New skulls and skeletons of the Cretaceous legged snake *Najash*, and the evolution of the modern snake body plan
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Snakes represent one of the most dramatic examples of the evolutionary versatility of the vertebrate body plan, including body elongation, limb loss, and skull kinesis. However, understanding the earliest steps toward the acquisition of these remarkable adaptations is hampered by the very limited fossil record of early snakes. Here, we shed light on the acquisition of the snake body plan using micro-computed tomography scans of the first three-dimensionally preserved skulls of the legged snake *Najash* and a new phylogenetic hypothesis. These findings elucidate the initial sequence of bone loss that gave origin to the modern snake skull. Morphological and molecular analyses including the new cranial data provide robust support for an extensive basal radiation of early snakes with hindlimbs and pelves, demonstrating that this intermediate morphology was not merely a transient phase between limbed and limbless body plans.

**INTRODUCTION**

Snakes are a diverse and highly modified lineage of lizards with a long but sparse fossil record, beginning in the upper Middle Jurassic (1). Anatomical adaptations from their ancestral lizard-like skull condition include greatly increased gape size, increased skull kinesis, loss of temporal bones, and expansion of the attachment sites for the jaw adductor musculature. This highly modified skull creates difficulties in identifying homologies with other squamates, resulting in problems reconstructing phylogeny and in understanding the evolutionary acquisition and assembly of the snake skull and elongate body. Despite their limited fossil record, terrestrial and marine deposited sediments from Late Cretaceous Gondwana and its coastal regions preserve some of the oldest known articulated snake remains in the world (2–6). Here, we report eight new skulls and three articulated postcranial skeletons of the Cenomanian legged snake *Najash* (Patagonia, Argentina) (Figs. 1 and 2 and Supplementary Materials), previously known mainly from one articulated partial postcranial skeleton and a partial cranium and associated fragments (6–9). The new skull specimens include a new and near perfectly preserved three-dimensional skull that, by itself, clarifies several long-standing problems on the origin of key features of the modern snake skull. These new *Najash* specimens reveal a mosaic of primitive lizard-like features such as a large triradiate jugal and absence of the crista circumfenestralis, derived snake features such as the absence of the postorbital, as well as intermediate conditions such as a vertically oriented quadrate. The new cranial data also robustly resolve the phylogenetic position of this crucial snake taxon, along with other limbed snakes.

The new three-dimensional, largely uncrushed fossils are found in rocks deposited in dune/interdune depositional environments (10) exposed in the La Buitrera Palaeontological Area (LBPA) (9). Material from this rare Lagerstätte makes *Najash* the well-known Mesozoic fossil snake taxon, followed closely by similar materials and ontogenetic stages of the slightly younger (Santonian-Campanian; Upper Cretaceous) but geographically proximate fossil snake *Dinilysia* (4, 11–14). Other key undisputed Mesozoic Gondwanan snakes include several terrestrial forms, all known only from single specimens: the Maastrichtian *Sanajeh* (15) and *Menarana* (16), and, most recently, the Cenomanian forest-dwelling snake *Xiaophis* (17), as well as marine forms represented by articulated specimens of limbed snakes from the Middle East, the Cenomanian similiophiids *Pachyrhachis* (2), *Eupodophis* (3), and *Haasisophis* (5).

**RESULTS**

The new specimens exhibit a similar overall morphology that permits their assignment to the genus *Najash*; however, pending a full taxonomic review and assessment of the morphological diversity and disparity, the snakes from the LBPA are here conservatively assigned to *Najash* sp. (see the Supplementary Materials). MPCA 500 is preserved in three dimensions, with the right side in perfect articulation from the quadrate to the fragmentary premaxilla; the left side of the skull is broken away and lost, revealing internal and medial aspects of the right nasal, vomer, septomaxilla, and frontal; the parabasal sphenoid rostrum; and the parietal (Figs. 1 and 2 and fig. S1).

For a detailed analysis of its skull anatomy, in addition to standard light microscopy studies, we performed high-resolution computed tomography (CT) scanning of the best-preserved skull (MPCA 500), revealing substantial new anatomical data on the early evolution of the snake skull (Fig. 2 and fig. S1). On the basis of morphology and topology (18), MPCA 500 unequivocally has a large jugal bone, similar to that found in many non-opisthocoelous lizards and consistent with...
primary homologies proposed for other early fossil snakes such as Pachyrhachis, Eupodophis, Yurlunggur, and Dinilysia (19). The unambiguous homology of the bone in MPCA 500 confirms the primary homology hypothesis in other fossil and modern snakes that this circumorbital bone is the jugal, not the postorbital. The jugal of Najash, like the triradiate element found in many lizards, has three major processes: an anterior ramus framing the ventrolateral margin of the orbit, superior to the maxilla, and contacting the prefrontal on the anteroventral orbital margin; a superior ramus framing the posterior margin of the orbit and contacting the posterior margin of the postfrontal and the parietal; and a short but robust posteroventral ramus contacting the ectopterygoid at the ectopterygoid-maxillary joint. This latter process presumably also served as a point of attachment for the quadratomaxillary ligament extending across the ventral margin of the lower temporal fenestra from the jugal-maxilla-ectopterygoid to the quadrate (19).

MPCA 500 and two more fragmentary skulls, MPCA 480 and MPCA 581, confirm that the quadrate in Najash was similar to that of Dinilysia and some extinct snakes, such as Anilius, in being oriented vertically rather than inclined anteroventrally or posteroventrally (Figs. 1 and 2 and fig. S1). Quadrat morphology is comparable to that of Dinilysia, though on a smaller scale: The shaft is thin and narrow in lateral view but wide from the condyle to the large suprastapedial process in posterior view; the suprastapedial process is a large, gently curved process that is posteriorly elongate and doroventrally deep, providing a broad surface for articulation with the supratemporal.

Nearly all extant snakes have a crista circumfenestralis, enclosing a unique bony chamber around the fenestra ovalis and lateral aperture of the recessus scala tympani (20–22). The full crista circumfenestralis is composed of three cristae (tuberalis, interfenestralis, and prootica) that connect, or sometimes fuse, to form a chamber, the juxtastapedial recess, for an expanded perilymphatic sac that emerges from the recessus scala tympani and surrounds the stapedial footplate (20). Arguments for a full crista circumfenestralis (6, 7, 12) versus incomplete, separate cristae (4, 9, 11, 20, 21) have been made in Najash and Dinilysia. MPCA 500, and all other Najash cranial specimens preserving the otic capsular region of the otoocciput (Figs. 1 and 2 and fig. S1), indicates that a full crista circumfenestralis is absent but that at least two of the cristae are present: the crista interfenestralis, which separates the fenestra ovalis from the fenestra rotunda, and the crista tuberalis, which separates the vagus foramen from the fenestra rotunda (thus subdividing the original metotic fissure). However, a crista prootica is absent in Najash, as the stapedial footplate is not overlapped anteriorly by the prootic (Figs. 1 and 2 and fig. S1) (9, 21); the prootic forms a simple superior-anterior rim of the fenestra ovalis. In addition, the new materials refine previous observations made about the bony otic capsule, the footplate and columellar shaft of the stapes (and the inferred presence of an extracolumellar cartilage between the distal tip of the stapes and the quadrate suprastapedial process, similar to Dinilysia, Cylindrophis, Xenopeltis, etc.), the fenestra ovalis and rotunda, and the metotic fissure and vagus foramen (see the Supplementary Materials). The stapedial footplate is large, covering a great proportion of the lateral
process in all specimens; thus, this contact cannot be confirmed as
is broken away near its contact with the quadrate suprastapedial
because of the very small absolute size of most of the specimens, it
 shaft in all specimens is thick and robust relative to skull size, but
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potentially including many taxa known only from vertebrae but
usually restricted to the three better preserved taxa with skulls,
Dinilysia
and “madtsoiids,” an extinct lineage of debated composition
surface of the bony otic capsule, a condition uniquely shared with
identical to that of Dinilysia (fig. S1) (4). Last, there is no evidence of
teeth on the palatine of MPCA 500 (fig. S1).

The phylogenetic relationships of Mesozoic snakes were analyzed
using both Bayesian and parsimony methods on two separate data-
sets of fossil and modern snakes: a morphology-only dataset (1)
and a combined morphological-molecular dataset (25). All analyses
robustly recovered the Mesozoic Gondwanan forms Najash, Dinilysia,
and madtsoiids as basal snakes, outside modern (crown group)
Serpentes. They form either a grade (Fig. 3 and figs. S2 and S3) or a clade
(Fig. 4 and figs. S4 and S5) relative to modern snakes. The fossil
vertebral form-taxon Coniophis emerges as either sister to or within
crown Serpentes (Fig. 3 and fig. S5), contra a recent analysis (26)
finding this taxon (both with or without putatively associated skull
material) to be more basal. The affinities of the limbed Cretaceous
marine snakes (simoliophiids) also emerge as basal snakes in most
analyses (Figs. 3 and 4 and figs. S2 to S4). Notably, these basal posi-
tions for the terrestrial and marine limbed snakes are consistently
retrieved, although relationships within crown snakes vary across
the four analyses, mirroring current disagreements between different
genomic datasets and between genomes and morphology. The place-
ment of blind snakes (scolecophidians) varies most dramatically:
Whereas morphological data alone provide support for a more de-
 rived position within extant snakes (Fig. 3 and fig. S2 and S3) than in
most published morphological phylogenies [e.g., (1, 6–9, 12, 15, 26)],
combined analysis, owing to the strong molecular signal, retrieves
blind snakes as sister to all other extant snakes (Fig. 4 and fig. S4).

Fig. 2. CT scan reconstructions of the articulated skull of Najash (MPCA 500).
( A) Right lateral view. (B) Left lateral view. (C) Dorsal view. (D) Ventral view. (I, optic
foramen; V, trigeminal foramen; aiaf, anterior inferior alveolar foramen; amf, ante ri or
mylohyoid foramen; at, atlas-axis; ang, angular; bo, basioccipital; cb, compound
bone; co, coronoid; cri, crista interfenestralis; crt, crista tuberalis; d, dentary; ept,
ectopterygoid; fr, frontal; iof, infraorbital foramen; j, jugal; mf, mental foramina; mx,
maxilla; n, nasal; ot, otocipital; pa, parietal; pbs, parabasisphenoid; pal, palatine;
pxm, premaxilla; pof, postfrontal; prf, prefrontal; pro, prootic; pt, pterygoid; q,
quadrate; smx, septomaxilla; so, supraoccipital; sp, splenial; st, supratemporal; stf,
stapedial footplate; vo, vomer.

DISCUSSION
Assessing the phylogenetic position of Najash among other fossil
and modern snakes has been difficult for several reasons: (i) numer ous
potential terminal taxa with highly variable anatomical features, (ii)
strong previous disagreement on the identification of important
anatomical components of fossil snakes (e.g., jugal versus postorbital
and the nature of the crista circumfenestralis and its occurrence in
early snake fossils) and its subsequent impact on the conceptualiza-
tion and coding of morphological phylogenetic characters (27), (iii)
strong disagreement between the morphological and the molecular
signal for the placement of many extant taxa. We address these
long-standing issues here, based on the numerous new specimens of
Najash, and our reassessment of the known Mesozoic snake fossils
with cranial material. These analyses clarify the evolutionary changes
between lizards and the origin of modern (crown) snakes. It has also
long been considered that a crista circumfenestralis was a necessary
anatomical feature defining “snakeness” (7, 12, 28). However, the
absence of the full crista in Najash and other basal fossil snakes
indicates that the crista circumfenestralis is not a diagnostic feature
of all snakes but rather is a characteristic only of most, but not all,
crown snakes and presents a highly variable morphology among
those snakes. A reentrant fluid circuit may still have been present,
though, in Najash, not fully bound by a bony crest system, similar to
the condition in the few particular modern snakes lacking a full
crista circumfenestralis (20, 28). Our analyses robustly support the
basal phylogenetic position within snakes of the limbed terrestrial
forms Najash, Dinilysia, and madtsoiids and also suggest a similarly
basal position for the limbed marine snakes (simoliophiids). The new
cranial data [along with previously reported (7) and new postcranial
data (9, 29)] retrieve this basal position even in the context of radical
new molecular topologies for living snakes (Fig. 4 and fig. S4),
contrary to the most recent morphological and molecular analyses (30, 31). This basal position of the limbed terrestrial and marine snakes indicates that snakes retain sizeable external hindlimbs and sacral contacts for a substantial time after their origin—from approximately 170 Ma to the youngest confirmed legged snakes, the simoliophiids, at approximately 100 Ma. This indicates that (i) the reduction and loss of the pectoral girdle and forelimbs probably occurred much earlier, given the definitive absence of these structures in simoliophiids and the lack of evidence for their presence in Najash, Dinilysia, and madsotoidi, and was probably a major event in the early radiation of snakes, and one that occurred well before crown (modern) snake origins; (ii) the “forelimb-absent and hindlimb-reduced” morphology was a stable and successful body plan, rather than a transient phase between limbed and limbless conditions; and (iii) the origin of crown snakes was characterized by a major reduction in the hindlimb and pelvis (including loss of sacral contacts). The identification of the posterior orbital element as the jugal (instead of the postorbital) demonstrates that the postorbital was lost very early in snake evolution (Fig. 3), probably at the same time as the loss of the upper temporal bar and likely some time before the loss of forelimbs, a condition common to other squamates (e.g., geckos, Heloderma, and Lanthanotus). Najash is now the best-known early snake and substantially clarifies the homologies of several problematic but key elements of the modern snake skull as well as the evolution of the skull from much more ancient snakes and even earlier nonsnake lizards. These new materials of Najash shed light on the affinities of Late Mesozoic snakes and the successive evolutionary changes that led to the origin of modern snakes and one of the most remarkable vertebrate body plans.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Materials
All the specimens of Najash come from the LBPA (9), a vast area in the Río Negro province (northern Patagonia, Argentina), northwest of the town of Cerro Policía, which includes within its extension a number of paleontological localities: La Buitrera, Cerro Policía, El Loro, La Escondida, and El Pueblito. The outcrops exposed at the LBPA belong to the upper section of the Candeleros Formation, the basal unit of the Neuquén Group, which is considered to have accumulated during the Cenomanian (32). These deposits underlie the Huincul Formation, dated by fission track analysis of a volcanic tuff unit at about 88 Ma (33). The snake specimens described here have been collected in three of these localities: La Buitrera Locality, Cerro Policía Locality, and El Pueblito Locality. Within each of these localities, snake remains were recovered from a large number of different sublocalities, sites, or one-off quarries (see list in the Supplementary Materials). In general, all the remains have been recovered as single, partially articulated specimens from a sandy succession that is considered to represent the interaction between fluvial and...
aeolian accumulations on the fringe of a large erg, the Kokorkom Desert (10). Most of the snake specimens have been collected from thick, cross-bedded sandstone beds that are related to the migration of relatively large aeolian dunes, often found associated with paleosols as evidenced by the presence of abundant rhizoliths and burrows.

**Methods**

**X-ray CT**

MPCA 500 was scanned at Universidad Maimónides. The scans were conducted with a spatial resolution of 7 to 8 μm.

**Segmentation procedure**

The skull of *Najash* (MPCA 500) was segmented in Avizo v.9.0 (Thermo Scientific). The stack of tiff images (1780 slices, 887 × 930 pixels) was imported into the software, and the Threshold Tool was used to remove as much rock matrix as possible. However, in several parts of the specimen, the density of the bones approached that of the rock matrix, and several dense mineralizations and fractures prevented an effective removal of the rock matrix via thresholding without affecting the bones as well. Therefore, all the individual bones had to be manually segmented slice by slice, taking care of controlling the accuracy of the segmentation process via constant reference to the different views (three-dimensional and orthogonal views in the segmentation editor). The individual skull bones were segmented using the Lasso and Brush tools after locking the exterior and/or other already segmented bones. The segmented elements were then rendered as a surface (through the Generate Surface and Surface View modules) in Avizo v.9.0 and exported as stereolithography (stl) files.

**Phylogenetic analyses**

Two phylogenetic datasets were used to investigate the new data provided here: dataset 1, the snake phylogeny dataset of Caldwell et al. (1), with substantial modifications and expansions made by us (see the Supplementary Materials), and dataset 2, the recently published diapsid-squamate dataset of Simões et al. (25) to investigate the placement of snakes among other squamates and their divergence times based on morphological and molecular data (see also the Supplementary Materials).

**Maximum parsimony analyses**

Analyses were conducted in TNT 1.5-beta (34). For dataset 1, all heuristic searches were done under equal weights and consisted of 1000 rounds of random addition sequence of taxa followed by Tree Bisection Reconnection (TBR) branch swapping, holding 10 trees per replication, and collapsing branches of zero length after tree search. The resulting trees were subjected to a final round of TBR branch swapping, and optimal topologies were rooted with *Varanus*. A total of 392 most parsimonious trees (MPTs) were obtained with 624 steps each. The same analytical procedures were followed for the analysis of dataset 2 (morphological data only), and optimal topologies were rooted with *Protorothyris archeri*. A total of 432 MPTs were obtained with 2353 steps each.

**Bayesian inference analyses**

Analyses were conducted with MrBayes v.3.2.6 (35) using the Cedar computer cluster made available through Compute Canada and the CIPRES Science Gateway v.3.333. Molecular partitions were analyzed using the models of evolution obtained from PartitionFinder2 (36), and the morphological partition was analyzed with the Mkvd model (37) and a gamma distribution for the prior on rate variation among
characters [based on a previous assessment of the best-fitting model of rate variation for this dataset (25)].

**Time-calibrated relaxed-clock Bayesian inference analyses**

For dataset 2, we implemented “total-evidence-dating” using the fossilized birth-death tree model with sampled ancestors, under a relaxed-clock model in MrBayes v.3.2.6 (38), following the same procedures as in Simões et al. (25). The chosen relaxed-clock model is the independent gamma rate relaxed-clock model (39). The base of the clock rate was based on a preliminary nonclock analysis; the median value for tree height in substitutions from posterior trees divided by the age of the tree based on the median of the distribution for the root prior: 29.4493/325.45 = 0.09048, in natural log scale = -2.402626. Following Pyron (31), we chose to use the exponent of the mean to provide a broad standard deviation: 0.09048 = 1.094699. Sampling strategy was set to diversity, which is more appropriate when extant taxa are sampled in a way to maximize diversity (as performed here) and fossils are sampled randomly (38). The vast majority of our calibrations were based on tip-dating, which accounts for the uncertainty in the placement of fossil taxa and avoids the issue of bound estimates for node-based age calibrations (38). The fossil ages used for tip-dating correspond to the uniform prior distributions on the age range of the stratigraphic occurrence of the fossils (available in table S3). However, using tip-dates only can contribute to unrealistically older divergence time estimates for some clades (40). Therefore, for the clades for which we lacked some of the oldest known fossils in our analysis, for which there is overwhelming support in the literature (and in all our other analyses) regarding their monophyletism, and for which the age of the oldest known fossil is well established, we used node age calibrations with a soft lower bound. Namely, these were captorhinids, choristoderes, snakes, and rhynchocephalians [for more details, see the Supplementary Materials and also (27)]. Combined with diversity sampling strategy, the latter dating protocol can ensure reliable divergence time estimates. The age of the root was set with a soft lower bound to the same age as in Simões et al. (25). Convergence of independent runs was assessed using average standard deviation of split frequencies (ASDSF = 0.01), potential scale reduction factors (PSRF ≈ 1 for all parameters), and effective sample size (ESS) for each parameter greater than 200.

**Supplementary Materials**

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/5/11/eaax5833/DC1
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Fig. S1. CT scan reconstructions disarticulating individual cranial elements of Najash (MPCA 500).

Fig. S2. Morphological data—only Bayesian inference analysis of dataset 2.

Fig. S3. Morphological data—only maximum parsimony analysis of dataset 2.

Fig. S4. Combined evidence relaxed-clock Bayesian inference analysis of dataset 2.

Fig. S5. Maximum parsimony analysis of dataset 1.

Fig. S6. Bayesian analysis of dataset 1.

Table S1. Measurements (length in millimeters) of the preserved portion of the selected elements of the described specimens.

Table S2. Stratigraphy and age for the newly included fossil taxa used for tip-dating calibrations.

Table S3. Accession numbers for the sampled molecular data for the additional extant taxa included here relative to the molecular data available in Simões et al. (25).

Movie S1. Micro-CT scan video of Najash skull MPCA 500, pitch mode.

Movie S2. Micro-CT scan video of Najash skull MPCA 500, roll mode.

Movie S3. Micro-CT scan video of Najash skull MPCA 500, yaw mode.

Data file S1. Nexus file for morphological phylogenetic ingroup dataset, with MrBayes command used for uncalibrated Bayesian analysis.

Data file S2. (Nexus_File_Dataset2_Computed_MrBayes) Nexus file for combined phylogenetic diapsid-squamate dataset with MrBayes command used for uncalibrated Bayesian analysis.

Data file S3. (Nexus_File_Dataset2_MorphologyOnly) Nexus file for morphological phylogenetic diapsid-squamate dataset.
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