Optimal information networks: Application for data-driven integrated health in populations
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Development of composite indicators for integrated health in populations typically relies on a priori assumptions rather than model-free, data-driven evidence. Traditional variable selection processes tend not to consider relatedness and redundancy among variables, instead considering only individual correlations. In addition, a unified method for assessing integrated health statuses of populations is lacking, making systematic comparison among populations impossible. We propose the use of maximum entropy networks (MENets) that use transfer entropy to assess interrelatedness among selected variables considered for inclusion in a composite indicator. We also define optimal information networks (OINs) that are scale-invariant MENets, which use the information in constructed networks for optimal decision-making. Health outcome data from multiple cities in the United States are applied to this method to create a systemic health indicator, representing integrated health in a city.

INTRODUCTION

Multi-variable health index

Creating composite indicators from multiple variables holds the appeal of summarizing large quantities of information into a single numeric value. Rather than individually comparing multiple effects that may be similar in nature and possibly caused by similar or different factors, creating a single summary indicator is a concise way to draw conclusions that may consider the interrelatedness among the component variables. These summary indicators can be used to draw comparisons across different populations such as those living in different cities or countries or at any spatial and temporal scale of interest. The use of composite indicators can be applied to a variety of complex systems, such as the business cycle, ocean sustainability, and human health. However, in many cases, only some variables are selected to represent the complex system under analysis based on assumed hypotheses or preferences; it is rare to find composite indicators formed without any bias on the constituting variables. These indicators can be characterized by a probability distribution, and the forming variables may have different levels of importance from one place to another or from one period to another, leading to different health-based city design and population health strategies. The reliability of the indicator depends on the reliability of data, although the methods themselves do not.

Creating these indicators aids comparison of multiple entities (such as cities, population groups, or individuals) on various spatial and temporal scales because it creates a baseline range of values for the systemic indicator using the same method. Within the United States, comparing different cities is often of interest. Every year, different rankings of cities are formed to compare them according to their health but lack a unified definition of "health" and a shared method. Consequently, different criteria are used by different groups and very different rankings are formulated. This situation is often encountered in many other areas, including rankings of colleges, cars, and businesses. For cities, with wide diversity in demographics, economies, and organizational structures, drawing comparisons of outcomes is relevant for assessing efficacy of practices.

Within the scope of health outcomes, indicators are typically made using a variety of methods for variable selection and inclusion. Measures that focus on a more specific health field, such as cardiovascular disease, often include variables that are recommended by clinicians specializing in the topic of interest. Others are selected through statistical measures, including statistical significance in univariate models or with significance in addition to a certain minimum magnitude of effect measure. Other methods use other statistical measures, such as Cronbach α coefficients, which are relatively popular in the public health community.

Although many works exist that create numeric indicators focused on particular facets of health, fewer scientifically developed indicators exist to measure the broad state of human health in a geographical area. Here, we aim to create a data-driven indicator that reflects the systemic health status of a population rather than a single-effect metric. This reflects the view of complexity science applied to health sciences, considering, for instance, the work on syndemics, exposomics, and complex genetically communicable diseases. Little work has been done to assess how an indicator can be developed that combines distinct outcomes to characterize the systemic health quality of a community. Some work has been done by Barabási et al. to determine the human diseases, the set of genetically related diseases, but nothing similar has been done at the population scale beyond using genetic information.

Characterizing overall health, rather than targeting more specific conditions, can be advantageous when a more comprehensive assessment of health, as well as an understanding of the role of the environment in health, is desired. Methods for creating such a metric are uncommon in scientific literature, especially in the public health literature where any systemic epidemiology purview is lacking. More broadly, this can provide an assessment of overall well-being, because human health is one important factor in measuring well-being.

Comparing cities or states in the United States is a motivator for creating an indicator for systemic health. Characterizing cities or states by their relative successes and failures regarding the quality of life of their inhabitants provides insight into possible improvements in these cities and across the nation. By identifying cities or states that have better overall outcomes, characteristics of those successful locations
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can be assessed to see whether they can be applied to other locations to improve their own systemic health. Improvements in health are also related to improvements in the built environment; that is why it is also important to assess the robust network dependencies between observed disease and infrastructure that can be managed and designed (12). The built environment may affect multiple facets of human health, or it may affect areas of health that are not hypothesized a priori by known mechanisms. This is important to understand the differential effects on populations that both advance epidemiological knowledge and guide population-based personalized medicine.

Traditional and proposed methods for designing indicators
Current methods of selecting variables for inclusion in composite indicators can raise some concerns in relation to the deductive, reductionist, and hypothesis-driven design. Considering only a priori knowledge ignores data-driven techniques and information; moreover, this approach relies only on the expertise of those who are developing the indicator or on the existence of adequate relevant literature. Although this knowledge is important and should not be ignored in the process, including data-driven methods in an inductive or abductive design process is advantageous. It is certainly not recommended to only use data-driven methods without considering contextual relevance of considered variables (and without a posteriori validation of the inferred results). In this regard, care should be placed into the interpretation of an inferred correlation/causation because much of the prediction does not imply causality. It should also be noted that predictions are useful for guiding changes in population without the necessity to know all fine-scale causal processes. This is the purview in which this paper should be seen.

A data-driven technique to incorporate into the creation of an indicator should consider the possibility of correlations among variables. Relying on univariate models for selection neglects the possibility of strong correlations among included variables, which are actually fundamental in determining complex system patterns (13). As a result, the composite indicator may not serve its purpose as accurately as intended. Including variables that are highly correlated using classical statistical models can lead to an unintended overrepresentation of certain pieces of information. Pairing a data-driven method that can account for possible connections among variables with substantive knowledge will provide information from multiple sources to aid the decision of variable inclusion. These methods, such as the ones presented, include variable interdependence in a proper way for predicting robustly the systemic indicator variability.

Here, we propose an alternative method of variable selection and design of systemic indicators. The method infers a network of variables to use for the creation of a systemic health indicator by using a maximum entropy (MaxEnt) network (MENet). This method is used frequently in the fields of complexity and information sciences. The systemic indicator is created by using a decision theoretic model based on the MaxEnt-inferred network. Increased use of complexity science in health research is a newer concept that is being encouraged (14) for its ability to capture systems’ interdependencies and for its abductive framework aimed also to simplify systems’ complexity.

Although new to research in human health, the concept of MaxEnt is not new. It has previously been applied to fields such as image processing (15), hydrogeomorphology (16), distribution of animal species (17), and species interactions (18). Methodological research on this topic has also considered the dynamics of systems that are not in equilibrium (19), thus expanding its application to nonstationary systems. Because of its usefulness in these applications, there is interest in introducing MaxEnt to population health sciences. The methods proposed here are likely to be of interest to several applications in public health, because such comparisons across various regions can provide valuable information to public health professionals.

MENets with transfer entropy
MENets, based on the principle of MaxEnt (17, 20, 21), can be created using various forms of entropy as the systemic variable upon which to establish a threshold of significance for the considered problem. One type of entropy is transfer entropy (TE) (20). TE is a measure of directional dependence of one variable on another. It is derived using the estimated probability distributions of two variables, using both joint and conditional probabilities (20). It carries the advantages of determining dependencies of two variables without assuming a particular structure of the dependence (22). Its use in determining association network structure has been seen previously (23).

Putting the TEs of the various pairs of variables into a network structure facilitates communication of the connections between the variables. The MaxEnt model favors distributions with minimal assumptions that fit the available data by representing them as the distributions with the greatest entropy (leaving aside any subjectively driven observational methodologies) (24). That is, the MaxEnt model selects the simplest but most informative probability distribution function to represent a variable of interest such as the systemic health indicator, as it is the case in this paper. By using MENet, the network structure of variable relatedness with the highest total entropy can be considered the most plausible.

From this selected network, the characteristics of the variables within the network, such as the ability to represent the entire group of variables, can be examined to determine inclusion into an indicator of systemic health status. Thus, MENets can be considered optimal information

![Fig. 1. MENet for all variables. The OIN is the MENet with the highest information content for predicting the integrated health (IH) indicator. Inclusion and exclusion indicated in the legend refers to inclusion in creating IH indicator. Variables identified by numeric labels are defined in Table 1. Darkness of edges represents amount of TE, with darker edges projecting greater amounts of TE. This network is directed due to the use of TE.](http://advances.sciencemag.org/)
networks (OINs) that are functional networks derived from data defining the interdependence of different variables based on their directional (and maximized in a MaxEnt sense) mutual information. In particular, MENet is the simplest and most informative network to predict the designed systemic indicator. Concepts similar to OINs, which more precisely should be considered as the MENets in the scale-invariant regime, have been developed in other fields such as geomorphology, where optimal channel networks (25) are the MaxEnt and minimum spanning networks describing the observed river networks in the specified domain. In our context, OINs describe the observed variability of IH over the landscape accounting for all information variables contributing to it. Note that these concepts can apply to either functional networks derived from data (such as in our case) or structural networks that are visible over the landscape considered.

This paper is intended to demonstrate how MENets can be used in the variable selection process (also known as “metamodeling” in the field of model creation) (26) for creating composite indicators with basic science and practical purposes to understand and predict the systemic response of a complex system. The constructed directed network contains the variables of interest as nodes and the TEs as the weighted

| Table 1. Variables included in the network shown in Figs. 1 and 5. |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Node number | Variable name | In-degree | Out-degree | Weighted in-degree | Weighted out-degree |
| 1 | Adult binge drinking | 14 | 24 | 3.333 | 1 |
| 2 | Adult obesity | 18 | 6 | 2.667 | 2 |
| 3 | Adult physical activity levels | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Adult seasonal flu vaccine | 11 | 10 | 1.333 | 3.667 |
| 5 | Adult smoking | 17 | 18 | 1.333 | 6.333 |
| 6 | AIDS diagnosis rate | 16 | 18 | 4.333 | 0 |
| 7 | All types of cancer mortality rate | 13 | 14 | 0.667 | 6.333 |
| 8 | Asthma emergency department visit rate | 14 | 22 | 2.333 | 1.333 |
| 9 | Child seasonal flu vaccine | 11 | 26 | 4 | 0 |
| 10 | Children’s blood lead levels | 11 | 26 | 2.333 | 0 |
| 11 | Death rate (overall) | 15 | 13 | 2.667 | 2.667 |
| 12 | Diabetes mortality rate | 13 | 13 | 1.667 | 4.667 |
| 13 | Escherichia coli infections | 13 | 22 | 2.333 | 1.333 |
| 14 | Firearm-related emergency department visit rate | 11 | 25 | 3 | 0.667 |
| 15 | Firearm-related mortality rate | 9 | 9 | 0 | 3.667 |
| 16 | Heart disease mortality rate | 16 | 7 | 3 | 1 |
| 17 | HIV-related mortality rate | 15 | 15 | 2.333 | 6 |
| 18 | HIV diagnosis rate | 14 | 10 | 1.333 | 0.333 |
| 19 | Infant mortality rate | 17 | 9 | 1 | 3.333 |
| 20 | Life expectancy | 18 | 8 | 5 | 0 |
| 21 | Low-birth weight babies | 17 | 8 | 3 | 1.333 |
| 22 | Lung cancer mortality rate | 15 | 17 | 1.667 | 4 |
| 23 | Opioid-related overdose mortality rate | 13 | 16 | 0.333 | 5 |
| 24 | Persons living with HIV/AIDS rate | 13 | 14 | 1.667 | 3 |
| 25 | Pneumonia and influenza mortality rate | 17 | 8 | 3.333 | 1 |
| 26 | Pneumonia vaccine (age 65+) | 16 | 4 | 3.667 | 0.333 |
| 27 | Salmonella infections | 14 | 9 | 2.667 | 1 |
| 28 | Suicide rate | 16 | 24 | 3 | 4.333 |
| 29 | Teen binge drinking | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
edges. That is, each edge weight is an information flux representing how much variability of one variable can explain the variability of another with an associated time delay, because TE is reliant on time series data and reflects characteristics of the time delay creating the history of a variable. The use of TE, a directed measurement, necessitates the construction of a directed network that allows vertices in both directions to exist between each pair of nodes.

Variables represented in this network will then be compared based on their ability to explain the group of variables while also considering the extent to which they are explained by the other variables in the network. The result is a composite indicator that is composed of variables selected through a data-driven process and integrated together via a multi-criteria decision analytical (MCDA) model, which refers broadly to a collection of methods that intake multiple pieces of information when making decisions (27). This data integration is done with less emphasis on a priori beliefs concerning variables’ substantive significance or connections to a particular outcome, although beliefs can be included as weights of variables in the MCDA model. The selected variables will be the ones that are most explanatory of the aggregate collection. In a public health context, the proposed method reflects the shift in focus toward methods that account for connections between total exposure (“exposome”) (28) and outcomes or the intrinsic relationships within each of them that has a more biological interest. The following innovative points introduced in the paper are worth mentioning:

1. The combination of MCDA and TE-based models to create a systemic indicator where value functions are TEs used to assess variable importance; note that this puts a lot of emphasis on functional variable interdependencies rather than on independent variable importance as it should be for any complex system where interconnectedness is largely driving system’s outcomes.

2. The use of an information theoretic global sensitivity and uncertainty analysis (GSUA), where TE (measured via the Karskov estimator that eliminates biases related to binning choices), rather than mutual information, is used to better characterize the second-order importance indices of variable for the predicted systemic indicator.

3. The inference of OINs based on a thresholding criterion that considers the maximum information (within an information-invariant range) and the least complex functional networks of variables constructed by using the TE; typical network selection methods make use of topological criteria, such as the node degree, to select networks and without considering how the overall information content is changing.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Here, we introduce the concept of IH as a systemic health indicator of cumulated health effects over space and time, hence the use of the word “integrated.” This concept is based on the definition of MENets that define how the integrated variables are interdependent with each other. Within these MENets, we define OINs that are minimum spanning functional networks useful for predicting the whole variability of the integrated variable. OINs are derived after a topological selection method that neglects redundant nodes and links and preserves the MaxEnt criteria. OINs maximize information flow (function) by preserving the topological structure of the network and selecting one integrated variable to predict (that is, a system service) (29, 30).

Making a financial analogy, IH can be thought of as a portfolio indicator (say a “payoff”) resulting from the potential investment on the assemblage of available stocks. MaxEnt solutions are the ones that maximize the payoff conditional to a cost function. In this situation, the payoff is the total TE of the network, because the intent was to create a MENet. The cost in this situation is based on feasibility constraints; values of the input data that are not possible constrain the values of the edge weights in the network. The cost function can alter the cost of the investment; one wants to have the minimum cost for the highest investment. This is analogous to the topological threshold for MENets that guarantees the highest accuracy in information predictability. Equivalently, OINs maximize the average information accuracy while minimizing its variance as a classical Pareto optimal solution.

The constructed MENet, shown in Fig. 1, displays the directions and the strengths of the TE projections. The directions of the edges in the graph are based on the directions of the TE projections. Establishing a threshold of TE for edge inclusion in the network relies on ranking the TEs and comparing the total network entropy with the cutoff for inclusion, represented as a percentile. The highest network entropy was a constant value for TE thresholds ranging from the 17th percentile through the 87th percentile (Fig. 2). Near the middle of this range, at the 53rd percentile, lies the cutoff to only include edges in the network that represent TEs strictly greater than zero. Before this cutoff, the included edges from selecting cutoffs between the 17th and 53rd percentiles included edges representing very small values of TE. By selecting this threshold at the 53rd percentile, the network contains a total of 387 edges among the 29 nodes. Two of the nodes, denoted with the numbers 3 and 29, are not present in the figure because they did not connect to any other nodes at this threshold. These nodes may not be highly influential for a population.

The temporal stability of the network relates to the stability of the variables contributing to it. Because the variables used here include many that do not change quickly, such as mortality rates and disease incidence rates, the structure of the network remains stable for long
periods of time. This contrasts with short-term variables with seasonal patterns such as influenza incidence. In situations such as these, there may be interest in observing how the MENet changes over time, either cyclically or acyclically (31). For cyclic networks, the predictability of integrated function (such as IH) is generally smaller than for acyclic-directed networks (such as scale-free networks) whose stability increases predictability. In contexts such as influenza outbreaks, MENet may fluctuate seasonally within a year, so a composite indicator used in this context may vary depending on the time of year, possibly following a yearlong cycle.

On the basis of the connectedness of the MENet, it most closely resembles a small-world network rather than a random network, scale-free network, or regular network (32). The graph is highly connected, lacking regularity in its shape but certainly being far from other network topologies. Strong clusters or subgraphs in the network with all included nodes do not appear to exist.

Nearly a third of the pairs of variables had TE values of zero. This high number of pairs is likely a result of the short time series. Having four observations in a time series is much less than typically expected from time series data, which may lead to difficulty in establishing TE. Having access to a longer time series with fewer missing data values could lead to more pairs with nonzero TE. Despite this challenge, our results show how this method can be applied while still maintaining the ability to highlight its advantages.

The selection of the threshold for edge inclusion is illustrated in Fig. 2. This threshold applies to Eq. 4 when selecting $E_{MENet}$. The threshold is a percentile above which edges are included. The figure visually shows which threshold of inclusion produces a network with the greatest total TE. The graph shows various thresholds for edge inclusion and the total network entropy associated with those thresholds.

The peak of the curve shows a constant total entropy level for a wide range of thresholds. This is not only a result of the high number of pairs of variables with zero TE but also a sign of the topological invariance of the network across a wide range of information entropy (or network complexity, equivalently). Changing the threshold for those values of variables did not change the entropy cutoff for inclusion. The invariance of the network allows one to draw reliable conclusions about the relationships of different variables. The scale invariance (where scale is here defined by the information content played by the entropy threshold) is a manifestation of the nontrivial relationships between population variables, yet of the nonregular but small-world character of the resulting OIN.

The use of total absolute TE using the absolute value of TE rather than its actual value was also considered. It is not surprising that, as Fig. 2 displays, including all possible edges leads to the greatest absolute value of the total TE, and raising the threshold simply reduces this total. This is not desirable for our purposes, because the purpose of this network is to identify a subset of variables that can be used to predict IH, which highlights the need to consider directionality in the independence of data. Thus, this is to show the power of our model that assesses directional dependencies. The direction of dependencies is not relevant for structural networks whose flow (such as water or blood) is known and cumulating from upstream nodes to downstream nodes, although, also in this case, coarse-graining effects for low and high thresholds appear. For low thresholds, "noise" induced by not relevant variables obscures the backbone network, whereas for high thresholds, the backbone structure is lost because relevant links and nodes are removed.

Examining the various thresholds and total network entropies demonstrates the care that should be taken to assure that a threshold is selected that will lead to the highest total entropy in the network. Selecting
A threshold that leads to the inclusion of too many edges can lead to overfitting after variable selection. These additional variables will not contribute adequate information to the model and will be extraneous. Rather than contributing useful information, they will instead lead to an indicator that overrepresents certain pieces of information but not the fundamental signal. This could lead to a high likelihood of creating a biased measure that is not adequately representative. The signals seen in multiple variables included in the indicator will be inappropriately overrepresented. As a result, there will be bias in the resulting indicator.

Alternately, selecting a threshold that leads to the inclusion of too few variables will leave much of the variability in the system unexplained. The small set of variables will not be sufficient for characterizing the overall health status. Comparing potential thresholds with their associated total network entropies will assure that entropy is maximized in the system, which will result in an indicator that explains adequate variability of the system without overfitting and that is likely representative of the epidemiology of the system considered. Larger ranges of scale invariance lead to more reliable linkages between observed patterns and processes shown by the data. With these thresholds and associated total entropies, Fig. 2 shows examples of three networks. The first is an example of a threshold that is too low. There are a large number of edges in the graph, which may not accurately reflect the causal patterns among the group of variables. The second network contains an edge configuration that leads to the maximum total TE in the network. Variable selection is based on the connectivity pattern that is the most plausible by the measure of TE. The third network has a threshold that is too high, leading to the exclusion of edges that would benefit the overall explanatory ability of the network. Variable selection from this network would omit information.

From these results, the IH indicator is constructed by including the variables that best explained the entire set of variables. As described previously, these variables were selected as those with a weighted in-degree higher than their weighted out-degree. Weighting of the degrees was selected by the magnitude of the TE associated with that edge. As a result, variables that project the greatest amounts of TE onto the other variables without having large amounts of TE projected onto them were included.

Selecting variables by the criterion of having a weighted out-degree greater than weighted in-degree assures that the amount of information contributed by the variables is greater than the amount of information that the other variables contribute for it. The weighted out-degree is a measurement of the amount of information a node (variable) contributes toward explaining the group. The information in this context is represented in the form of TE. The in-degree can be viewed as a measurement of the amount of information that the other variables contribute regarding that variable. By using only the variables that have

Fig. 4. Patterns of integrated health. (A) Distribution for 2011. (B) Distribution for 2012. (C) Distribution for 2013. (D) Distribution for 2014. The dots are for the cities included, and their color is proportional to the quartile they belong to for IH. From green to red, IH increases in value. With the data we have, we observe that IH is initially improving until 2013 and is better in 2014; however, this may be a data quality issue. Spatially, we observe higher IH values for the midwestern cities and the lowest for southwestern cities.
These rankings of U.S. cities for overall health status are dominantly generated by media outlets rather than by the scientific community. These rankings of U.S. cities for overall health status are dominantly generated by media outlets rather than by the scientific community. The northeastern part of the country.

In California to perform better than other parts of the country. Within years, midwestern cities including Denver, Minneapolis, and Chicago appear to perform poorly compared to other regions of the nation contrary to what national city rankings show. This is also seen in the northeastern part of the country.

Previous work comparing relative health statuses of cities is predominantly generated by media outlets rather than by the scientific community. These rankings of U.S. cities for overall health status tend to rank the west coast, the midwestern cities of Denver and Minneapolis, and the northeast as the healthiest cities. The methodologies are often unclear but indicate reliance on measurements of physical activity opportunities and prevalence of healthier food. These are not the only factors to consider, and not the only ones to assess IH and well-being in a population. Factors such as the incidence of infectious diseases, drug and alcohol use, or mortality rates are not commonly mentioned.

Because the number of nonmissing variables is sensitive to the number of variables present in the network, these rankings of U.S. cities for overall health status appear to perform poorly compared to other regions of the nation contrary to what national city rankings show. This is also seen in the northeastern part of the country.

Fig. 5. Information theoretic global sensitivity analysis for variables included in MENets. The total information outflow is how much each node affects the others, whereas the Shannon entropy is the intrinsic variable information content (for example, in predicting IH) (y and x axes, respectively). Note that the most important variables have high total information outflow and Shannon entropy. The continuous line identifies nodes that are predominantly interacting with all others. Variables represented by numeric labels are defined in Table 1. Higher out-degrees, the included variables in the indicator are the ones that contribute the most information regarding the entire collection of variables present in the network.

Of the 33 variables initially considered, 12 were included in the initial IH indicator. The distribution of the indicator, shown in Fig. 3, is bimodal, with a lower mode with low variability and a higher mode with high variability. The points separating the two modes, that is, when the first derivative of the pdf changes sign, are transition points between states with different health averages (related to each mode). Some cities, such as Detroit, have high values for all 4 years, indicating worse outcomes. Others, such as San Francisco, have low values for all 4 years, indicating more favorable health outcomes. Other cities showed variability in the time period. Philadelphia, for example, had values of 5.3 and 5.7 in 2011 and 2012, respectively, followed by values less than 1 for 2013 and 2014.

To draw broad comparisons of how this IH measure differs between cities and regions during the 4 years of observation, the values of IH were binned into quartiles and mapped yearly. These maps can be seen in Fig. 4. The maps within each year can be used to compare cities. Because the number of nonmissing variables is sensitive to the year of observation, these maps are best examined as cross-sectional comparisons.

Cross-sectional comparisons within years show tendencies for cities in California to perform better than other parts of the country. Within years, midwestern cities including Denver, Minneapolis, and Chicago appear to perform poorly compared to other regions of the nation contrary to what national city rankings show. This is also seen in the northeastern part of the country.

Expansions of this method can incorporate locations and individual patterns of different cities. Rather than creating one network to represent all cities, each city can be represented in its own network and intersect the population outcome networks (or “diseasome” at the population scale) with infrastructure networks. This would require data that have fewer missing values than those seen in the Big Cities Health Inventory (BCHI). These city-level networks can then be connected by geography to incorporate potential spatial effects. Different ways of formulating this network can lead to different implications regarding the information that the created indicator can convey.

This work can be expanded to other applications within public health. As stated previously, there is a notable advantage to using this method, and expanding the contexts of its use is beneficial. In the context of environmental justice, multiple factors can be considered that contribute to this notion. By establishing MENet to connect multiple factors relating to environmental justice, certain populations can be identified that are more or less likely to be exposed to environmental hazards and be susceptible to their associated health outcomes. Factors examined can include air pollution exposure, water quality, food security, or other local environmental factors of interest. On the basis of available data, these conclusions can be drawn at the community or neighborhood level.
CONCLUSIONS
This study provides a general modeling framework for defining integrated indicators reflecting systemic health in defined geographical areas such as cities. Our model is a robust framework for defining integrated functions; however, the physical interpretation of results must always take into account the quality of data as well as stakeholders’ preferences for any criteria used in forming the systemic indicator. Here, the following items are worth mentioning.

1) The definition of MENet and the inference of the OIN as the MENets that explain the spatiotemporal variability of a multi-criteria IH function. These networks define the robust (scale-invariant across an information gradient) interdependencies of variables, such as the ones between population health outcomes in cities. The formulation of IH allows stakeholders to define a baseline of health in cities and to compare different cities considering the same indicators versus current ranking systems.

2) The analysis of the scale invariance of IH based on the information content related to the data available. This allows one to determine the range of the largest information available as well as the reliability of data across different cities, potentially. The entropy threshold is the uncertainty (or better complexity of information) related to the network of population outcomes, which can be tested for different cities; the variability of the network may manifest differences in data quality or differences in population outcome importance.

3) The detection of subnetworks of highly interdependent variables for explaining the majority of variability of IH via GSUA. In addition, the topological out-degree network simplification allows one to reduce the complexity of the network, to reduce the redundancy of metrics forming an indicator (which can lead to overfitting), and to detect highly important and interdependent nodes such as life expectancy as shown in our case study. This allows stakeholders to direct public health prevention, environmental management, and city design toward directions that improve population health systemically.

This study considers only population outcome data, which can be thought of as population-scale diseasome information. However, using the proposed methodology, other networks can be built at the population scale such as exposome networks [see, for instance, the study of Patel and Manrai (35)] and functional networks such as mobility networks related to city infrastructure. It can be applied to multiplex connections between infrastructure networks and population outcomes, which can be highly important for understanding the epidemiology of complex environmentally communicable diseases and the design of cities to maximize health and well-being. More broadly, the study advances network inference techniques (36) and frames those into a decision analytical perspective for making results usable by stakeholders.

Transfer entropy
TE is an information theoretic variable that assesses the information flow between pairs of variables, considering both flow magnitude and time delay. TE has advantages over other measurements of information because it is both directed and nonparametric (20, 22, 38). It was used for establishing potential causal connections between two random variables (20, 22), and it has been shown to perform much better than other traditional methods such as Granger causality methods and convergent cross mapping (39, 40). The computation of TE was based on the distributions of the two variables of interest conditioned on their histories and the history of the other variable (20, 38, 41). Comparing the conditional probability of the variable on its own history with the conditional probability of the variable on both its own history and the history of a predictor variable provides asymmetry in determining predictive abilities of one variable onto another. Directed TE of two time series variables, denoted as $X_i$ and $X_j$, was calculated as

$$T_{X_i \rightarrow X_j} = \sum p(X_{j,t}, X_{j,t-1}, X_{i,t}) \cdot \log_2 \left( \frac{p(X_{j,t}|X_{j,t-1}, X_{i,t})}{p(X_{j,t}|X_{j,t-1})} \right)$$

where $X_{i,t}$ and $X_{j,t}$ denote the respective histories of $X_i$ and $X_j$ at time $t$ (38, 41). These methods have been adapted to calculate the TE for continuous variables (42). The present paper uses continuous data and therefore uses these adaptations as well as an alternate definition for the calculation of TE using mutual information (43). TE in the present paper was calculated as

$$TE_{X_i \rightarrow X_j} = MI(X_i, X_{i,t} \otimes X_{j,t}) - MI(X_i, X_{i,t})$$

where $X_{i,t} \otimes X_{j,t}$ refers to the joint distribution of the histories of the two variables (41–44). Mutual information was computed using the $k$-nearest neighbor approach proposed by Kraskov et al. (43) and Torbati et al. (45).
This can be computed by using the TransferEntropy package in R (45). Note that this definition of TE assumes that the processes analyzed obey a Markov model; this implies that future states depend only on the current state, not on the events that occurred before it. Most of the time, this is not true, especially for slowly varying processes (such as chronic diseases); however, this constraint can be relaxed by choosing temporal lags that were small to focus on short-term interdependencies not related to long memories of the underlying processes (46).

**Maximum entropy networks**

The MaxEnt theory favors probability distribution functions with MaxEnt as the most general distributions that fit the observed data (24, 47). This theory can be applied to a network structure where edge weights were based on entropy. The network structure with the greatest total entropy can be similarly favored as the most general network structure that fits the observed data. The network structure considers all possible pairs of variables in both directions for predicting a system pattern of interest. The edges that comprise the network with the greatest total TE were then included. Selecting the edges that contribute to the greatest amounts of TE, according to the MaxEnt theory, produces the network that most accurately describes the “causal” patterns among the included variables.

The creation of this network provides the necessary information for constructing a data-driven multi-criteria indicator. Examining the most likely network of potential causal relationships informs variable selection or weighting. Variables that do well to predict others can be used to substitute the variables that they predict or be weighted more heavily for their ability to represent multiple variables. Very similar approaches have been used in finance (1), genetics (48), and ecology predominantly. In the ecosystems considered by Lezon et al. (48), a very large network of trophic interaction exists, but the whole network was not necessary to predict many ecosystem patterns such as ecosystem diversity; a subset suffices. This was in line with the philosophical foundation of information theory that seeks to produce the simplest and most accurate models to predict population patterns. The MaxEnt theory has been also used to determine relevant ecosystem species interactions at different scales for single metapopulations to characterize local habitat and dispersal corridors (49). Recent works emphasize that the MaxEnt principle provides a bridge between statistical mechanics models for collective behavior in ecological/biological networks and experiments on networks of real ecological and biological systems. Most of this work has focused on capturing the measured correlations among pairs of species and biomarkers. Many of the most interesting phenomena of life are collective, emerging from interactions among many elements, and physicists have long hoped that these collective biological phenomena could be described within the framework of statistical mechanics (50). In our context, we extend this vision to public health.

**Using MENet in a multi-criteria decision analysis context**

Most data in BCVI represent negative health outcomes such as mortality rates or disease diagnosis rates. Others represent positive health outcomes such as percentage of the population compliant with habits such as engaging in adequate physical activity or receiving vaccinations. In this context, the terms “positive” and “negative” refer to the expected influences on human health, not to their classifications as positive or negative real numbers. To make the data more consistent for the purpose of a summary indicator, the positive health indicators were reversed. Rather than representing the percentage of the population that was compliant with positive habits, they were changed to represent the percentage that was not compliant with positive habits. Another variable that needed to be changed was life expectancy. Life expectancy was changed to show how much less each city’s life expectancy was compared to the national average in that year. As a result of these changes, larger values represent a worse state of health for all variables.

For initial simplicity, the composite IH measure was defined by summing the various health variables, weighted equally, in an MCDA approach (51, 52). Because the different variables were measured on various scales that were not comparable, all variables were standardized to allow a sensible combination. To standardize the data, the observations of each variable were divided by that variable’s maximum observed value, resulting in all data being valued between zero and one, with the exception of life expectancy, which can have values less than zero. This allows equal weighting for all variables initially. The scaled variables represent the level of each variable relative to the city-year with the worst outcome of that variable.

The process of MCDA is broad and can be adapted to a variety of applications (51, 52). It is approached by optimizing a utility function that considers multiple factors. A utility function can be thought here as a value function multiplied by stakeholder preferences, where value functions are the population outcome considered. This optimization is subject to feasibility constraints. The process can be described as maximizing the following expression

$$U[f_{1,1}(X), f_{1,2}(X), ..., f_{2,1}(X), ..., f_{n,n}(X)], X \in \Omega$$

where $\Omega$ represents feasibility restrictions, the $f_{ij}(X)$ functions represent factors that were considered in the analysis, and $U[\cdot]$ is the utility function that considers these factors (53). The function $U$ is defined by the contextual application, as are the $f$ functions that are in the arguments of $U$. In the context of the present goal of creating an indicator, $f_{ij}$ were defined as

$$f_{ij}(X) = \begin{cases} T_{X_i \rightarrow X_j}, & \{X_i, X_j\} \in E_{MENet} \\ 0, & \{X_i, X_j\} \notin E_{MENet} \end{cases}$$

where $T_{X_i \rightarrow X_j}$ is defined in Eq. 1, $\{X_i, X_j\}$ represents the directed edge connecting $X_i$ to $X_j$, and $E_{MENet}$ represents the set of directed edges in the network with the maximum total TE. The selection of edges to be included in the network was determined by finding the network with the greatest total TE. In the present context, the function $U$ is defined as the total TE of the network, and it was maximized by selection of the $f_{ij}$ functions. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that TE was framed in a decision analytical model and a network entropy threshold model was used to determine the MENet.

TE was computed in R version 3.3.2 using the TransferEntropy package (45, 54). Each variable has a 4-year time series for each city in the data set. The R function to compute the TE from Eq. 2 assumes that both variables contain a single time series. To transform each variable into a single time series, rather than a time series for each of the cities present, the average value for each variable in each year was calculated using the available data for each variable in each year. This averaging was motivated by differing patterns of missing data among the various cities as well as a desire to create a network representing national trends. As a result, this MENet was designed to reflect the patterns of all cities with available data on average. The results from this network were then applied to the individual cities to compare values of the IH.
metric. Depending on the availability of data or other objectives, TE can be calculated differently, for example, by calculating that for different cities separately and averaging those TEs to get national statistics.

The TEs of the yearly averages for each variable were used to construct the MENet by first assessing a threshold for edge inclusion. The threshold represents a percentile of TE, and only the edges representing TE above this threshold were included in the network. In selecting this threshold, two selection methods were considered. The first included the edges with the greatest TEs. The second included the edges with the greatest absolute value of the TEs. The second method considers the possibility of negative TE and sought to include the edges with the greatest absolute value of TEs that is assessing variable interdependence for the variability of IH and the sum of their TEs that is assessing variable interdependence for the variability of IH. Thus, the total entropy of IH the can be written as

$$H(IH) \approx \sum_i H(x_i) + \sum_{i,j} \text{TE}(x_i,x_j) + \sigma(IH)$$

where $x_i$ denote the variables that contribute to the IH indicator. In this equation, $H()$ denotes Shannon entropy, and $\text{TE}(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes TE from the first variable to the second variable. The sum of TEs was a proxy of the mutual information of a variable, thus considering the whole set of variable interdependencies. Comparing the total TE to Shannon entropy compares information contained within each variable to the sum of information projected to other variables and information other variables project to that variable. That is, Eq. 7 describes how information of IH was contained in single variables by themselves in isolation and related to other variables present in MENet that were synergistically interacting with each other (57). The term $\sigma(IH)$ represents unexplained noise that is representative of unexplained variables, discretization artifacts, and numerical methods used in the calculation. The ratios $\mu = \frac{\sum_i H(x_i)}{H(IH)}$ and $\sigma = \frac{\sum_{i,j} \text{TE}(x_i,x_j)}{H(IH)}$ are the first- and second-order sensitivity indices (55).
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