A technique that provides more accurate cancer detection would be of great value. Toward this end, we developed T1 relaxation-enhanced steady-state (T1RESS), a novel magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) pulse sequence that enables the flexible modulation of T1 weighting and provides the unique feature that intravascular signals can be toggled on and off in contrast-enhanced scans. T1RESS makes it possible to effectively use an MRI technique with improved signal-to-noise ratio efficiency for cancer imaging. In a proof-of-concept study, “dark blood” unbalanced T1RESS provided a twofold improvement in tumor-to-brain contrast compared with standard techniques, whereas balanced T1RESS greatly enhanced vascular detail. In conclusion, T1RESS represents a new MRI technique with substantial potential value for cancer imaging, along with a broad range of other clinical applications.
contrast were $1.69 \pm 0.89$ versus $0.82 \pm 0.55$ ($P = 5.14 \times 10^{-8}$), and the respective values for mean tumor–to–blood vessel contrast were $2.56 \pm 1.21$ versus $-0.15 \pm 0.34$ ($P = 5.54 \times 10^{-8}$).

uT1RESS also provided markedly superior tumor-to-brain contrast and CNR compared with T1 3D variable flip angle fast spin echo (3D-VFA-FSE) (Fig. 6). Comparing uT1RESS with T1 3D-VFA-FSE (32 tumors in 14 patients), the respective values for mean CNR of brain tumors were $180.32 \pm 92.34$ versus $60.34 \pm 54.88$ ($P = 8.75 \times 10^{-7}$), the respective values for mean tumor-to-brain contrast were $1.76 \pm 0.76$ versus $0.89 \pm 0.43$ ($P = 7.95 \times 10^{-7}$), and the respective values for mean tumor-to-blood vessel contrast were $2.58 \pm 1.21$ versus $11.94 \pm 5.26$ ($P = 7.95 \times 10^{-7}$).

**Discuss**

Several types of MRI pulse sequences are routinely used in clinical practice. The most common include spin echo and its rapid variant, fast spin echo (5); spoiled GRE (6); and balanced steady-state free precession (SSFP) (bSSFP) (7). For oncological applications of MRI, T1 weighting is essential to detect tumor enhancement from paramagnetic contrast agents (8). The spoiled GRE sequence provides excellent T1 weighting and is efficient with respect to scan time because it allows the use of short repetition times (TR). Volumetric implementations such as 3D IR-SPGRE have become essential components of cancer imaging protocols for the brain and other organ systems (9). However, spoiled GRE–based techniques have low SNR efficiency as they are restricted to using a low flip angle RF excitation (on the order of the Ernst angle of the tissue of interest) to avoid excessive depletion of the longitudinal magnetization (10).

Like spoiled GRE, bSSFP also permits the use of very short TR. Unlike spoiled GRE, bSSFP can effectively use a large flip angle RF excitation due to its recycling of transverse magnetization, which results in the highest SNR efficiency of any MRI sequence (11).

With bSSFP, the image contrast is almost entirely determined by the ratio of the T2 and T1 relaxation times (11, 12). Consequently, tissues with a high T2/T1 ratio, such as arterial blood, cerebrospinal fluid, and fat, appear bright, whereas those with a low T2/T1 ratio, such as white matter and muscle (13), appear dark (14). While this tissue contrast has proven useful for several clinical indications (15–18), its dependence on the T2/T1 ratio limits the overall clinical utility of the technique. With respect to imaging of tumors, paramagnetic contrast agents shorten both the T1 and T2 relaxation times of enhancing tissues, leaving the T2/T1 ratio (and thereby the bSSFP signal intensity) largely unchanged (11). Consequently, despite their potential benefits, it remains problematic to use bSSFP pulse sequences for oncological applications.

The T1RESS method overcomes these limitations through a redesign of the steady-state pulse sequence architecture. It introduces a flexible degree of T1 weighting into the sequence while maintaining its excellent SNR efficiency by repeatedly applying additional nonspatially selective partial saturation contrast-modifying (CMα) RF pulses throughout the duration of the echo train. The CMα RF pulses can be adjusted independently of the imaging RF pulses. The amount of T1 weighting can be changed as needed by varying the values for the CMα flip angle and TR, with larger flip angles and shorter TR resulting in more T1 weighting. For the current study, the typical values for the CMα flip angle and TR were $\approx 75^\circ$ and $\approx 400$ ms, respectively.

This pulse sequence redesign has two essential benefits for oncological applications: (i) it makes the T1RESS method highly sensitive to the T1 shortening effects of paramagnetic contrast agents, so that enhancing tumors can be well visualized, and (ii) it substantially reduces the signal intensity of nonenhancing background tissues, thereby improving the visibility of those enhancing tumors. The high degree of background signal suppression results from several factors: (i) the repeated application of the CMα RF pulses, which partially...
saturates the longitudinal magnetization; (ii) the low T2/T1 ratio of healthy brain tissue, which, in combination with the SSFP readout, results in a substantially diminished signal intensity relative to spoiled GRE; and (iii) magnetization transfer effects, which are much greater with T1 RESS than spoiled GRE due to the frequent application of short-duration high flip angle imaging and CMα RF pulses (19).

The balanced version of T1RESS, which we call bT1RESS, demonstrated excellent tumor-to-background contrast and CNR. It also provided improved angiographic renderings of blood vessels compared with 3D spoiled GRE or IR-SPGRE. Unfortunately, having contrast-enhanced blood vessels appear bright can be a disadvantage for cancer imaging. Enhancing blood vessels distract from, and potentially may be confused with, enhancing tumors (20). This may be especially problematic for detecting very small tumors and leptomeningeal metastases because of the presence of bright cortical vessels nearby.

Therefore, a second uT1RESS version was developed to provide a robust solution for this problem.

uT1RESS renders blood vessels dark by using a steady-state unbalanced GRE readout in which the phase-encoding gradients are rewound and the gradient along the frequency-encoding direction is unbalanced (12, 21, 22). The resultant suppression of intravascular signals is a consequence of flow- and diffusion-related phase dispersion that gradually accumulates with each sequence repetition (23). Because the 3D T1RESS acquisition uses a very large number (≈40,000) of sequence repetitions, intravascular phase dispersion is complete, resulting in marked suppression of intravascular signal regardless of vessel orientation. While unbalanced steady-state sequences are known to be more motion sensitive than bSSFP or spoiled GRE (24), we found that motion artifacts were generally mild or absent in our brain studies. This is likely because T1RESS uses a
In conclusion, T₁ RESS represents a redesign of the traditional steady-state pulse sequence architecture. This novel MRI method enables the flexible modulation of T1 weighting and provides the unique feature that intravascular signals can be toggled on and off in contrast-enhanced scans. T₁RESS makes it possible to effectively use an MRI technique with improved SNR efficiency for cancer imaging. While this initial proof-of-concept study was not designed to determine the diagnostic accuracy of the technique, the combination of twofold improved tumor-to-background contrast and flexible control over intravascular signal has the potential to make T₁RESS a valuable clinical tool. The improvement in contrast should facilitate the detection of cancer at an earlier stage for the brain and other organs such as the liver, breast, and prostate than is possible with current MRI techniques. In addition, the combination of high SNR efficiency, short TR, and suppression of signal from macroscopic vessels may prove advantageous for dynamic contrast-enhanced evaluation of tumor perfusion.

T₁RESS could also prove beneficial for a range of nononcological applications. For instance, the sensitivity to contrast enhancement and suppression of intravascular signal with uT₁RESS could prove helpful for detecting active lesions of multiple sclerosis or evaluating vessel wall inflammation, whereas the high SNR efficiency of bT₁RESS could be leveraged to substantially reduce the contrast agent dosage or scan time needed for magnetic resonance angiography. However, further work is needed for sequence modeling, optimization, and clinical validation to realize the full potential of the technique.

### Materials and Methods

#### Experimental Design

This study was approved by the hospital institutional review board with waiver of consent. Contrast-enhanced MRI of the brain was performed at 3 T (MAGNETOM Skyra and MAGNETOM Skyra™, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) in 54 adult subjects (ages, 19 to 88 years; 27 female) with suspected or known brain tumors. For contrast-enhanced MRI of the head, gadobutrol (0.1 mmol/kg) (Bayer, Berlin, Germany) was administered intravenously, followed by standard-of-care 2D fast spin echo and, in a subset of patients, 3D IR-SPGRE. Total scan duration for these postcontrast sequences ranged from approximately 6 to 13 min. Immediately following acquisition of these sequences, three additional postcontrast scans were typically obtained, consisting of balanced and unbalanced T₁RESS as well as an additional 3D spoiled GRE acquisition that was matched for scan time and spatial resolution with T₁RESS.

**T₁RESS Sequence Design and Scan Parameters**

To obtain T1 weighting for a contrast-enhanced MRI scan, bSSFP traditionally incorporates a preparatory 90° saturation recovery (e.g., for first-pass contrast-enhanced perfusion imaging) or 180° IR RF pulse (e.g., for imaging of delayed myocardial enhancement). These preparatory RF pulses are followed by a waiting period of at least a few hundred milliseconds before data collection (27–30). The use of a single large flip angle preparatory RF pulse has several drawbacks: (i) It reduces the SNR; (ii) k-space lines acquired early in the echo train will have a markedly different amount of T1 weighting from ones acquired later on, potentially causing a loss of contrast for small lesions; and (iii) the lengthy waiting period greatly diminishes the SNR efficiency compared with an unmodified bSSFP sequence, thereby increasing scan time.

The T₁RESS pulse sequence avoids these limitations by applying a rectangular-shaped, spatially noneselective partial saturation contrast-modifying (CMα) RF pulse at regular intervals (CMα TR)
throughout the duration of a continuous 3D SSFP acquisition, without any waiting period (Fig. 1). For bT₁RESS, the steady-state magnetization is stored along the $z$ axis by a $\alpha/2(-)$ pulse immediately before each application of the CM$\alpha$ pulse, followed by a second $\alpha/2(+)$ pulse to restore the steady-state magnetization to its previous state (where $\alpha$ is the imaging flip angle). While the magnetization is stored along the $z$ axis, the CM$\alpha$ RF pulse can be applied without disrupting the steady-state echo train. For uT₁RESS, the CM$\alpha$ pulse is applied between phase-encoding segments without additional store/restore pulse pairs, while a weak gradient spoiler (20% of the default amplitude) is applied along the frequency-encoding direction between imaging RF pulses to provide a small degree of flow-related dephasing.

Fig. 4. Pulse sequence comparisons in a patient with multiple breast cancer metastases to the brain. Axial (top) and coronal (bottom) 1-mm-thick axial multiplanar reformations for bT₁RESS (left), uT₁RESS (middle), and 3D spoiled GRE (right). With bT₁RESS and 3D spoiled GRE, a minute metastasis (red arrow) is difficult to distinguish from enhancing blood vessels (green dashed arrows) running through the slice that have a similar appearance in cross section. However, the lesion can be unambiguously identified using uT₁RESS because the signals from blood vessels and background tissues are well suppressed. Prominent left infratemporal contrast enhancement (blue open arrow) relates to a recent tumor resection.

Fig. 5. Patient with multiple metastases. (A) 3D inversion recovery spoiled GRE (IR-SPGRE). (B) 3D spoiled GRE. (C) uT₁RESS. Two lesions (red arrows) are much more conspicuous with uT₁RESS than with 3D IR-SPGRE or spoiled GRE, despite all sequences being acquired at the same spatial resolution. Multiple additional minute enhancing foci (such as the ones labeled with blue open arrows) are only visible with uT₁RESS.
3D partition-encoding direction. Typical sequence parameters included echo spacing of $\approx 2.9$ ms, flip angle of the imaging RF pulse $\approx 50^\circ$, sampling bandwidth = 888 Hz per pixel, CMR flip angle = 75°, 7/8 slice partial Fourier, parallel acceleration factor = 2, and CMR TR $\approx 400$ ms. A chemical shift-selective fat saturation RF pulse was applied along with each CMR RF pulse. Scan times were $\approx 1$ min 45 s for two signal averages.

For T$_1$RESS and 3D spoiled GRE, a 3D slab was acquired in a sagittal orientation using a rectangular-shaped, spatially nonselective RF excitation. Spatial resolution was near isotropic with reconstructed slice thickness of 0.45 mm and in-plane spatial resolution of 0.5 mm. The 3D spoiled GRE acquisition used an echo spacing of $5.5$ ms, flip angle of 11°, and sampling bandwidth of 395 Hz per pixel. Scan time for 3D spoiled GRE was $\approx 1$ min 49 s. Standard-of-care 3D IR-SPGRE was acquired in an axial orientation with 1-mm$^3$ isotropic spatial resolution, TR = 1900 ms, TI = 900 ms, TE = 2.4 ms, parallel acceleration factor = 2, and sampling bandwidth = 435 Hz per pixel, and scan time = 4 min 30 s.

In 14 patients, both T1 3D-VFA-FSE and uT$_1$RESS were acquired using approximately 1-mm$^3$ isotropic spatial resolution. T1 3D-VFA-FSE was acquired using default parameters (e.g., TR = 700 ms, echo train length = 44, sampling bandwidth = 435 Hz per pixel), except that the slice parallel acceleration factor was increased from 2 to 4 to reduce the scan time to 3.5 min. The number of signal averages was increased from 2 to 4 for uT1RESS to match this scan time.

**Signal measurement and statistical analysis**

Region-of-interest signal measurements were obtained in brain lesions, in nearby normal brain tissue, in air, and in the superior sagittal sinus. Given that SNR per voxel was well above the Rose threshold of 4 to distinguish image features with certainty, it is unlikely that image noise plays much role in lesion visibility for the MRI scans used in this study. Therefore, we used a calculation analogous to Weber contrast, computed as $(S_{\text{tumor}} - S_{\text{normal}})/S_{\text{normal}}$ as the primary metric for lesion visibility (31). In addition, the CNR was used as a secondary metric for lesion visibility, calculated as $0.655*(S_{\text{tumor}} - S_{\text{normal}})/S_{\text{air}}$. $S_{\text{tumor}}$, $S_{\text{normal}}$, and $S_{\text{air}}$ are the mean signal intensities of the tumor and normal-appearing adjacent normal brain tissue, and $S_{\text{air}}$ is the standard deviation within air above the head. To normalize for the 2.57-fold longer scan time of the 3D IR-SPGRE sequence and the 2-fold longer scan time of T1 3D-VFA-FSE and 4-average uT$_1$RESS, the CNR was multiplied by 1/2.57 and 1/2, respectively. Quantitative measures were compared using Wilcoxon signed-rank tests. Statistical comparisons were done using the SciPy computing library (version 1.4.1, https://scipy.org/scipylib/). Data were presented as mean ± SD.
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