Compelling evidence suggests that inflammatory components contribute to cancer development. However, eosinophils, involved in several inflammatory diseases, were not fully explored in cancer metastasis. We show that airway inflammatory eosinophilia and colonic inflammation with eosinophil infiltration are both associated with increased metastasis in mice. Eosinophilia is responsible for increased bone metastasis in eosinophil-enriched Cd36-Ill-5 transgenic (II-5 Tg) mice. We also observe increased eosinophils in the malignant pleural effusion of cancer patients with pleural metastasis. Mechanistically, eosinophils promote tumor cell migration and metastasis formation through secreting C-C motif chemokine ligand 6 (CCL6). Genetic knockout of Ccl6 in II-5 Tg mice remarkably attenuates bone metastasis. Moreover, inhibition of C-C chemokine receptor 1 (CCR1, the receptor of CCL6) in tumor cells reduces tumor cell migration and metastasis. Thus, our study identifies a CCL6-dependent prometastatic activity of eosinophils, which can be inhibited by targeting CCR1 and represent an approach to preventing metastatic disease.

INTRODUCTION

Compelling evidence supports the involvement of inflammatory components in different stages of cancer development, including carcinogenesis, cancer invasion, and metastasis (1). However, allergen-induced airway inflammation, marked by notable infiltration of eosinophils into inflammatory sites, has been reported to have an inverse cancer risk association in epidemiological and experimental studies (2). Eosinophil infiltration is also frequently observed in various solid tumors in the clinic and is usually associated with a favorable prognosis in most studies (3), indicating that eosinophils play a potential antitumor role. This triggered a wealth of research addressing the underlying mechanisms involved. Several studies have demonstrated the antitumor function of eosinophils both in vivo and in vitro (4–6) and have highlighted various mechanisms, summarized as (i) degranulation of cytotoxic granules and enzymes to directly kill the tumor cells, and (ii) production of a wide range of immunologically active factors to modulate tumor environment (7). However, there is little information available about the direct effects of eosinophils on tumor metastasis.

Eosinophilic inflammation within the tumor microenvironment can also enable the establishment of metastasis (8). Eosinophil-related cytokines, such as interleukin-5 (IL-5), are pivotal factors that contribute to the differentiation and survival of eosinophils in allergic inflammation. Although confounding factors complicate the relationship between eosinophilic inflammation and tumor (9), it has been documented that IL-5 determines metastatic colonization of the lung through eosinophils (10). Eosinophil peroxidase (EPX) has been reported to facilitate tumor metastasis in a 4T1 breast cancer model (11). Determined upon tissue staining, EPX has also been identified as a potential biomarker to predict the clinical outcome of patients with primary lung adenocarcinoma (12). These studies have shown that eosinophils and their mediators may play a much greater role in cancer metastasis than previously understood. Thus, the antitumor role of eosinophils should be reconsidered until there are more evidence and functional mechanisms about eosinophils in cancer metastasis.

C-C chemokine ligand 6 (CCL6) is a chemokine previously reported to be mainly produced in monocytes or macrophages (13), whereas our previous study showed CCL6 production by eosinophils in allergic airway inflammation (14). Overexpression of CCL6 can accelerate tumor growth as well as enhance local and metastatic spread in mice (15). CCL6 shares homology with the human C-C chemokine, MPIF-1/CCL23 (16), which has been significantly up-regulated in tumor tissues (17). High expression of CCL23 is correlated with the accelerated progression and the short survival of patients with cancer (18). Previous studies have demonstrated that CCL6/CCL23 binds to and activates C-C chemokine receptor 1 (CCR1), expressed on the surface of a variety of immune cells and tumor cells to promote tumor metastasis (19, 20). Here, we hypothesized that CCL6-CCR1 signaling would be involved in eosinophil-associated cancer metastasis. Thus, we investigated the function of eosinophils in the context of inflammation on experimental tumor cell metastasis and found that eosinophils promote CCL6-dependent metastatic tumor growth.

RESULTS

Ovalbumin-induced airway inflammation and dextran sulfate sodium–induced colonic inflammation promote metastasis in mice

To determine the effect of inflammation accompanied by eosinophilia on tumor metastasis, we first established a melanoma model of lung metastasis (21) following the classic ovalbumin (OVA)–induced asthma model (22) in C57BL/6 wild-type (WT) mice.
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Fig. 1. Airway inflammation and colonic inflammation promote metastasis. (A) Schematic timeline representing the merger of an established model of allergic airway inflammation and the metastasis of circulating B16-F10 cells to the lung. (B) Representative photograph of pulmonary metastatic foci on day 42. Scale bar, 5 mm. (C) The number of experimental pulmonary metastases. (D) Schematic timeline representing the merger of an established model of colitis and the metastasis of abdominal B16-F10 cells to the colon. (E) Representative photograph of colonic metastatic foci produced after injection of B16-F10 cells on day 22. Scale bar, 1 cm. (F) The number of colon metastases. (G) The number of experimental pulmonary metastases from mice treated with NS, dexamethasone (DEX), OVA, and OVA and DEX (OVA + DEX), respectively. (H) Representative lung hematoxylin and eosin section of metastases. Scale bars, 500 μm. (I) Quantification of the tumor burden per lung (mm²) in (H). (C, F, G, and I) n = 5 to 7 mice per group. Statistical analyses were performed by Student’s t test (C and F) and one-way ANOVA (G and I). Data are presented as mean ± SEM from one representative experiment of three independent experiments. **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.
spine and hindlimbs at high frequency (27). In contrast to fewer spine metastases in WT mice, we observed a greater number of visible pigmented spine lesions in Il-5 Tg mice (Fig. 2B). Intravenous tail vein injection of tumor cells is reported to infrequently result in bone metastasis (28) (fig. S2A). However, the risk of metastasis was significantly higher in Il-5 Tg mice, in addition to greater spine metastasis numbers (Fig. 2, C to E). Similar results were confirmed in the tibia and femur (fig. S2, B and C). Histological examination of the femur displayed an early stage of colonization and apparent tumor foci in Il-5 Tg mice (fig. S2D).

Given that IL-5 has been documented to support metastasis by regulating a variety of host cell types, including eosinophils, regulatory T cells, natural killer cells (NK cells), macrophages, and neutrophils (9, 29), we next determined the link between increased bone metastasis and eosinophils. For this, we crossed Il-5 Tg mice with Eos-null mice (Il-5 Tg and Eos-null mice) to eliminate eosinophils. Then, we reconstituted irradiated WT mice with BM from WT, Il-5 Tg, or Il-5 Tg and Eos-null mice to exclude the contribution of BM stromal cells to bone metastasis (Fig. 2F). Following tumor cell injection, the chimeric mice that received BM from Il-5 Tg and Eos-null donor did not show an increase in metastasis numbers and tumor burden (Fig. 2, G to I). These results confirm that eosinophilia is indispensable for increased bone metastasis in Il-5 Tg mice.

Eosinophils directly support tumor cell migration and metastasis formation

Given our observation that early stages of bone metastasis are enhanced in Il-5 Tg mice (fig. S2D), we investigated whether eosinophils could directly promote tumor cell survival and growth. By conducting in vitro experiments, in which tumor cells were incubated in the absence (Control) or presence of eosinophils (+Eos) (fig. S3, A and B), we found no obvious alterations in the clonogenic activity of tumor cells (fig. S3C). Considering that eosinophils might induce apoptosis and necrosis of tumor cells as reported (4), we evaluated the survival of tumor cells cocultured with eosinophils. Unexpectedly, no significant effect on tumor cell death was found in the presence of eosinophils (fig. S3D). Similar findings revealed that there was neither an obvious difference in the proportion of 5-ethynyl-2′-deoxyuridine (EdU)–positive proliferating tumor cells (fig. S3E) nor a change in the cell cycle of tumor cells (fig. S3F) with or without eosinophilis. These results implicate that eosinophils have no substantial effect on altering tumor cell growth.

We subsequently performed a migration assay in vitro. The ability of tumor cell migration was significantly enhanced upon direct or indirect contact with eosinophils in culture, suggesting that eosinophils promote tumor cell migration via a contact-independent manner (Fig. 3, A and B). We probed the results in the migration assays with the BM supernatant from Il-5 Tg mice and their littermate.

Fig. 3. Eosinophils promote tumor cell migration and metastasis formation. (A) Schematic diagram, representative crystal violet staining, and quantification of migrated B16-F10 cells cocultured with eosinophils. (B) Schematic diagram, representative crystal violet staining, and quantification of migrated B16-F10 cells toward eosinophils in the lower compartment. (C) Schematic diagram, representative crystal violet staining, and quantification of migrated B16-F10 cells toward BM supernatants from WT or Il-5 Tg mice. (D) Comparison of the metastatic foci in the lung on day 14. Mice were injected with tumor cells and eosinophils (Eos) or only tumor cells (PBS) intravenously (i.v.). (E) Comparison of the metastatic foci in the lung on day 14. Mice were injected with tumor cells (intravenously) and immediately transferred of eosinophils or PBS [intratracheally (i.t.)] into the lung. (D) and (E) show the representative photograph and quantification of lung metastasis on day 14, n = 6 mice per group. Statistical analyses were performed by Student’s t test (A to E). Data are presented as mean ± SEM from one representative experiment of three independent experiments. *P < 0.05 and ***P < 0.001.
controls (Fig. 3C), demonstrating that eosinophil-derived factors could potentially promote tumor cell migration. Consistent with results in mice, human tumor cell lines were also found to migrate toward human eosinophils (fig. S4), indicating a translational value of the finding.

Then, the direct contribution of eosinophils to metastasis formation was assessed by using adoptive transfer techniques in vivo (fig. S5). We mixed tumor cells with eosinophils and then intravenously co-injected the mixture into WT mice, which resulted in increased lung metastasis compared to tumor cell injection alone (Fig. 3D). In parallel experiments, eosinophils were adoptively transferred (intratracheally) immediately following tumor cell intravenous injection, which resulted in similar consequences (Fig. 3E). Moreover, eosinophils were more potent in promoting lung metastasis when intratracheally transferred before intravenous tumor cell injection (fig. S6). We further characterized immune cell populations in the metastatic microenvironment at 24 hours after transfer of eosinophils. There were no significant changes in the number of alveolar macrophages, CD4+ T and CD8+ T lymphocytes, NK cells, dendritic cells (DCs), neutrophils, or monocytes, except increased eosinophils (fig. S7), suggesting that the increased metastasis was mainly due to the direct effect of eosinophils on tumor cells. Together, these results show that eosinophils are sufficient to support tumor cell migration and metastasis formation directly.

We next looked into the relationship between eosinophils and metastasis in patients with cancer. Malignant pleural effusion (MPE) of patients with pleural metastasis was detected with more eosinophils relative to pleural effusions from non–cancer patients (Fig. 4, A and B), without changes in other immune cells, including macrophages, lymphocytes, and neutrophils (fig. S8).
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**Fig. 4.** CCL6 mediates eosinophil-induced tumor cell migration and metastasis formation. (A) Representative images of control pleural effusion cells from non–cancer patients and MPE cells from patients with cancer with pleural metastasis. The left panel is Wright-Giemsa staining. Scale bars, 50 μm. Arrows, eosinophils. The right panel is EPX staining. (B) The number of eosinophils in control pleural effusion (n = 8) and MPE (n = 8) by flow cytometry analysis. (C) The ratio of a panel of cytokine and chemokine mRNA in WT BM cells versus Il-5 Tg BM cells, as assayed by RT-qPCR. (D) The protein levels of CCL23 in pleural fluid from patients with pleural metastasis (n = 8) and non–cancer patients (n = 8). (E) The protein levels of CCL6 in control medium (CM), the culture supernatant from eosinophils (Eos), neutrophils (Neu), and bone marrow–derived macrophages (BMDM). (F) The number of migrated B16-F10 cells per field toward CCL6-sufficient eosinophils (Eos, isolated from Il-5 Tg mice) or CCL6-deficient eosinophils (Eos Ccl6−/−, isolated from Il-5 Tg and Ccl6−/− mice). (G and H) Representative photograph and quantification of metastatic foci in lungs on day 14. WT mice were injected with B16-F10 cells (intravenously) and transferred with Eos or Eos Ccl6−/− (intratracheally). Scale bar, 5 mm. (I and J) Representative photograph and quantification of metastatic foci in the spine on day 14. WT, Ccl6−/−, Il-5 Tg, and Il-5 Tg and Ccl6−/− mice were injected with B16-F10 cells via caudal arteries. Scale bar, 5 mm. (H and J) n = 5 to 6 mice per group. Statistical analyses were performed by Student’s t test (B to F) and one-way ANOVA (H to J). Data are presented as mean ± SEM from one representative experiment of three independent experiments (C, E, F, H, and J) or represented as box; the whiskers indicate Tukey (B and D). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001.
CCL6 is essential for eosinophil-induced tumor cell migration and eosinophilia-dependent metastasis formation

We aimed to identify the effective factor of eosinophils promoting tumor cell migration and metastasis formation. Previous studies have reported that cytokines and chemokines, including CCL2 (30), CCL3 (31), CCL5 (20), CCL6 (15), CCL8 (32), CXCL12 (33), and CXCL14 (34), directly or indirectly target tumor cells to promote metastasis. We performed real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) to screen a panel of cytokines and chemokines in BM cells from *Il-5* Tg mice and WT mice. CCL6 was the most abundantly measured cytokine in *Il-5* Tg mice (Fig. 4C). The expression of CCL6 in BM cells was further examined by immunofluorescence (Fig. S9A). As CCL23 has been identified as the human ortholog of murine CCL6, we subsequently assessed the level of CCL23 in human samples and found an increased CCL23 concentration in MPE relative to pleural effusions from non–cancer patients (Fig. 4D). Furthermore, high secretion of CCL6 was mainly identified in eosinophils (Fig. 4E). Similarly, CCL23 expressed in human blood and MPE cells (Fig. S9B). Also, the expression of CCL23 is positively correlated with the number of eosinophils in human pleural effusion samples (Fig. S9C).

To ascertain whether eosinophil-derived CCL6 was involved in tumor cell migration and metastasis, we generated *Ccl6* knockout mice (*Ccl6*−/−) and crossed them with *Il-5* Tg mice (*Il-5* Tg and *Ccl6*−/−). The basal number of eosinophils did not differ in *Il-5* Tg and *Ccl6*−/− mice relative to *Il-5* Tg mice (fig. S10, A and B). Two types of eosinophils (Eos from *Il-5* Tg mice and Eos*^Ccl6−/−* from *Il-5* Tg and *Ccl6*−/− mice) were isolated to perform migration assays in vitro. Notably, the number of migrated tumor cells toward Eos*^Ccl6−/−* was reduced (Fig. 4F). Loss of eosinophils or CCL6 did not affect the baseline lung metastasis in vivo (Fig. S10, C and D), whereas CCL6 deficiency in eosinophils significantly reduced the eosinophilia-dependent lung metastasis (Fig. 4, G and H, and Fig. S10E). *Il-5* Tg and *Ccl6*−/− mice also displayed much fewer bone metastases following tumor cell injection via caudal arteries relative to *Il-5* Tg mice (Fig. 4, I and J). Moreover, following intravenous tumor cell injection, the increased metastasis numbers and the tumor burden in bones were both reduced in *Il-5* Tg mice with CCL6 deficiency (Fig. S11). Overall, these observations reveal that CCL6 plays an essential role in eosinophil-induced tumor cell migration and eosinophilia-dependent metastasis formation in vivo.

**Inhibition of CCL6 receptor CCR1 alleviates tumor cell migration and metastasis formation**

CCR1 has been reported to be a putative CCL6 receptor (35). We explored whether eosinophil-derived CCL6 could recruit tumor cells to the metastatic sites through CCR1. We performed stable knockdown of CCR1 in B16-F10 cells by lentivirus short hairpin–mediated RNA (shRNA), with lentivirus-nontargeting shRNA as control (fig. S12A). Migration of CCR1-deficient B16-F10 (shCCR1 B16-F10) cells toward eosinophils or eosinophil culture supernatant was significantly decreased (Fig. 5A and fig. S12B). CCR1-deficient B16-F10 cells also displayed fewer bone metastases in *Il-5* Tg mice and fewer lung metastases in OVA-challenged mice (Fig. 5, B and C). These results provide evidence that the expression of CCR1 in tumor cells is critical for eosinophil-induced tumor cell migration and metastasis formation.

We further examined the effects of CCR1 inhibition on tumor cells by using CCR1-specific inhibitor BX471. BX471 significantly attenuated the migration of B16-F10 cells induced by eosinophil supernatant in vitro (Fig. 5, D and E). BX471-treated tumor cells developed significantly fewer bone metastases in vivo (Fig. 5, F and G). As CCL6 deficiency in eosinophils or CCR1 inhibition in tumor cells is sufficient to relieve eosinophil-induced tumor cell migration and metastasis formation, CCL6-CCR1 signaling could be a valuable therapeutic strategy for preventing eosinophilia-dependent tumor metastasis (Fig. 5H).

**DISCUSSION**

Eosinophils represent a minor subset of granulocytes that infiltrate in human cancers and several murine tumors. Accumulating evidence suggests their role in the orchestration of antitumor responses (5, 6, 36, 37). However, here our study has uncovered an unfavorable role of eosinophilic inflammation in tumor metastasis. Eosinophils promote the migration of tumor cells without altering tumor cell survival, and eosinophils are responsible for the establishment of bone metastasis in eosinophil-enriched mice. Mechanistic investigations revealed that eosinophils primarily secrete CCL6 to recruit tumor cells to their local sites through CCR1. Our results confirmed that blocking CCL6-CCR1 signaling is sufficient to relieve eosinophil-induced tumor cell migration and eosinophilia-dependent tumor metastasis.

A key finding of our study is an easily overlooked role of local eosinophilia contributing to metastasis. DEX relieves airway inflammation to block the eosinophilia-dependent lung metastasis. Notably, eosinophils in the context of airway inflammation are accompanied by other factors, of which CD4+ T cell activities might contribute to metastasis (38). Eosinophil deficiency abolished the CD4+ T cell activation and proliferation in OVA-challenged mice, suggesting that eosinophils play an indispensable role in asthmatic airway inflammation (39). Consistently, eosinophil deficiency both relieves T helper 2-dominated airway inflammation (24) and reduces airway inflammation-dependent lung metastasis. However, eosinophil deficiency fails to relieve T helper 1–biased colonic inflammation (25) or colonic inflammation-dependent metastasis and even leads to primary tumor development (6).

In contrast with a previous study (40), we found that eosinophils fail to promote tumor cell death and instead contribute to tumor cell migration in vitro. Intravenous injection or intratracheal installation of eosinophils was shown to directly support the lung metastases, which is also in line with the results in vitro. The possible explanation is that the acquisition of cytotoxic activity in eosinophils against primary tumor depends on the specific stimuli (e.g., interferon-γ and IL-33) in the tumor microenvironment (5, 6). Different stimuli could polarize eosinophils into distinct populations with opposing effects (41, 42), which either control tumor growth or promote metastasis. In the present study, we propose that both allergic factor–activated eosinophils and nonactivated eosinophils will directly promote tumor cell migration and metastasis formation. Our findings could help to understand the contradictory role of eosinophils in the prognosis of patients with cancer.

Immune cells express a large number of receptors in addition to cytokines and chemokines, which can directly promote tumor cell migration and metastasis (43). In a previous study, overexpression of CCL6 accelerated tumor growth and enhanced local and metastasis spread (15). Similarly, our previous work found that eosinophils secrete CCL6 to promote hematopoietic stem cell proliferation.
and migration during eosinophilic inflammation (14). Here, we found a dominating role of CCL6 in the cross-talk between eosinophils and tumor cells, strengthening the idea that eosinophil-derived CCL6 directly supports the recruitment of tumor cells, thus promoting tumor metastasis. The evidence highlights the critical roles of chemokine networks in malignant progression. Nevertheless, few eosinophils reside in the lung under physiological conditions, as shown by the EPX immunohistochemistry analysis in tissues of control mice. Therefore, baseline eosinophils or CCL6 may not lead to a significant effect on metastasis. CCL23 shares structural homology with CCL6 and is reported to play similar promoting roles in cancer metastasis (18). In our study, we observed increased eosinophils and elevated expression of CCL23 in MPE. Further studies based on clinical data are required to determine the causal relationship between high expression of CCL23 and risk of cancer metastasis. Also, the chemokine receptors expressed in tumor cells should not be ignored. We found that inhibiting CCR1 in tumor cells results in decreased tumor metastasis in both WT mice and eosinophil-enriched mice, which provide a rationale for the application of CCR1 inhibitors in treating metastatic disease.

In addition to the eosinophil–tumor cell interactions in metastasis, the functional modulatory role of eosinophils that cross-talk with CD8+ T cells in cancer immunity was previously appreciated (37). Here, our results show that intratracheally adoptive transfer of eosinophils promotes lung metastasis without causing obvious changes in the number of CD8+ T cells in the lung, suggesting that...
the increased metastasis mainly depends on the direct cross-talk between eosinophils and tumor cells rather than additional indirect effects by T cells. Thus, the clinical study should consider whether a direct causal relationship exists between infiltration of eosinophils into tumors and simultaneous antitumor T cell response. Also, clinical cancer immunotherapy to enhance infiltration of eosinophils into the tumor might be an invalid approach to recruiting T cells to kill tumor cells and might even worsen the prognosis in patients with cancer.

On the basis of the current findings and the above discussion, more attention should be paid to evaluate the metastasis-associated tissue eosinophilia in patients with cancer. Here, we predicted that directly targeting inflammation with eosinophil infiltration or blocking the eosinophil-related chemokine pathway could achieve clinical benefits in patients with cancer. We also call for caution an increased risk of metastasis and a poor prognosis induced by eosinophilia in patients with cancer. Last, we have identified a previously unknown CCL6-dependent prometastatic activity of eosinophils in supporting tumor cell recruitment, which can be targeted by a CCR1 inhibitor and might represent an approach to preventing metastatic disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

The murine B16-F10 cell line was a gift given by J. Q. Gao (Institute of Pharmaceutics, College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Zhejiang University, China). B16-F10 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s minimum essential medium with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) in 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Cell viability was 95%, as assessed by Trypan Blue exclusion.

Mice

Il-5 Tg mice andmeq-DT transgenic (Eos-null) mice were a gift from J. J. Lee (Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Mayo Clinic, USA). Ccld knockout mice were generated in Nanjing Biomedical Research Institute of Nanjing University. Il-5 Tg and Eos-null mice were generated by crossing Il-5 Tg and Eos-null mice, which have been backcrossed to C57BL/6 purchased from Shanghai SLAC Laboratory Animal Co., Ltd. for over 20 generations and maintained in a specific pathogen–free facility in the animal center at Zhejiang University. All mice used for the experiment were at 8 weeks of age. The genotype Ccld−/−, Il-5 Tg mice, Eos-null, and their WT littermates were confirmed by PCR analysis. All the animal experiments were strictly conducted in accordance with the protocols approved by the Ethics Committee for Animal Studies at Zhejiang University, China.

OVA-sensitized and -challenged asthma model

The airway inflammation was analyzed using an OVA sensitization and OVA-challenged asthma model. Briefly, asthmatic mice were sensitized by an intraperitoneal injection with 200 μl of OVA (Sigma-Aldrich) emulsified in 2.25 mg of Imject Alum Adjuvant (Thermo Fisher Scientific) on days 0 and 14. Control mice were injected with the same volume of normal saline (NS) instead. On days 24 to 28, mice were subsequently challenged with an aerosol generated from 1.5% OVA in saline or NS alone for 40 min by an ultrasonic atomizer (OMRON). For the DEX treatment experiment, mice have received DEX (Sigma-Aldrich) at 2 mg/kg in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) administered intraperitoneally 1 hour after each OVA aerosol challenge. Mice were euthanized at 6 hours after the fourth OVA challenge on day 27. Then, lung tissues were harvested to assess eosinophilic inflammation by flow cytometry, histology, and immunohistochemistry. For the further establishment of the lung metastasis model, B16-F10 mouse melanoma cells (5 x 10⁵ suspended in 200 μl of PBS) were intravenously injected 18 hours before the last OVA challenge on day 27, and mice were euthanized on day 42 (Fig. 1A).

DSS-induced colitis model

Colitis was induced by administering DSS [2% (w/v)] 36,000 to 50,000 kDa (MP Biomedicals) to 8-week-old mice for up to 7 days. On day 8, mice were used to establish the metastasis model with tumor cells or euthanized to harvest the colons for assessing eosinophilic inflammation by flow cytometry, histology, and immunohistochemistry.

Experimental metastasis assay

For experimental lung metastasis models, B16-F10 mouse melanoma cells (5 x 10⁵ suspended in 200 μl of PBS) were injected into the tail vein. For experimental colon metastasis models, B16-F10 mouse melanoma cells (5 x 10⁵ suspended in 200 μl of PBS) were injected into the abdominal cavities. For experimental bone metastasis models, B16-F10 mouse melanoma cells (5 x 10⁵ suspended in 100 μl of PBS) were injected into the caudal artery of anesthetized mice using a 29 G syringe needle in a short time (<3 s) for bone metastasis analysis. Mice were euthanized on day 14 after tumor cell injection. Lungs and bones (spine, femur, and tibia) from B16-F10–bearing mice were harvested and fixed in formalin fixative solution for 24 hours and surface tumors were counted. Colon from B16-F10–bearing mice was harvested and surface tumors were counted. Tumor burden per bone was defined as the area of spine bone occupied by cancer cells (44), which was microscopically measured on hematoxylin and eosin–stained slides of the spine at 4 x 10 magnification.

Transwell migration assay

Migration experiments were performed using 8-μm Transwell cell culture inserts (Corning) in 24-well plates. The upper compartment was added with 200 μl of medium containing eosinophils (5 x 10⁵) and tumor cells (5 x 10⁵), and the lower compartment was added with 600 μl of medium containing 10% FBS. Cells were attached and migrated for 24 to 48 hours. For the detached migration assay, tumor cells were placed into the upper compartment, and the lower compartment was added with 600 μl of medium containing 10% FBS with eosinophils (5 x 10⁵) or eosinophil culture supernatant. The plates were cultured for 24 to 48 hours. The tumor cells on the upper surface of the Transwell chamber were removed carefully with a cotton swab, and those on the lower surface were fixed with methanol for 10 min, stained with 0.1% crystal violet for 15 min, and photographed. Cell migration was microscopically assessed by counting stained cells visually at 20 x 10 magnification.

Oris cell migration assay

Cells were plated at a concentration of 1 x 10⁵/ml and then were seeded on fibronectin (5 μg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich)–coated 96-well plates fitted with stoppers (Platypus Technologies, CMA1.101). Cells were incubated overnight in a humidified chamber at 37°C and 5% CO₂ before the removal of stoppers. For analysis of cell migration following inhibition of CCR1, cells were incubated with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (control), BX471 (20 μM, MedChemExpress), eosinophil
supernatant with DMSO, or eosinophil supernatant with BX471 for 36 hours after stopper removal. Cells were fixed with methanol for 10 min, stained with 0.1% crystal violet for 15 min, and photographed. In the duplicate quantitative test, cells were fixed and stained with 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole. Fluorescent images were taken at 0 and 36 hours upon removal of stoppers, and cell migration was quantified by using ImageJ as outlined.

Real-time quantitative PCR
Total RNA was extracted from BM cells with Trizol reagent (Takara), and the quality of RNA was assessed with the NanoDrop2000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. mRNA was reverse-transcribed using a PrimeScript RT-PCR kit (Takara) and cDNA was subjected to RT-qPCR with SYBR Premix Ex Taq (Perfect Real Time; Takara). The PCR primers were purchased from Shanghai Sangon (Shanghai, China). RT-qPCR cycling was carried out on a 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). The mRNA levels were calculated using the comparative parameter threshold cycle (Ct) and normalized to β-actin. The sequences of primers used were as follows:

- β-actin: forward, 5′-CCACTGGCGCATCCTCTCCT-3′; reverse, 5′-CACAGAGTACTTTGCTAGG-3′;
- Ccl5: forward, 5′-AGTGTAAGTGTTCCCGGAAGG-3′; reverse, 5′-GCAGTGTGGAA-3′;
- Ccl8: forward, 5′-AGGTCCCTGTCATGCTTCTG-3′; reverse, 5′-TCGTCGCTATAACCCT-3′;
- Il-10: forward, 5′-CTTACTGACTGGGAC-TGAGGATCA-3′; reverse, 5′-GCAGCTCTAGGAGCATGTGG-3′;
- Gata3: forward, 5′-TGCTGTTTGGCTGCTACTCTC-3′; reverse, 5′-TCAGTGACAAAAACGACTGC-3′;
- Ccl2: forward, 5′-AAGGGGAGTCTTCACTGCTG-3′; reverse, 5′-TGTTGACCCATTTGCTTGTG-3′;
- Ccl12: forward, 5′-AGGTCCCTGTCATGCTTCTG-3′; reverse, 5′-CCTGGACCCATTTGCTTGTG-3′;
- Ccl24: forward, 5′-CCTGAGCCATTCTCTCCTT-3′; reverse, 5′-CTACGAGTGGATCCCTTCCT-3′;
- Ccl25: forward, 5′-CAGTCTGCTCCTGGTTACC-3′; reverse, 5′-TGGGGAAGTGGATACCTACA-3′;
- Cxcl12: forward, 5′-TCATCACTGACCTGGTAGAAC-3′; reverse, 5′-CACAGTTTGGAGTGTTGAGAT-3′;
- Ccl3: forward, 5′-TGTCACCTGACCTGACAA-3′; reverse, 5′-CACAGTTTGGAGTGTTGAGAT-3′;
- Cxcl14: forward, 5′-AGGTGAAGTCCCTGGCAGG-3′; reverse, 5′-GCAAGTGGAGTGACTCGGCT-3′;
- Ccl1: forward, 5′-AGGTCCCTGTCATGCTTCTG-3′; reverse, 5′-TGCTGTTTGGCTGCTACTCTC-3′;
- Ccl13: forward, 5′-AGGTCCCTGTCATGCTTCTG-3′; reverse, 5′-TGCTGTTTGGCTGCTACTCTC-3′;
- Ccl2: forward, 5′-AGGTCCCTGTCATGCTTCTG-3′; reverse, 5′-TGCTGTTTGGCTGCTACTCTC-3′.

Human pleural effusions
Human pleural effusions were obtained during initial diagnostic thoracenteses at the Respiratory Department of the Second Affiliated Hospital of the Zhejiang University School of Medicine. Cells in pleural effusions were counted, centrifuged, and lyzed. Then, eosinophils were determined by visual examination of Wright-Giemsa staining, immunofluorescence analysis with EPX staining, and flow cytometry analysis. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine. All the patients provided written informed consent and understood that their tissues would be used for research.

ELISA analysis
Eosinophils isolated from Il-5 Tg mice, neutrophils isolated by Ly6G positive selection from WT BM cells, and bone marrow–derived macrophages (BMDMs) induced by macrophage colony-stimulating factor (20 ng/ml) administration for 5 days were cultured at a concentration of 5 × 10⁶/ml with complete medium for 6 hours to collect culture supernatant. CCL6 levels in the supernatant were then measured using the Mouse CCL-6/C-C Motif Chemokine 6 ELISA (Thermo Fisher Scientific). CCL23 levels in human pleural effusions are measured using the Human C-C Motif Chemokine 23 ELISA Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and experiments were performed according to the manufacturer’s instruction.

Statistical analysis
Data are mainly expressed as mean ± SEM. The unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test was used for two groups, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was used for multiple groups, and Fisher’s exact test was used for metastasis ratio. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed by Prism 6 (GraphPad 6).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS
Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/7/22/eabb5943/DC1
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