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Surviving COVID-19: A disease tolerance perspective

In December 2019, an epidemic of pneumonia of unknown cause emerged in Wuhan, China. In early January 2020, a virus was sequenced and identified as a novel coronavirus named SARS-CoV-2, the causative agent of COVID-19. By March 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared the outbreak a pandemic with current numbers reaching over 1 million individuals infected and approximately 75,000 deaths globally. There has always been a disconnect between our methods for treating infectious diseases and our understanding of the mechanisms that promote the survival of infections (1). This global pandemic has underscored the necessity to understand how it is that we survive infections and why this may be different from the ways we often think about the treatment of infectious diseases.

A successful response to any infectious disease outbreak requires a multipronged approach. With COVID-19, governments are enforcing unprecedented quarantines and social distancing measures to facilitate the containment and reduce transmission of the virus, efforts that are proving to be effective. Scientists from private and public sectors are racing to identify a successful vaccine, which will be essential for the prevention of future infections and mortalities, thereby reducing pressures on the healthcare system, economy, and society. Where these efforts fall short are with the current approaches for developing treatments for those that are sick with and dying from a COVID-19 infection. The current potential COVID-19 treatments being tested include antivirals already in use for HIV, antimalarial drugs and other compounds that may prevent viral replication, and convalescent serum. The WHO has a similar focus on identification of therapies that destroy the virus with their launch of the SOLIDARITY trial that is streamlining the testing of multiple antiviral strategies that may be effective against COVID-19 (2). The unifying goal for these therapeutic efforts is the identification of drugs that target the virus to inhibit viral replication.

Antivirals will likely be effective for the fraction of infected patients that develop “mild” cases of COVID-19 by shortening their length of infection and for reducing transmission to naive individuals, but for the patients who develop severe and critical disease and who are destined for hospitalization and intensive care, the antiviral-based strategy does not jive with what is needed at the frontlines, where the medics and patients are fighting for life. These patients progress to severe and critical stages associated with pneumonia, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and respiratory failure, septic shock, and multiorgan dysfunction (3), conditions that are caused by the host response to the virus. With these patients, the issue at hand is to sustain physiological function and buy them time so that they can get off the path to death and begin one toward recovery. To do this, doctors rely on supportive care such as mechanical ventilators, fluids, oxygen, blood pressure, and anticoagulation medications, not antivirals. The importance of identifying effective methods to target the host response to the infection rather than developing specific antivirals for the critically ill patients is underscored by clinical data involving patients with influenza. Approximately 25% of critically ill patients that receive optimal antiviral therapy still die (4). This implicates that the host response to the virus is a major factor in determining the outcome of an influenza and, likely, COVID-19 infections. So, while hospitals and governments race to find sufficient amounts of supportive care equipment as their health care systems are threatened with exceeding capacity, scientists are focused on developing antivirals and not on drugs that promote physiological function during the infection. In addition to developing antivirals, we need therapeutics that perform the functions of supportive care so that doctors are better equipped with an arsenal of therapies that can target any aspect of the patient’s physiology to sustain its function. Such therapies will not only operate to promote survival but also come with less risk of the pathogen developing drug resistance that will eventually happen with the antivirals because these strategies target the health of the host and not the virus (5).

There is no scientific or public health reason for why we have not developed such therapeutics. It was described more than a decade ago that the infection defense response relies on essential mechanisms for survival that limit damage to the host and promote physiological function, rather than targeting the pathogen (6, 7). These mechanisms are called “disease tolerance” mechanisms encoded by the host’s “cooperative defense system” and are essential for survival following infections and operate to achieve the same goal as supportive care. The cooperative defense system also encodes “antivirulence” mechanisms that neutralize pathogen and host-derived pathogenic signals that cause damage (8). There are considerations for antivirulence-based strategies for COVID-19 treatments including blocking components of the innate immune system such as IL-6 and inflammasome activation. There are two potential concerns for these particular approaches. First is that they can increase patient susceptibility to the virus or secondary bacterial infections because they block immune responses. Second, there is...
an important temporal aspect to the infection that needs to be considered. By the time patients with severe respiratory viral infection present for care and are hypoxic, the initiating pathogenic responses by the host that lead to ARDS have already occurred. Therefore, it is questionable whether focusing on the initial events leading to tissue damage make sense, and perhaps, the more logical approach is to focus on the disease tolerance components of the host defense response that will sustain physiological function in the presence of this damage and that initiate a recovery response. From a public health perspective, it makes sense to develop host-directed strategies to promote sustaining physiological function. For any given outbreak, we do not necessarily know the pathogen beforehand and, therefore, likely will not be armed with effective vaccines and antimicrobials. We do, however, know how the body works and that despite the primary cause of disease, there are a finite number of ways in which a patient can develop pathology and die (8). We therefore can develop disease tolerance drugs that alleviate these pathologies and/or promote physiological function in the face of these pathologies that we can call upon for the next pandemic, reduce patient mortality, while we wait for effective vaccines and antimicrobial-based strategies.

The simple explanation for this disconnect is that the perspective for combating infectious diseases shared by scientists is incomplete. The fields of immunology and microbiology have focused on understanding strategies to kill the infection, which has provided us with some of the most important innovations for global health: vaccines and antimicrobials. However, while this perspective is valuable, it is not enough. Instead of asking “how do we fight infections?”, we might start asking “how do we survive infections?” To understand the answer to this question, we must approach infectious diseases at the molecular, cellular, organ, physiological, and organismal levels. We have an understanding of the mechanisms of disease pathogenesis for COVID-19–related pathologies, and now, we need to understand the mechanism that restore normal function in the body and how we can drug these pathways for COVID-19 treatment. For example, understanding how lung function is normally restored when perturbed can inform us as to how it can be maintained in the face of infection. The complications associated with ARDS include hypoxia due to damage of the alveolar epithelial and endothelial capillary barriers, leading to fluid accumulation, alveolar collapse, and reduced gas exchange. Fluid reabsorption and surfactant production involve metabolic processes performed by the alveolar epithelial cells. Can we manipulate the metabolism of these cells to sustain surfactant production and secretion and fluid reabsorption from the alveoli to promote gas exchange and prevent extrapulmonary pathologies caused by respiratory failure? The alveolar epithelial and capillary endothelial barriers are disrupted by inflammatory signals of immune cells. Can we manipulate the physiology of the epithelial and endothelial cells so that they are resistant to the pathogenic signals and therefore maintain the barrier preventing fluid accumulation and poor gas exchange? Are there insights to be gleaned from the treatments of other lung diseases? Are there insights that can come from progress in wound repair, vascular function, and metabolic disease? Do the answers for disease tolerance mechanisms lie with those that are asymptomatic carriers of COVID-19 and those that are mildly symptomatic? Recruiting experts from diverse fields that cover all aspects of host and pathogen physiology will make us better equipped to deal with the complex nature of host survival of infections. Perhaps, by stepping beyond our focus on the virus, we may learn how to survive it.
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