterson, M. J. Witty, K. Chibale, Antimalarial aminothiazoles and aminopyridines from phenotypic whole-cell screening of a SoftFocus® library. *Future Med. Chem.* **4**, 2265–2277 (2012).

27. Y. Younis, F. Douelle, T.-S. Feng, D. González Cabrera, C. Le Manach, A. T. Nchinda, S. Duffy, K. L. White, D. M. Shackleford, J. Morizzi, J. Mannila, K. Katneni, R. Bhamidipati, K. M. Zabiulla, J. T. Joseph, S. Bashyam, D. Waterson, M. J. Witty, D. Hardick, S. Wittlin, V. Avery, S. A. Charman, K. Chibale, 3,5-Diaryl-2-aminopyridines as a novel class of orally active antimalarials demonstrating single dose cure in mice and clinical candidate potential. *J. Med. Chem.* **55**, 3479–3487 (2012).

28. Y. Younis, L. J. Street, D. Waterson, M. J. Witty, K. Chibale, Cell-based medicinal chemistry optimization of high throughput screening hits for orally active antimalarials. Part 2: Hits from SoftFocus kinase and other libraries. *J. Med. Chem.* **56**, 7750–7754 (2013).

29. C. Soares de Melo, T.-S. Feng, R. van der Westhuyzen, R. K. Gessner, L. J. Street, G. L. Morgans, D. F. Warner, A. Moosa, K. Naran, N. Lawrence, H. I. Boshoff, C. E. Barry III, C. J. Harris, R. Gordon, K. Chibale, Aminopyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidines as potential inhibitors of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: Structure activity relationships and ADME characterization. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **23**, 7240–7250 (2015).

30. F. K. Brown, Chemoinformatics: What is it and how does it impact drug discovery. *Annu. Rep. Med. Chem.* **33**, 375–384 (1998).

31. T. Sander, J. Freyss, M. von Korff, C. Rufener, DataWarrior: An open-source program for chemistry aware data visualization and analysis. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **55**, 460–473 (2015).

32. P. G. Nell, S. M. Mundt, in *High-Throughput Screening in Drug Discovery*, J. Huser, Ed. (Wiley-VCH, 2006), vol. 35, chap. 8, pp. 207–257.

33. F. Calderón, D. Barros, J. M. Bueno, J. M. Coterón, E. Fernández, F. J. Gamo, J. L. Lavandera, M. L. León, S. J. F. Macdonald, A. Mallo, P. Manzano, E. Porras, J. M. Fiandor, J. Castro, An invitation to open innovation in malaria drug discovery: 47 quality starting points from the TCAMS. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* **2**, 741–746 (2011).

34. M. Segall, Advances in multiparameter optimization methods for de novo drug design. *Expert Opin. Drug Discov.* **9**, 803–817 (2014).

35. O. Nicolotti, I. Giangreco, A. Introcaso, F. Leonetti, A. Stefanachi, A. Carotti, Strategies of multi-objective optimization in drug discovery and development. *Expert Opin. Drug Discov.* **6**, 871–884 (2011).

36. H. Kubinyi, Drug research: Myths, hype and reality. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **2**, 665–668 (2003).

37. R. H. Clare, D. A. N. Cook, K. L. Johnston, L. Ford, S. A. Ward, M. J. Taylor, Development and validation of a high-throughput anti-*Wolbachia* whole-cell screen: A route to macrofilaricidal drugs against onchocerciasis and lymphatic filariasis. *J. Biomol. Screen.* **20**, 64–69 (2015).

38. T. G. Geary, C. D. Mackenzie, Progress and challenges in the discovery of macrofilaricidal drugs. *Expert Rev. Anti Infect. Ther.* **9**, 681–695 (2011).

39. G. Aljayyoussi, H. E. Tyrer, L. Ford, H. Sjoberg, N. Pionnier, D. Waterhouse, J. Davies, J. Gamble, H. Metugene, D. A. N. Cook, A. Steven, R. Sharma, A. F. Guimaraes, R. H. Clare, A. Cassidy, K. L. Johnston, L. Myhill, L. Hayward, S. Wanji, J. D. Turner, M. J. Taylor, S. A. Ward, Short-course, high-dose rifampicin achieves *Wolbachia* depletion predictive of curative outcomes in preclinical models of lymphatic filariasis and onchocerciasis. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 210 (2017).

40. U. Klarmann-Schulz, S. Specht, A. Y. Debrah, L. Batsa, N. K. Ayisi-Boateng, J. Osei-Mensah, Y. Mubarik, P. Konadu, A. Ricchiuto, R. Fimmers, S. Arriens, B. Dubben, L. Ford, M. Taylor, A. Hoerauf, Comparison of doxycycline, minocycline, doxycycline plus albendazole and albendazole alone in their efficacy against onchocerciasis in a randomized, open-label, pilot trial. *PLOS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **11**, e0005156 (2017).

41. Y. Lai, J. Liang, S. R. Magnuson, K. D. Robarge, V. H. W. Tsui, B. Zhang, Patent WO2013041539 A1 (2013).

42. S. Naud, I. M. Westwood, A. Faisal, P. Sheldrake, V. Bavetsias, B. Atrash, K.-M. J. Cheung, M. Liu, A. Hayes, J. Schmitt, A. Wood, V. Choi, K. Boxall, G. Mak, M. Gurden, M. Valenti, A. de Haven Brandon, A. Henley, R. Baker, C. McAndrew, B. Matijssen, R. Burke, S. Hoelder, S. A. Eccles, F. I. Raynaud, S. Linardopoulos, R. L. M. van Montfort, J. Blagg, Structure-based design of orally bioavailable 1*H*-pyrrolo[3,2-*c*]pyridine inhibitors of mitotic kinase monopolar spindle 1 (MPS1). *J. Med. Chem.* **56**, 10045–10065 (2013).

43. M. Zhan, Y. Deng, L. Zhao, G. Yan, F. Wang, Y. Tian, L. Zhang, H. Jiang, Y. Chen, Design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of dimorpholine substituted thienopyrimidines as potential class I PI3K/mTOR dual inhibitors. *J. Med. Chem.* **60**, 4023–4035 (2017).

44. S. T. P. C. L. Hinuma, M. T. P. C. L. Hirohashi, Y. T. P. C. L. Ishichi, F. T. P. L. Itoh, N. T. C. L. Kanzaki, Y. T. P. C. L. Kawamata, T. T. P. C. L. Miki, S. T. P. C. L. Oi, T. T. P. C. L. Tawaraishi, Patent EP1591120 A1 (2005).

45. A. Ullrich, M. Falcenberg, Patent WO2014207260 (2014).

46. D. González Cabrera, F. Douelle, C. Le Manach, Z. Han, T. Paquet, D. Taylor, M. Njoroge, N. Lawrence, L. Wiesner, D. Waterson, M. J. Witty, S. Wittlin, L. J. Street, K. Chibale, Structure–activity relationship studies of orally active antimalarial 2,4-diamino-thienopyrimidines. *J. Med. Chem.* **58**, 7572–7579 (2015).

47. T. Nishimoto, Y. Amano, R. Tozawa, E. Ishikawa, Y. Imura, H. Yukimasa, Y. Sugiyama, Lipid-lowering properties of TAK-475, a squalene synthase inhibitor, *in vivo* and *in vitro*. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **139**, 911–918 (2003).

48. K. Pethe, P. Bifani, J. Jang, S. Kang, S. Park, S. Ahn, J. Jiricek, J. Jung, H. K. Jeon, J. Cechetto, T. Christophe, H. Lee, M. Kempf, M. Jackson, A. J. Lenaerts, H. Pham, V. Jones, M. J. Seo, Y. M. Kim, M. Seo, J. J. Seo, D. Park, Y. Ko, I. Choi, R. Kim, S. Y. Kim, S. Lim, S.-A. Yim, J. Nam, H. Kang, H. Kwon, C.-T. Oh, Y. Cho, Y. Jang, J. Kim, A. Chua, B. H. Tan, M. B. Nanjundappa, S. P. S. Rao, W. S. Barnes, R. Wintjens, J. R. Walker, S. Alonso, S. Lee, J. Kim, S. Oh, T. Oh, U. Nehrbass, S.-J. Han, Z. No, J. Lee, P. Brodin, S.-N. Cho, K. Nam, J. Kim, Discovery of Q203, a potent clinical candidate for the treatment of tuberculosis. *Nat. Med.* **19**, 1157–1160 (2013).

49. L. Ballell, R. H. Bates, R. J. Young, D. Alvarez-Gomez, E. Alvarez-Ruiz, V. Barroso, D. Blanco, B. Crespo, J. Escribano, R. González, S. Lozano, S. Huss, A. Santos-Villarejo, J. J. Martín-Plaza, A. Mendoza, M. J. Rebollo-Lopez, M. Remuiñan-Blanco, J. L. Lavandera, E. Pérez-Herran, F. J. Gamo-Benito, J. F. García-Bustos, D. Barros, J. P. Castro, N. Cammack, Fueling open-source drug discovery: 177 small-molecule leads against tuberculosis. *ChemMedChem* **8**, 313–321 (2013).

50. F.-J. Gamo, L. M. Sanz, J. Vidal, C. de Cozar, E. Alvarez, J.-L. Lavandera, D. E. Vanderwall, D. V. S. Green, V. Kumar, S. Hasan, J. R. Brown, C. E. Peishoff, L. R. Cardon, J. F. Garcia-Bustos, Thousands of chemical starting points for antimalarial lead identification. *Nature* **465**, 305–310 (2010).

51. J. D. Turner, R. S. Langley, K. L. Johnston, G. Egerton, S. Wanji, M. J. Taylor, *Wolbachia* endosymbiotic bacteria of *Brugia malayi* mediate macrophage tolerance to TLR- and CD40-specific stimuli in a MyD88/TLR2-dependent manner. *J. Immunol.* **177**, 1240–1249 (2006).

52. K. L. Johnston, B. Wu, A. Guimarães, L. Ford, B. E. Slatko, M. J. Taylor, Lipoprotein biosynthesis as a target for anti-*Wolbachia* treatment of filarial nematodes. *Parasit. Vectors* **3**, 99 (2010).

53. B. L. Makepeace, L. Rodgers, A. J. Trees, Rate of elimination of *Wolbachia pipientis* by doxycycline in vitro increases following drug withdrawal. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **50**, 922–927 (2006).

54. J.-H. Zhang, T. D. Y. Chung, K. R. Oldenburg, A simple statistical parameter for use in evaluation and validation of high throughput screening assays. *J. Biomol. Screen.* **4**, 67–73 (1999).

55. For information on StarDrop, see www.optibrium.com/stardrop/stardrop-features.php.

56. For information on BIOVIA Pipeline Pilot, see http://accelrys.com/products/collaborative-science/biovia-pipeline-pilot/.

57. C. A. James, D. Weininger, in *Daylight Theory Manual 4.34*, Daylight CIS, Inc., Ed. (1994), chap. 5, pp. 32–39.

58. J. B. Baell, G. A. Holloway, New substructure filters for removal of pan assay interference compounds (PAINS) from screening libraries and for their exclusion in bioassays. *J. Med. Chem.* **53**, 2719–2740 (2010).

59. P. S. Charifson, W. P. Walters, Filtering databases and chemical libraries. *J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des.* **16**, 311–323 (2002).

60. M. Hann, B. Hudson, X. Lewell, R. Lifely, L. Miller, N. Ramsden, Strategic pooling of compounds for high-throughput screening. *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci* **39**, 897–902 (1999).

61. https://docs.eyesopen.com/omega/filter_files.html.

62. I. Muegge, Selection criteria for drug-like compounds. *Med. Res. Rev.* **23**, 302–321 (2003).

63. T. I. Oprea, Property distribution of drug-related chemical databases. *J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des.* **14**, 251–264 (2000).

64. G. M. Rishton, Reactive compounds and *in vitro* false positives in HTS. *Drug Discov. Today* **2**, 382–384 (1997).

65. E. H. Kerns, J. Li, in *Drug-like Properties: Concepts, Structure Design and Methods* (Elsevier, 2008), chap. 15, pp. 197–208.
66. E. H. Kerns, L. Di, in *Drug-like Properties: Concepts, Structure Design and Methods* (Elsevier, 2008), chap. 9, pp. 103–121.
67. E. H. Kerns, J. Li, in *Drug-like Properties: Concepts, Structure Design and Methods* (Elsevier, 2008), chap. 16, pp. 209–214.
68. S. Ekins, J. D. Honeycutt, J. T. Metz, Evolving molecules using multi-objective optimization: Applying to ADME/Tox. *Drug Discov. Today* **15**, 451–460 (2010).

Science Advances

Identification and prioritization of novel anti-*Wolbachia* chemotypes from screening a 10,000-compound diversity library

Kelly L. Johnston, Darren A. N. Cook, Neil G. Berry, W. David Hong, Rachel H. Clare, Megan Goddard, Louise Ford, Gemma L. Nixon, Paul M. O'Neill, Stephen A. Ward and Mark J. Taylor

ARTICLE TOOLS	http://advances.sciencemag.org/content/3/9/eaao1551
SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS	http://advances.sciencemag.org/content/suppl/2017/09/25/3.9.eaao1551.DC1
REFERENCES	This article cites 57 articles, 3 of which you can access for free http://advances.sciencemag.org/content/3/9/eaao1551#BIBL
PERMISSIONS	http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions

Use of this article is subject to the Terms of Service