Recycling of multilayer plastic packaging materials by solvent-targeted recovery and precipitation
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Many plastic packaging materials manufactured today are composites made of distinct polymer layers (i.e., multilayer films). Billions of pounds of these multilayer films are produced annually, but manufacturing inefficiencies result in large, corresponding postindustrial waste streams. Although relatively clean (as opposed to municipal wastes) and of near-constant composition, no commercially practiced technologies exist to fully deconstruct postindustrial multilayer film wastes into pure, recyclable polymers. Here, we demonstrate a unique strategy we call solvent-targeted recovery and precipitation (STRAP) to deconstruct multilayer films into their constituent resins using a series of solvent washes that are guided by thermodynamic calculations of polymer solubility. We show that the STRAP process is able to separate three representative polymers (polyethylene, ethylene vinyl alcohol, and polyethylene terephthalate) from a commercially available multilayer film with nearly 100% material efficiency, affording recyclable resins that are cost-competitive with the corresponding virgin materials.

INTRODUCTION
Multilayer plastic films are ubiquitous in the flexible and rigid plastic packaging industry (1). These complex materials, represented schematically in Fig. 1, are constituted by distinct layers of heteropolymers such as polyolefins and polyesters, with each layer selected to contribute a corresponding property advantage to the bulk material, depending on the application (2). The following are examples: Polyethylene (PE) is a flexible material that is often used as a moisture barrier in packaging materials for medical and consumer goods; ethylene vinyl alcohol (EVOH) is an oxygen barrier commonly found in food packaging materials; polyethylene terephthalate (PET) is an effective gas and moisture barrier that imparts rigidity and strength and is ubiquitous in single-use plastic water bottles (3). Adding to the complexity of these materials, although not shown explicitly in Fig. 1, are any number of tie layers [such as ethylene vinyl acetate (EVA)], wet bond adhesives, or additives (such as TiO2) that may be present in small [<1 weight % (wt %)] quantities compared with the principal resin fractions.

The versatility and affordability of multilayer plastic films have created a large demand for them. Accordingly, more than 100 million tons of multilayer thermoplastics are produced globally each year (4). However, up to 40% of manufactured multilayer films go unused in the final packaging application because of inefficiencies in the packaging fabrication processes, such as cutting the film into templated shapes (5). These unused fractions represent large, post-industrial waste (PIW) sources that are not contaminated with food or other impurities and could be readily captured and reintroduced into multilayer film manufacturing equipment. This sort of closed-loop recycling scheme, wherein manufactured waste materials are collected and reused in their originally intended capacity, meets the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s definition of primary recycling (6). However, multilayer packaging materials cannot be recycled using traditional plastic recycling technologies (like mechanical recycling) owing to the chemical incompatibility of the different layers. To be compatible with existing recycling infrastructures, multilayer plastic waste scraps would first need to be partially or fully deconstructed into their constituent resins before being cofed into processing equipment to produce reconstituted multilayer films (7). Currently, no commercially viable technologies exist to do so. Multilayer plastics present in postconsumer municipal waste streams present a similar problem: Technologies exist to recycle single-component plastics based on mechanical or chemically assisted methods (8), but no strategies exist to process multilayer films in closed-loop primary recycling schemes (9, 10). Furthermore, in contrast to PIW wastes, these postconsumer waste streams are contaminated with food and other impurities, making the cleaning of them a challenge. Together, these technology gaps represent key facets of an ongoing human and environmental health crisis that is characterized by outcomes such as the accumulation of plastic waste in oceans, fètid human habitats, and dead marine life (11).

One approach for recovering individual polymer components from mixed plastic wastes is to selectively dissolve the targeted polymer in a solvent system (12, 13). At least two technologies based on this strategy are being commercialized in Europe and Asia: the Newcycling process operated by APK AG, and the CreaSolv Process operated by Unilever and the Fraunhofer Institute. Both technologies are premised on selective dissolution of polyolefins (such as PE and polypropylene) in hydrocarbon solvents (14, 15). These commercially relevant examples demonstrate that solvent-based technologies represent realistic, near-term approaches for recycling complex PIW plastics. Few details regarding these or similar technologies have been reported in the scientific literature, which represents a barrier to deployment on a broader scale. Toward reducing solid waste associated with the manufacture of multilayer films in the near term, it would be helpful to outline a more rigorous approach for how solvents can be used to recycle these materials in a systematic way.

Here, we report a computationally guided platform strategy to deconstruct multilayer films of realistic complexity (three or more layers) into their constituent resins via a series of solvent washes in...
an approach that we call solvent-targeted recovery and precipitation (STRAP). As shown in Fig. 1, the general principle underlying the STRAP process is to selectively dissolve a single polymer layer in a solvent system in which the targeted polymer layer is soluble, but the other polymer layers are not. The solubilized polymer layer is then separated from the multilayer film by mechanical filtration and precipitated by changing the temperature and/or adding a cosolvent (an antisolvent) that renders the dissolved polymer insoluble. The solvent and antisolvent are distilled and reused in this process, and the targeted polymer layer is recovered as a dry, pure solid. This process is repeated for each of the polymer layers in the multilayer film, resulting in a number of segregated streams that can then be recycled.

We demonstrate the STRAP process by separating three representative polymer resins—PE, EVOH, and PET—from an actual postindustrial multilayer film (manufactured by Amcor Flexibles) that is primarily composed of these three components. We achieved separation of these three components with nearly 100% material efficiency, recovering the individual resins in a chemically pure form, through sequential solubilization of each component in a solvent system identified by molecular modeling of temperature-dependent polymer solubilities. Detailed technoeconomic analyses demonstrate that, in a postindustrial operating environment characterized by minimum volumes of 5400 tons/year and near-constant film compositions, the STRAP process could recycle the Amcor multilayer film into pure, corresponding resins at a cost comparable to the vent and antisolvent are distilled and reused in this process, and the other polymer layers are not. The solubilized polymer layer is then separated from the multilayer film by mechanical filtration and precipitated by changing the temperature and/or adding a cosolvent (an antisolvent) that renders the dissolved polymer insoluble. The solvent and antisolvent are distilled and reused in this process, and the targeted polymer layer is recovered as a dry, pure solid. This process is repeated for each of the polymer layers in the multilayer film, resulting in a number of segregated streams that can then be recycled.

We demonstrate the STRAP process by separating three representative polymer resins—PE, EVOH, and PET—from an actual postindustrial multilayer film (manufactured by Amcor Flexibles) that is primarily composed of these three components. We achieved separation of these three components with nearly 100% material efficiency, recovering the individual resins in a chemically pure form, through sequential solubilization of each component in a solvent system identified by molecular modeling of temperature-dependent polymer solubilities. Detailed technoeconomic analyses demonstrate that, in a postindustrial operating environment characterized by minimum volumes of 5400 tons/year and near-constant film compositions, the STRAP process could recycle the Amcor multilayer film into pure, corresponding resins at a cost comparable to the virgin materials. Therefore, the STRAP process represents a realistic and near-term approach to design solvent systems for recycling multilayer plastics.

**RESULTS**

**Computational tools used to select solvents for the STRAP process**

The key to successful implementation of the STRAP process is the ability to preselect solvent systems and temperatures capable of selectively dissolving a single polymer layer from among all of the components present in a multilayer film. Given the complexity of multilayer films, which are often composed of more than 10 layers ([16]), and the large number of industrial solvents and solvent mixtures available, solvent selection is challenging using experimental screening alone. The aforementioned tie layers and additives present in actual multilayer films further complicate the problem of identifying an appropriate series of solvent-mediated steps to deconstruct the bulk material into a manageable series of segregated streams, as they are present in dilute quantities (<1 wt %) and are often ill-defined. Here, we prescribe a guided approach to rationally select solvents using calculations of Hansen solubility parameters (HSPs), molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, and a combined quantum chemical and statistical mechanical approach called the conductor-like screening model for realistic solvents (COSMO-RS) as summarized in Fig. 2.

The solubility of a polymer can be characterized by three HSPs that quantify the strength of dispersion interactions (δ_P), dipole-dipole interactions (δ_d), and hydrogen bonding interactions (δ_H) between solvent and solute molecules. HSPs for a wide range of pure solvents and polymers have been tabulated on the basis of empirical measurements to obtain self-consistent values ([17]). HSPs for solvents are estimated as functions of their measured enthalpies of vaporization. HSPs for polymers are determined by experimentally quantifying polymer solubility in reference solvent systems that span HSP space ([17]) and by identifying a spherical subspace centered on the HSPs of the polymer such that solvents that promote polymer dissolution fall within the sphere. The radius of the polymer’s solubility sphere (R_0) therefore depends on the polymer’s solubility in the reference solvent systems. “Good” solvents (those that promote polymer dissolution at the desired concentration) that are not included in the reference set can then be identified by calculating the geometric distance between HSP values for the solvent and solute in δ_P – δ_d – δ_H space (R_δ); good solvents are defined as those that fall within the solubility sphere for the corresponding polymer (Fig. 2A) or equivalently when the ratio R_δ/R_0 is less than one (see Supplementary Materials, section S3). HSP calculations can thus select good solvents (with R_δ/R_0 less than one) and poor solvents (with R_δ/R_0 greater than one) using only a small number of experiments. Antisolvents can also be identified as solvents in which none of the polymer layers are readily soluble.
We tabulated HSPs for 22 common industrial solvents from (17) and compared with HSPs for PE, EVOH, and PET to determine solvents suitable for selective dissolution of each resin from among physical mixtures of the three (Fig. 2B). HSPs for a subset of solvents considered are listed in Table 1. On the basis of these calculations and consideration of design criteria relating to cost, co-miscibility of solvents and antisolvents, and toxicity limits, we selected toluene/acetone and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)/water mixtures as solvent/antisolvent systems for selectively dissolving/recovering PE and EVOH resins, respectively. The HSP analysis does not predict PET to be readily soluble in any of these solvents.

We next performed COSMO-RS calculations to estimate process conditions (temperature and specific mixture compositions) for efficient material recovery. COSMO-RS combines unimolecular density functional theory calculations with statistical thermodynamics methods to account for molecular interactions, thus enabling a priori predictions of polymer solubility in solvent mixtures as a function of both the temperature and the composition of the liquid phase (18, 19). To consider different polymer configurations, we performed classical MD simulations of a single oligomer in solution (see Supplementary Materials, section S3). The lowest energy configurations were then input to COSMO-RS and used to calculate the solubilities of the three polymers in the same 22 solvents for which HSPs were computed. Good solvents (i.e., with high polymer solubility) identified by COSMO-RS largely overlapped with those selected based on HSPs, indicating that both methods produce similar solvent selections. Toluene and DMSO are among the top candidate solvents that selectively dissolve PE and EVOH, respectively. Both methods also indicate that tetrahydrofuran (THF) is a possible candidate for selectively dissolving PET, but the COSMO-RS calculations suggest that solubility would still be low at room temperature (table S10).
boiling point of each solvent to determine the temperatures necessary to facilitate the desired separations (Fig. 2C). In toluene, the solubility of PE increases more rapidly than PET and EVOH with temperature, and the solubility of PET or EVOH never exceeds a few weight percent in the temperature range considered. Therefore, we chose the boiling point of toluene (110°C) for the separation of PE. In DMSO, although the solubility of EVOH is much higher than the solubilities of PET and PE, the PE solubility begins to increase near 100°C. We thus chose to separate EVOH in DMSO at 95°C. We lastly computed the solubilities of PE in a toluene-acetone mixture and EVOH in a DMSO-water mixture by varying the solvent-to-antisolvent mass ratios (Fig. 2D). Both solubilities decrease as the fraction of antisolvent increases. We chose a mass ratio of 1:4 DMSO:water for precipitation, which is close to the lowest mass ratios we calculated.

Table 1 lists the normalized HSP interaction radii ($R_a/R_0$), COSMO-RS–predicted solubilities, and experimentally determined solubility limits for PE, EVOH, and PET in select solvent systems. As expected, the highest experimentally determined solubilities are obtained for the solvent systems selected from simulations, with discrepancies between the predicted and experimental solubilities due in part to uncertainty in the crystallinity of the polymer samples. Together with Fig. 2, the results in Table 1 form the basis for a process to separate a mixture consisting of PE, EVOH, and PET into pure resins consisting of three steps:

1) Selectively dissolving the PE fraction in toluene at 110°C and then separating the solubilized fraction from the EVOH and PET via mechanical filtration;

2) Selectively dissolving the EVOH fraction in DMSO at 95°C and then separating the solubilized fraction from the remaining PET via mechanical filtration; and

3) Recovering the solubilized PE and EVOH fractions by lowering the corresponding solutions’ temperatures to 25°C and adding four masses of acetone or water, respectively, to precipitate the polymer resins as solids. The recovered PE and EVOH are then separated from the toluene-acetone or DMSO-water mixtures by filtration. The solvents used in this process can then be separated via distillation and reused.

### STRAP process used to separate PE, EVOH, and PET

To demonstrate the STRAP process using the protocol outlined above, we first attempted to separate a physical mixture of resin beads consisting of 7:2:2 PET:EVOH:PE by weight. The detailed methods along with the materials and analytical methods used are described in the Supplementary Materials. As shown in Fig. 3, near quantitative yields of the original polymers were recovered (98.5 wt % recovery of PE, 95.7 wt % recovery of EVOH, and 100.7 wt % recovery of PET). The solid fractions isolated from this process were essentially pure in their individual PE, EVOH, or PET components, as expressed by their Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra (fig. S2).

We next attempted to deconstruct an actual postindustrial, rigid multilayer film manufactured by Amcor Flexibles. This multilayer film consists primarily of PET with PE and EVOH. The film was cut into 1 × 1 cm² stamps and stirred in a solvent system consisting of toluene at 110°C, and then a solvent consisting of DMSO at 95°C, each with a solid-to-liquid ratio of 1:4 by mass. Following vacuum filtration at 95°C, the filtered solutions were combined with an antisolvent at room temperature consisting of four masses of acetone or water with respect to toluene or DMSO, and the resulting mixtures were allowed to cool to room temperature before filtering out the precipitated solids. The resulting three solid fractions were all washed with water and dried in a vacuum oven overnight at 85°C to remove residual solvents. Near quantitative recovery of the pure resins was achieved with a total mass balance of 100.4 wt % with an SE of ±1.39 wt % between three replicate experiments (Fig. 3).

### Characterizing the solids from the STRAP process

Figure S2 displays the attenuated total reflectance (ATR)–FTIR spectra corresponding to representative solid materials recovered from the experiments described above. As express by their ATR-FTIR spectra,
the PET, EVOH, and PE recovered from the physical mixture are indistinguishable from the same, corresponding virgin resins. These results demonstrate that the STRAP process is able to separate a physical mixture of PET, EVOH, and PE into three solid fractions that are essentially pure in the individual resin components. Likewise, the virgin PET and the PET-rich solids recovered from the Amcor multilayer film are indistinguishable from one another, indicating a complete separation of PET from the multilayer film. Similar results were achieved for the EVOH fraction recovered from the multilayer film.

The toluene-soluble material recovered from the Amcor multilayer film contains primarily PE with an EVA impurity (fig. S2). The presence of this EVA component (a copolymer tie layer) was not known a priori, and its removal is not necessary for the recycling of the PE in Amcor equipment. Nonetheless, a third solvent-mediated separation step was performed to complete the separation of these two components by dissolving the EVA component in N-methylpyrrolidone (NMP) in which PE is immiscible (see Supplementary Materials). The resulting solids (fig. S3) displayed a marked decrease in the EVA contaminant, as expressed by a reduction in the corresponding spectral feature in the FTIR signature.

Having demonstrated the near-complete separation of three representative polymer components of a multilayer film, we tested the physical properties of the recovered solids to assess their suitability for reuse in standard industrial processing equipment like blown film extruders. The glass transition temperatures ($T_g$) for the PET and EVOH fractions tested in this study are displayed in table S3. The $T_g$ of PE is difficult to measure, and therefore, these tests were not conducted in this study. The $T_g$ for the EVOH fractions recovered from a physical mixture and the actual multilayer film are unchanged from the virgin resin and are similar to literature values (20–22). The $T_g$ for the PE recovered from the multilayer film is slightly lower than the $T_g$ for PE recovered from the physical mixture. However, the $T_g$ for the PET fraction recovered from the physical mixture is unchanged compared with the virgin resin and similar to reported values (23, 24). These results suggest that the $T_g$ of the PET is slightly modified during the process used to manufacture the multilayer film but not during the STRAP process. We note that, under the conditions studied in the report, we do not expect the average molecular weight of the PE, EVOH, and PET resins to change during the dissolution/recrystallization process. Although not performed in this study, this behavior could be confirmed by gel permeation chromatography coupled with multangle laser light scattering.

Last, headspace gas chromatography–flame ionization detector test were conducted to test for the presence of entrained solvents in the
recovered polymer fractions (see Supplementary Materials) (25). It was found that, for all materials listed in Table 1, there was less than 1000 parts per million (ppm) residual solvent present in the recovered resins. At these levels of solvent retention, the recovered polymers are fit for use in most multilayer films insomuch as the solvents will not compromise the mechanical properties of the final, reconstituted products.

Although a detailed analysis is beyond the scope of this report, additional concerns in this context include how the toxicity, odor, or other properties of retained solvents affect the suitability of the recovered polymers for applications such as food packaging. A number of solutions can be conceived to address these issues on a case-by-case basis. For example, if solvent retention is an issue in a food packaging application, then the recycled resin could be used to produce a multilayer film in which the recycled fraction does not come in contact with the food (i.e., an interior layer of film). Whatever the application, however, the viability of the STRAP process ultimately hinges on the ability to produce fit-for-use recycled resins while efficiently recovering and reusing the solvents so that production of the recycled polymer resins is cost-competitive with the production of the virgin materials.

### Technoeconomic analysis of the STRAP process

Following the point that recycled polymers must be produced at a cost comparable to the price of the corresponding, virgin resins, we carried out a techno-economic analysis of the STRAP process based on the experimental data reported here. The detailed methods underlying this analysis, along with relevant assumptions, model parameters, and detailed results, are available in the Supplementary Materials (figs. S5 and S6 and tables S5 to S18). Figure 4 shows the capital investment and the minimum selling price (MSP) of recycled polymer components as a function of the feed capacity.

According to our estimates, the STRAP process could separate the Amcor Evolution film at an MSP of $1.19/kg for the combined, recycled resins when the feed rate is 3000 tons of Amcor Evolution film per year. The MSP decreases to 0.6/kg as the feed rate is increased to 15,000 tons per year. The distillation columns and the heat required to separate the solvents and antisolvents are the major cost drivers for this process, accounting for 33.6% of the total capital investment and 79.3% of the variable operating cost, respectively. When the feed rate is 3800 tons/year, which is less than half of the volumes processed in APK’s Newcycling plant in Germany (14), the MSP of the recovered polymers is competitive with the average market value of virgin PET ($1.1/kg) (26, 27). Note that the Amcor evolution film consists of 90.2 wt % PET.

The energy requirement for separating the multilayer film is 79.13 MJ/kg, which is about 37% less than the energy required to manufacture virgin PET resin (125 MJ/kg). For comparison, the energy generated from combustion of waste plastics (the most realistic, non-landfill disposition for plastic films today) is 6.09 MJ/kg of the multilayer film (28, 29); however, combustion releases 950 kg of CO2 per ton of plastic waste (30). These analyses, together with the aforementioned examples of comparable solvent-based technologies (12–15), show that the STRAP process could be deployed at scale to fully recycle realistic waste streams originating from multilayer plastic film plants.

### DISCUSSION

Solvent-based PIW plastic recycling technologies most immediately comparable to the STRAP process are the two aforementioned examples currently being practiced at the commercial scale: APK’s Newcycling process (14) and the Unilever/Fraunhofer Institute CreaSolv process (15). APK’s Newcycling process is based on preferentially dissolving PE or polypropylene from multilayer plastics in a solvent system consisting of alkanes, isooctane, or cycloalkanes (14); dissolved polymers are recovered from solution and pelletized by extrusion (31, 32). APK has built an 8000 ton/year plant in Germany based on this process and suggests that polypropylene, PET, poly-styrene, polyactic acid, and aluminum could also be recovered with this process in the future (31). The Fraunhofer Institute has reported a multilayer film recycling process called CreaSolv. This process is based on selective dissolution of polyolefins using a solvent selected from a group of aliphatic hydrocarbons. An antisolvent consisting of mono/polyhydroxy hydrocarbons, e.g., 1-propanol or 1,3-propanediol, is then used to precipitate the polyolefin from the mixture (15). The institute has also studied the separation of poly-styrene (33).

PureCycle Technologies, in a prominent example of commercial-scale solvent-based polymer recycling, is building a 54,000 ton/year facility to recover polypropylene from postindustrial and post-consumer waste, in collaboration with Proctor and Gamble (34). PureCycle’s process consists of contacting the plastic waste with a proprietary solvent at elevated temperatures and pressures to obtain the purified polypropylene. In contrast to the STRAP process, PureCycle’s technology processes larger volumes of waste plastic from distributed sources but recovers only a single recycled polymer component. Another example of a solvent-based, industrial-scale plastic recycling technology is the Solvay VinyLoop process. This technology separates polyvinyl chloride (PVC) from polymer coatings and involves both a mechanical step and a selective dissolution step using a proprietary solvent (35); however, this process was no longer operating commercially as of 2018.

Several chemical depolymerization processes have been developed for conversion of polyesters into monomer units including Eastman’s methanolysis process (36), IBM’s Volcat process (37), and Ioniqa’s catalytic PET process (38). These technologies are likely
more expensive to operate than the STRAP process, as they involve reconstituting the virgin polymer from their corresponding monomers and require more separation steps. Other basic research efforts in waste plastic recycling are aimed at replacing multilayer film components with polymers that are more easily depolymerized or biodegraded; however, these efforts represent longer-term approaches that are far from commercialization (39, 40).

The multiplicity of these examples demonstrates the timeliness of the problem around recycling of complex, multilayer PIW plastic. The examples of solvent-based technologies currently commercially practiced indicate that selective dissolution represents a promising approach to recycling of complex plastics wastes. However, the aforementioned approaches did not leverage the thermodynamic calculations described here for solvent design and were therefore able to recover at most two polymer components in pure form. In contrast, the methodology described here is demonstrably capable of fully deconstructing multilayer plastic wastes of realistic complexity. While we have not carried out a detailed analysis of the dilute but-non-zero levels of tie layers (e.g., EVA; fig. S3), wet bond adhesives, and other additives present in realistic multilayer films, it is likely that these layers can be recycled with the principle resin fractions, as they are chemically compatible. Highly cross-linked polymers (such as cross-linked polybutadiene), however, may not readily dissolve in any available solvents, making their complete separation from the targeted resins impossible. As discussed above, the impact that these impurities, as well as the entrained solvents (table S1), have on the fitness of the recovered polymer for use depends on the intended application of the final, reconstituted multilayer film, and a detailed analysis of these impurities is necessary to fully derisk the STRAP process in a particular operating environment.

In conclusion, we demonstrated the ability of the STRAP process to efficiently deconstruct a commercial PIW film into nearly 100% yield of three constituent resins. The process uses sequential solubilization steps in solvents selected on the basis of computational modeling of polymer solubility. We propose that the STRAP process could be quickly implemented on the large scale to target postindustrial multilayer plastic waste streams, as they originate from point sources (manufacturing plants), are of known and near-constant composition, and are of substantially high volume (~100 billion pounds per year globally). These attributes would allow large volumes of postindustrial multilayer plastic waste to be processed and recycled using existing equipment combined with STRAP technology. Detailed economic analyses of the STRAP process, assuming an annual feed rate of 3800 tons, indicate that the STRAP process could fully deconstruct a multilayer plastic waste stream consisting of PE, EVOH, and PET into their corresponding resins at costs similar to the corresponding virgin resins.

Future work should focus on deconstructing films composed of resins not studied in this report to include polyamides, polystyrene, and PVC, which we believe is possible using readily available industrial solvents. Our in-depth molecular modeling framework, which leverages a fundamental understanding of the thermodynamics of the polymer-solvent interactions, is broadly applicable and will allow for the rational design of new solvent systems for processing complex multilayer plastics of almost any composition going forward. This rapidly adaptable aspect of the STRAP process represents a key advancement toward addressing the problem of complex plastic wastes accumulating in the environment, as the compositions of multilayer films are constantly being redesigned to meet changing needs, requiring flexible technologies to successfully recycle them. Last, being based on simple unit operations such as stirred tanks, filters, and distillation columns, the STRAP process can be viewed as a platform technology that could be derisked in a well-defined, postindustrial operating environment and, in the future, be adapted to process more complex postconsumer waste streams. Together, these attributes make the STRAP process a promising strategy toward the near-term reduction of postindustrial and postconsumer plastic waste and toward addressing the ongoing environmental crisis associated with the permeation of these wastes into human and animal habitats at every scale.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

Model resins were obtained from vendors and used as received: Soarnol EVOH (Mitsubishi Chemical, 32 mole percent ethylene), high-density PE (ExxonMobil Chemical; molecular weight, 7845.30 Da), and Array 9921M PET (DAK Americas). Solvents and antisolvents were obtained from vendors and used as received: acetone (Fisher Scientific, histological grade), water (Alfa Aesar, high-performance liquid chromatography grade), toluene (Sigma-Aldrich, >99.5%), and DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich, American Chemical Society reagent grade). The model multilayer film was obtained from Amcor Flexibles (Evolution film, proprietary formulation).

**ATR-FTIR spectroscopy**

ATR-FTIR spectroscopy was conducted using a Bruker Optics Vertex system with a diamond-germanium ATR single reflection crystal. Samples were dried in a vacuum oven overnight to remove water content before analysis and were pressed uniformly against the diamond surface using a spring-loaded anvil. Sample spectra were obtained in triplicates using an average of 128 scans over the range between 400 and 4000 cm⁻¹ with a spectral resolution of 2 cm⁻¹. Air was used as background.

**Differential scanning calorimetry**

Glass transition temperatures of PET and EVOH samples were determined by differential scanning calorimetry on a TA Instruments Q100 calorimeter equipped with a liquid nitrogen-cooled refrigeration unit. Temperature ramps were calibrated with an empty pan reference cell. The heating rate was 5°C min⁻¹, and 20 mg of sample was used in each experiment. The glass transition temperature was determined using the TA Instrument–provided analytical software that locates the maximum in the apparent heat capacity of the sample over the observed temperature range, as per standard methods.

**Headspace gas chromatography–flame ionization detector tests**

One gram of each of the samples was sealed inside a 20-ml headspace vial. The vials were heated at 130°C for 5 min using a Teledyne Tekmar “Versa” headspace autosampler and delivered to an Agilent 7890 GC with an Rtx-1701 column. The resulting peaks were compared to an external standard containing 1 mg of each of the solvents in 1 ml of a high boiling glycol ether, where 1 mg is equivalent to 1000 ppm in 1 g.

**Computational and technoeconomic modeling methods**

Technoeconomic modeling was performed in the Aspen Plus suite. HSPs ($\delta_D$, $\delta_P$, and $\delta_H$) and values of $R_0$ described above were taken from...
All density functional theory calculations were performed using TURBOMOLE 7.3 software (47). COSMO-RS calculations were carried out using COSMOtherm 19 software. There is no corresponding process to end use. There is no corresponding solvent diffusion and changes in glass transition temperature in glassy polymer materials. Macromolecules 29, 2275–2288 (1996).
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